

IN-DEPTH

# Life Sciences Law

PORTUGAL



LEXOLOGY

# Life Sciences Law

EDITION 12

Contributing Editor

**Peter Bogaert**

Covington & Burling LLP

---

In-Depth: Life Sciences Law (formerly The Life Sciences Law Review) is an overview of the legal and regulatory frameworks governing pharmaceutical, biotechnology and medical device companies in key jurisdictions worldwide. It covers the major rules and restrictions throughout the life cycle of regulated products, from discovery to clinical trials, the marketing authorisation process and post-approval controls. It also examines the most consequential recent trends and developments in the sector.

---

**Generated: March 18, 2024**

The information contained in this report is indicative only. Law Business Research is not responsible for any actions (or lack thereof) taken as a result of relying on or in any way using information contained in this report and in no event shall be liable for any damages resulting from reliance on or use of this information. Copyright 2006 - 2024 Law Business Research

 LEXOLOGY

Explore on [Lexology](#) 

# Portugal

[Francisca Paulouro](#), [Pedro Fontes](#) and [Beatriz Albuquerque](#)

[Vieira de Almeida & Associados](#)

## Summary

[INTRODUCTION](#)

[YEAR IN REVIEW](#)

[REGULATORY REGIME](#)

[PRICING AND REIMBURSEMENT](#)

[ADMINISTRATIVE AND JUDICIAL REMEDIES](#)

[FINANCIAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH PRESCRIBERS AND PAYERS](#)

[SPECIAL LIABILITY OR COMPENSATION SYSTEMS](#)

[TRANSACTIONAL AND COMPETITION ISSUES](#)

[OUTLOOK AND CONCLUSIONS](#)

[ENDNOTES](#)

## Introduction

The life sciences sector in Portugal is heavily regulated, with the legal framework applicable both to medicines and medical devices closely following the European Union (EU) regulatory framework. Nevertheless, in some areas national legislation goes beyond what is provided in the relevant directives or regulations, whichever is applicable. This is particularly noticeable, for example, in matters related to promotion, wholesale distribution and clinical trials. Pricing and reimbursement are exclusively dealt with at national level, as they are outside the scope of EU legislation, except for transparency measures and procedural requirements set out in the Transparency Directive.<sup>[2]</sup>

The National Authority of Medicines and Health Products, IP (Infarmed) is the national regulatory agency for medicines and medical devices. In addition to its competence for technical health regulation, Infarmed's powers also cover pricing, reimbursement and market access, as it is the entity responsible to conduct the relevant procedures and propose decisions in this regard to the Minister of Health. Price approval of prescription products, including products for hospital use, is also attributed to this agency.

## Year in review

Infarmed has been quite active amending and approving new policies. It has approved two new regulations on exceptional use authorisations and on marketing authorisations for medicines without a valid authorisation or registration in Portugal, revoking the previous rules and aiming at clarifying the applicable regime and ensuring greater consistency with the rules of the Medicines Act<sup>[3]</sup> dedicated to the same subject.

It has also approved a new Code of Ethics and Conduct with principles and standards to be complied with by Infarmed, which may indirectly impact Pharma and Medtech companies when interacting with the Agency.

The rules governing the prescription and dispensing of medicines and health products have been amended to optimise the use of electronic prescriptions and consolidate dematerialisation processes, also extending the period of validity of prescriptions to 12 months.

Additionally, Order No. 235/2023 of 27 July defines the applicable criteria to determine which essential medicines should be considered 'critical' to justify specific measures being applied to ensure market access and availability, such as waiving certain rules or procedures governing price revision for a maximum period of 5 years.

A new version of the Portuguese Pharma Industry Association's Code of Ethics for Promotion Practices of the Pharmaceutical Industry has also been approved. Among other changes, the Code's scope has been extended to include organisations that may not include healthcare professionals, such as scientific societies or universities.

## Regulatory regime

The Medicines Act consolidates in a single legal act the regime applicable to, among others, the marketing authorisation, manufacture, import, export, marketing, labelling, promotion and pharmacovigilance of medicines; transposing into Portuguese law several directives, including Directive 2001/83/EC,<sup>[4]</sup> as amended (the Directive).

Medical devices, in turn, are now governed by the Medical Device Regulation No. 2017/745 (MDR),<sup>[5]</sup> which, after successive delays, became applicable on 26 May 2021.

In vitro medical devices are now governed by In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices Regulation No. 2017/746,<sup>[6]</sup> which became almost entirely applicable on 26 May 2022, repealing the corresponding national legislation.

Notwithstanding the direct application of these regulations, there are several matters that continue to be governed by the former Portuguese Medical Devices Act (Decree-Law No. 145/2009, of 17 June). This transitory regime (the Transitory Regime) applies, for example, to matters related with the notification of manufacturing and wholesale distribution activities, until the Eudamed is operational. It applies also to matters attributed to each Member State by the MDR or not governed at all by said diploma, as is the case of the regime applicable to the promotion of medical devices.

The Medicines Act consolidates in a single legal act the regime applicable to, among others, the marketing authorisation, manufacture, import, export, marketing, labelling, promotion and pharmacovigilance of medicines; transposing into Portuguese law several directives, including Directive 2001/83/EC,<sup>[4]</sup> as amended (the Directive).

Medical devices, in turn, are now governed by the Medical Device Regulation No. 2017/745 (MDR),<sup>[5]</sup> which, after successive delays, became applicable on 26 May 2021.

In vitro medical devices are now governed by In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices Regulation No. 2017/746,<sup>[6]</sup> which became almost entirely applicable on 26 May 2022, repealing the corresponding national legislation.

Notwithstanding the direct application of these regulations, there are several matters that continue to be governed by the former Portuguese Medical Devices Act (Decree-Law No. 145/2009, of 17 June). This transitory regime (the Transitory Regime) applies, for example, to matters related with the notification of manufacturing and wholesale distribution activities, until the Eudamed is operational. It applies also to matters attributed to each Member State by the MDR or not governed at all by said diploma, as is the case of the regime applicable to the promotion of medical devices.

## **i Classification**

The definitions of medicinal product for human use and of medical device are identical to those arising from EU legislation. The distinction between them is, in essence, made based on the intended use and the mechanism through which this is achieved. As under the Directive, in case of doubt, the classification as a medicinal product prevails.

Other regulated products, such as food supplements or cosmetics, also closely follow EU legislation, particularly regarding their classification.

## **ii Non-clinical studies**

Directive 2010/63/EU<sup>[7]</sup> on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes was transposed into Portuguese law by Decree-Law No. 113/2013,<sup>[8]</sup> establishing several requirements applicable to the use of animals for scientific or educational purposes, namely in what concerns the accommodation, care and use of animals in procedures; the origin, breeding, marking and killing of animals; licensing of breeders, suppliers and users; and the procedures for evaluation and authorisation of scientific or educational projects.

In addition, and similarly to what happens at EU level, the testing of finished cosmetic products and cosmetic ingredients on animals is prohibited, with the same applying to the marketing thereof if animal testing was conducted for cosmetic purposes.

### iii Clinical trials

In April 2014, a legal regime for clinical research was approved,<sup>[9]</sup> consolidating in one legal act the provisions applicable to clinical studies, whether interventional or not, and covering medicines, medical devices and cosmetics. The regime encompasses the provisions of Directive 2001/20/EC<sup>[10]</sup> regarding the conduct of clinical trials on medicinal products for human use and the provisions of Directive 2007/47/EC<sup>[11]</sup> on clinical investigation with medical devices – the latter revoked with the entry into force of the MDR. Regulation No. 536/2014<sup>[12]</sup> on clinical trials on medicinal products became applicable on 31 January 2022, and Law No. 21/2014 was not revoked or amended. From 31 January 2023 forward, clinical trial applications must be submitted through the Regulation and no longer through Law No. 21/2014.

Under Law No. 21/2014, both the sponsor and the investigator are jointly and severally liable, regardless of fault, for damages suffered by subjects – liability that must be covered by insurance. Should an interventional study be at stake, there is a legal presumption that damage affecting subject health during the study and for a one-year period following its term (which may be extended by the ethics committee) is caused by the study. This reverses the general rule on burden of proof, subject to which whosoever alleges damage should demonstrate the causal relationship between the damage and the act (in this case, the study).

### iv Named-patient and compassionate use procedures

Similar to what happens under EU legislation, the general rule is that medicines can only be marketed following the grant of a marketing authorisation. In exceptional circumstances, however, Infarmed may authorise the use of non-approved medicines, such as when the product, subject to a clinical assessment, is considered indispensable for the treatment of a given pathology and there is no therapeutic alternative among authorised products or when it is necessary to prevent or limit the spread of pathogens, toxins, chemical or nuclear radiation agents likely to cause adverse effects. In August 2023, Infarmed published a new regulation for these exceptional use authorisations (EUA), which aims at simplifying and clarifying the regime. One of the changes is the two separate categories of EUAs, one for population groups and one for a specific patient, with separate procedures for both.

Within the context of interventional clinical studies, following the conclusion of a study, the sponsor is under an obligation to supply the investigational medicinal product or device under clinical investigation for free until its marketing, if the investigator considers that

continuation of its use by the former participant is indispensable and that there are no therapeutic alternatives with an equivalent degree of safety and efficacy.

## **v Pre-market clearance**

The Medicines Act reflects EU rules in this regard. Medicines can only be placed on the market following the granting of a marketing authorisation, Infarmed being the competent authority for authorising medicines that follow national procedures.

Marketing of medical devices bearing a conformity CE mark in Portugal does not require any authorisation from Infarmed. Nonetheless, Infarmed must be notified of all medical devices marketed by a given entity prior to its commercialisation, and until EUDAMED is fully operational.

## **vi Regulatory incentives**

The Medicines Act reflects the regime established in the Directive regarding regulatory data protection and market exclusivity. Generic applications cannot be submitted for a period of eight years following the first authorisation in the European Union. After this eight-year period has elapsed, the generic cannot be launched on the market for an additional two years. This period may be extended for one supplementary year should the innovator, within the data protection period of eight years, obtain a marketing authorisation for one or more new indications of significant clinical benefit.

Patent linkage is not permitted. The Medicines Act expressly provides that marketing authorisation applications cannot be dismissed on the grounds of the potential existence of industrial property rights of the reference product. A similar rule exists for pricing and reimbursement decisions.

There are no special provisions to encourage the development or market launch of innovative products, including orphan drugs. However, special provisions to encourage the sale of generics exist in a variety of areas; for example, generics benefit from a simplified pricing and reimbursement regime and prescription is mandatorily made by active substance once a generic is launched in the market, generic substitution being the rule, except in very limited circumstances expressly provided for by law. Incentives of a similar nature, although less intense, also exist for biosimilars.

## **vii Post-approval controls**

Pharmacovigilance rules applicable to medicinal products were modified in 2013 with the transposition into Portuguese law of Directives 2010/84/EU and 2012/26/EU.<sup>[13]</sup> In the same year, the provisions of Directive 2011/62/EU<sup>[14]</sup> regarding prevention of entry into the supply chain of falsified medicinal products were also transposed, with the Medicines Act currently closely following EU legislation on these matters, such as the placing of safety devices on the packaging of certain medicinal products to identify and authenticate them. The Medicines Act was also amended in 2018 to implement Delegated Regulation 2016/161,<sup>[15]</sup> which established detailed rules for these safety devices

Vigilance requirements applicable to medical devices now stem from the MDR. Nevertheless, the notification of incidents and security measures falls under the scope of the Transitory Regime, until EUDAMED is fully functional.

### **viii Manufacturing controls**

In line with the Directive, the manufacture of medicinal products is subject to prior authorisation by Infarmed, even if products are intended for export. An authorisation will only be granted if the applicant has adequate premises that comply with the applicable legislation and with the European Commission Guidelines on Good Manufacturing Practice (in 2018, the Medicines Act was amended to transpose Directive 2017/1572)<sup>[16]</sup> and has a qualified person permanently and continuously at its disposal. The qualified person, who is responsible for all manufacturing activities performed, must be a pharmacist registered with the Portuguese Order of Pharmacists.

Any change to the manufacturing authorisation requires prior authorisation by Infarmed.

Manufacturers of active substances established in Portugal register their activity with Infarmed.

Subject to prior notification to Infarmed (and until EUDAMED is fully operational) are, among others, the manufacture of medical devices, as well as the assembling, packaging, processing, fully refurbishing, labelling or assigning them to a different purpose than the original. The engagement in these activities is dependent on the applicant having adequate premises and equipment with capacity to ensure the manufacture, storage and conservation of medical devices and a technician responsible to ensure the quality of the activities performed.

### **ix Advertising and promotion**

The regime applicable to the advertising of medicines closely follows the regime set out in the Directive. The major differences relate to the definition of advertising, the scope of the prohibition on granting benefits to healthcare professionals and the prohibition on granting any kind of benefit to patients. In these matters, the Medicines Act goes beyond what is established in the Directive.

First, the definition of advertising under the Medicines Act is broader than that set out in the Directive. Under the Medicines Act, advertising is considered as any form of information, prospecting or incentive that has the purpose or effect of promoting the prescription, purchase sale, acquisition or consumption of medicines. Contrary to what is foreseen in the Directive, Portuguese law does not require that the conduct be designed to promote a given product for it to qualify as advertising. It suffices that the conduct at issue has that effect.

Second, the Medicines Act extends the scope of the prohibition on pharmaceutical companies granting gifts, pecuniary advantages or benefits in kind to healthcare professionals to also include bonuses – a notion that is associated with the granting of discounts in kind, such as free products. The broadening of this prohibition is particularly relevant to the relationship between pharmaceutical companies and pharmacies, being hardly in line with the EU legal framework and with the principle that promotion rules do not

apply to measures or trade practices related to prices, margins and discounts – provided for in both the Directive and the Medicines Act.

Finally, and similar to what happens in relation to healthcare professionals, pharmaceutical companies cannot grant or promise to grant, directly or indirectly, gifts, prizes, bonuses, pecuniary advantages or benefits in kind to patients.

Decree-Law No. 36/2021, of 19 May, approved an important update to the Medicines Act, establishing a clear prohibition of advertising discounts on the price of medicines that cannot be advertised before the general public (i.e., medicines subject to medical prescription, reimbursed medicines and medicines containing controlled substances). The legislator justified this change by arguing that, while discounts can protect the rights and interests of the consumers, advertising said discounts may undermine the rational use of medicines.

Although companies are under an obligation to provide Infarmed with a short description of all advertising materials, no prior approval is required. Companies must nevertheless notify Infarmed in advance of the sponsorship of any congress, symposium or event of an educational or promotional nature.

The regime applicable to advertising and promotion of medical devices is very similar to that applicable to medicines. There is, however, no prohibition on granting gifts or benefits to the public. Medical devices whose use requires the intervention of healthcare professionals, such as implantable medical devices, cannot be promoted to the public.

Medical device companies are also required to notify Infarmed in advance of the sponsorship of any congress, symposium or event of an educational or promotional nature.

## **x Distributors and wholesalers**

Wholesale distribution of medicines is subject to prior authorisation from Infarmed. Until 2019, the only exception to this rule applied to the holders of manufacturing authorisations in relation to the products covered by those authorisations (similar to what happens under the Directive). Further to the amendments introduced in the Medicines Act by Decree-Law No. 112/2019,<sup>[17]</sup> MA holders or their local representatives are also exempted from this obligation in relation to the products covered by those authorisations, if such activity is pursued by a duly authorised wholesaler. In such cases, MA holders are nevertheless required to register their wholesale activity before Infarmed.

Decree-Law No. 112/2019 brought several relevant changes. It drew a distinction between wholesalers and logistics operators and, more importantly, supply obligations falling upon wholesalers have been reinforced to ensure patient access to medicines – similarly to what happened with supply obligations falling upon MA holders.

'Logistics operators' are entities responsible for performing logistics services and pursuing wholesale activities on behalf of the MA holder or the manufacturer. The wholesale authorisation now details the wholesale activities for which it is granted and the premises where the activity is conducted, and it may be pursued by either a wholesaler or a logistics operator with premises in Portugal.

It is now clearly stated in the Medicines Act that the wholesale activity's main function is to guarantee adequate and continuous supply of the Portuguese territory. Wholesalers

continue to be under a legal obligation to have medicines permanently available in sufficient quantity and variety to ensure the appropriate and continued supply of medicinal products to guarantee the satisfaction of patients' needs. However, it has been clarified that wholesalers can only export or sell within the EU after ensuring that they have fully satisfied national demand. In parallel, Infarmed has the power to prevent the sale and exportation of medicines –inside or outside the EU – to protect public health or to ensure patient access to a given medicinal product. These recent amendments do not arise from the Directive. Minimum quantities of products that wholesalers must always keep to ensure satisfaction of patient demand are set out in a regulation issued by Infarmed.

Granting of a wholesale distribution authorisation depends on the applicant having adequate equipment and premises in Portugal to ensure proper conservation and distribution of medicines and a technical director that permanently and effectively ensures the quality of the activities carried out in the distribution premises. The technical director must be a pharmacist registered with the Portuguese Order of Pharmacists and personally fulfil his or her responsibilities in the wholesale premises. Technical directors may cumulate functions within the same wholesale premises, up to a limit of five wholesale distribution authorisations. In 2015, a new regulation on good distribution practices applicable to the wholesale distribution of medicines<sup>[18]</sup> was approved, closely following Commission Guideline 2013/C 343/01.<sup>[19]</sup> This Resolution was amended in September 2021<sup>[20]</sup> to bring its regime closer, in what the transport of medicines is concerned, to the regime provided for in the Commission Guideline.

The regime governing the brokering of medicinal products under the Medicines Act closely follows Directive 2011/62/EU.<sup>[21]</sup> Thus, brokering does not require prior authorisation from Infarmed and does not depend on the existence of premises or a permanent address in Portugal. Medicine brokers with a permanent address in Portugal must register their activity with Infarmed.

In accordance with the Transitory Regime, wholesale distribution of medical devices, although not subject to explicit authorisation from Infarmed, must be notified in advance to that authority, and is only permitted if the applicant has adequate premises and equipment with capacity to ensure good storage, conservation and distribution of medical devices and a responsible technical director is appointed to the wholesale premises to ensure the quality of the activities performed. In contrast to the regime applicable to medicines, the technical director does not have to be a pharmacist but must have an adequate technical qualification to ensure the quality of the distribution activity, as well as adequate knowledge of the rules applicable to medical devices. A final difference from the regime applicable to medicines is that wholesale premises do not have to be located in Portugal. Nonetheless, should the premises be located abroad, the applicant must comply with the Portuguese legal provisions applicable to the wholesale distribution of medical devices. This regime is extremely demanding and, in many aspects, follows the good distribution practices for medicines.

## **xi Classification of products**

The criteria laid down in the Medicines Act for classifying a medicine for medical prescription are very similar to those set out in the Directive.

The classification has consequences for the regime applicable to advertising, pricing, reimbursement and dispensing. Only non-prescription products may be promoted to the general public, as under the Directive. In addition, while there is no price control for non-prescription drugs (unless these are reimbursed – the general rule, however, is that non-prescription products are not), prescription products have their maximum sale prices approved, regardless of whether they are reimbursed or not. Finally, whereas the dispensing of prescription drugs is restricted to pharmacies – unless subject to restricted medical prescription, in which case they can only be dispensed or administered in hospitals – over-the-counter products (OTCs) may be sold at points of sale duly authorised by Infarmed.

## **xii Imports and exports**

In line with the regime laid down in the Directive, the importation of medicines is subject to prior authorisation from Infarmed, with requirements very similar to those applicable to the manufacture of medicines (see Section III.viii). Importation of active substances is also subject to registration with Infarmed. Exportation of medicinal products neither requires any authorisation from Infarmed nor any registration with this authority.

As regards medical devices, there are no additional requirements related to imports and exports other than those applicable to the manufacture, placing on the market and wholesale distribution analysed above.

## **xiii Controlled substances**

Manufacture, use, marketing, distribution, importation, exportation and possession of narcotics and psychotropic substances are subject to a specific regime. Narcotics and psychotropic substances are divided into several categories, each identifying the relevant substances. Infarmed is responsible for authorising these activities in relation to certain categories of substances. Specific requirements also exist for prescribing, dispensing, and keeping records when such substances are included in medicinal products.

Further to constituting a misdemeanour punishable with a fine, engagement in any of the above-mentioned activities without the relevant authorisation may be considered a criminal offence.

In addition, the use of cannabis-based medicines, preparations and substances for medicinal purposes was authorised under Law No. 33/2018.<sup>[22]</sup> 'Cannabis-based medicines, preparations and substances' are defined as the leaves, flowers and fruits of the cannabis plant, as well as oil and other standard extracts or preparations obtained from the plant.

Physicians are only allowed to prescribe cannabis-based products if conventional treatments with authorised medicines are not having the expected effects or are generating relevant adverse effects. Additionally, cannabis-based products can only be prescribed for use in indications authorised by Infarmed.

Law 33/2018 further indicates that these products must be prescribed by a physician, pursuant to a special medical prescription, which must be approved by the Ministry of Health. The prescription must mention the names of the physician and the patient, and

it must identify the cannabis-based medicine, preparation or substance, as well as the relevant quantity, dosage and form of administration.

Law 33/2018 is regulated by Decree-Law No. 8/2019,<sup>[23]</sup> which defines and regulates the authorisations for the activities of cultivation, manufacturing, distribution, importing, exporting and transportation of cannabis-based medicines, preparations and substances. Ordinance No. 83/2021, approved in 2021, set forth the requirements applicable for requesting the authorisations for each of these activities. The placing on the market of cannabis-based preparations and substances depends on a marketing placing authorisation granted by Infarmed.<sup>[24]</sup>

Cannabis-based products can only be sold in pharmacies. The buyer is required to provide identification or evidence of being the legal guardian of the patient, together with the prescription. Each prescription can only be used once (i.e., the law does not provide for a renewable prescription, or for a prescription that can be used several times).

Ordinance No. 44-A/2019<sup>[25]</sup> establishes the pricing regime for cannabis-based preparations and substances.

#### **xiv Enforcement**

Infarmed is entrusted with the supervision and enforcement of regulatory provisions applicable to medicines and medical devices.

A breach of the provisions of the Medicines Act is considered a misdemeanour punishable with a fine calculated according to the infringer's annual turnover, or a fine of a predetermined fixed amount (whichever is lower). In addition to this penalty, a breach of these provisions, including advertising, may also give rise to ancillary sanctions to be applied by Infarmed, such as a prohibition on exercising the activity, exclusion from participation in public tenders and the suspension of any authorisations and permits – all up to a maximum of two years.

A breach of the provisions of the Medical Devices Act is also considered a misdemeanour punishable according to Legal Regime of Economic Offences. In this case, the main sanction is a fine; however, the respective amount is determined depending on the number of employees of the infringer.

Should the infringement of promotion rules be at stake, both regarding medicines and medical devices, Infarmed may order that the condemnatory decision be published in the media as well as the suspension of advertising of the product concerned for a period of up to two years. Medicinal products may further be delisted as a result of infringement of promotion rules.

Infarmed has broad inspection powers. For instance, it may carry out inspections at the premises of MA holders, verify their records, documentation and the pharmacovigilance system master file. It may also inspect premises and equipment of wholesalers whose distribution authorisation was granted by Infarmed or that are established in Portugal, at the request of competent authorities from other Member States or the European Commission.

### **Pricing and reimbursement**

On 1 June 2015, Decree-Law No. 97/2015 was published, creating the System of Assessment of Health Technologies (SiNATS). SiNATS consolidated the provisions applicable to pricing, reimbursement and prior evaluation procedures. It introduced three main changes: (1) clear reinforcement of the powers of public authorities, the state being granted the capacity to unilaterally and in an almost unlimited manner amend and terminate contractual agreements executed with the pharmaceutical industry; (2) an unprecedented concentration of powers within Infarmed; and (3) flexibility on applicable rules, considering that several matters are referred to governmental and Infarmed regulations, thus facilitating the swift amendment of provisions.

Several decrees have been approved since the entry into force of SiNATS, establishing the regime regarding specific matters, such as for reimbursement and prior evaluation procedures,<sup>[26]</sup> and the rules and procedures applicable to the setting and revision of prices of medicines subject to medical prescription and reimbursed OTCs, as well as corresponding marketing margins.<sup>[27]</sup>

Notwithstanding the importance of SiNATS, the essential features of the previous regimes remain untouched. For example, the rules on pricing and reimbursement of medicines continue to essentially differ depending on the classification of the product for dispensing purposes.

Medicines subject to medical prescription, but not a restricted medical prescription, and generally sold in street pharmacies, must undergo a price approval procedure before Infarmed prior to being launched on the market. In this context, a maximum sales price is approved, which, in the case of branded products, is determined by reference to the price applied in three reference countries. This price is subject to annual revision in accordance with the same criteria.

The Minister of Health is competent to approve reimbursement, which will only be granted should the therapeutic added value and economic advantage of the product be demonstrated.

Another striking feature of SiNATS lies in the increased importance of the execution of agreements between Infarmed and the MA holders, although they are still not legally mandatory – except in the case of hospital products. These agreements typically set a maximum sale value for the product, and, if this amount is exceeded, the difference should be paid back by the MA holder to the National Health Service (NHS). Other types of agreements are expressly provided for under SiNATS, such as risk-sharing arrangements. SiNATS also approved specific rules for the reimbursement of similar biological medicines conditioning their approval to its price not exceeding 80 per cent of the price of the reference biological medicine.

A 'reference price' system exists in the context of reimbursement. Until a generic is launched on the market, the percentage of state reimbursement applies to the retail sales price of the product and ranges from 15 to 90 per cent, save in exceptional circumstances provided for in specific regulations. The placing on the market of a generic, however, creates a 'homogenous group', composed of branded or innovative medicines and generics with the same active substance, dosage, method of administration and pharmaceutical form, and to the approval of the corresponding reference price – equivalent to the average of the retail sale price of the five lowest-priced products included in the group. Following approval of the reference price, the maximum amount of state reimbursement for products

included in the relevant group will be determined by applying the respective reimbursement percentage to said reference price.

Similarly, before they can be sold to NHS hospitals, medicines subject to medical prescription must undergo an evaluation procedure, where the applicable maximum sales prices are approved by the Ministry of Health, or Infarmed, should this competence be delegated. Until the approval of SiNATS, this regime only existed for medicines subject to restricted medical prescription. Note, however, that if the medicine is already subject to reimbursement, it is exempted from this procedure – unless otherwise decided by the Ministry of Health, or Infarmed, if applicable.

As with reimbursement, the therapeutic added value and economic advantage of the product under evaluation must be demonstrated for a favourable decision to be issued. That decision further implies the execution of an agreement between Infarmed and the MA holder. These agreements usually establish a maximum sale value for the product and, if this amount is exceeded, the difference should be paid back by the MA holder to the NHS.

The relevance given to the economic advantage factor was further highlighted with the entry into force of Ordinance No. 391/2019,<sup>[28]</sup> which approved Methodologic Guidelines for Studies on Economic Evaluation of Health Technologies. Said diploma, together with the Guidelines published by Infarmed,<sup>[29]</sup> should be considered by pharmaceutical companies in the context of reimbursement procedures, as well as evaluation procedures applicable to products to be sold to NHS hospitals.

Prior to the approval of SiNATS in 2015, the applicable rule regarding medical devices was that the relevant sales price was either free or arose from public procurement procedures, whenever applicable, with the exception of test strips, needles, syringes and lancets destined for persons with diabetes that were subject to a price control and reimbursement regime.

Since then, reimbursement regimes have been set for pressurised inhalers,<sup>[30]</sup> medical devices for ostomates,<sup>[31]</sup> medical devices for patients with urinary incontinence and urinary retention<sup>[32]</sup> and medical devices for the continuous subcutaneous infusion of insulin.<sup>[33]</sup>

As a result of SiNATS, the medical devices sector may evolve from a state of relative commercial freedom, where only the prices of these products were controlled, to one of high regulation. SiNATS sets out the possibility of administratively determining the sales prices of medical devices and of approving their reimbursement, as well as requiring these products to undergo a prior evaluation procedure, similar to the existing procedure for medicines. This general legal framework has rarely been enforced and the medical devices sector continues to be poorly regulated.

In September 2017, significant changes were made to SiNATS.<sup>[34]</sup> Homogeneous groups were created for similar biological medicinal products and a maximum price was enacted for the sale of these products to NHS hospitals. Infarmed's powers regarding reimbursement have been strengthened. Not only can it modify the terms of reimbursement, but it can also promote, on its own initiative and at any time, the evaluation or re-evaluation of reimbursement because of public health reasons.

There was a reiteration and reinforcement of the rule that medicines subject to prior evaluation can only be purchased by NHS hospitals without the execution of a prior evaluation agreement on an exceptional basis (namely, when the patient suffers from

a life-threatening disease or risks severe complications and there is no therapeutic alternative), following a specific request from the hospital concerned and prior authorisation from Infarmed. This matter was further developed in a regulation approved by Infarmed regarding early access programmes.<sup>[35]</sup> Subject to this regulation, and in line with what is set out in the law, before obtaining a favourable decision within the context of a prior evaluation procedure, medicines should be supplied to NHS hospitals free of charge during a certain period.

## Administrative and judicial remedies

Final decisions from Infarmed in the context of regulatory, pricing and reimbursement matters are subject to judicial review by administrative courts. The decisions are immediately effective, with the initiation of legal action per se not suspending their effects. Matters of a technical nature are not reviewed by administrative courts, except in cases of manifest error, and administrative courts do not issue technical judgments.

In addition, decisions issued by Infarmed within the context of misdemeanour proceedings initiated because of a breach of regulatory provisions are subject to appeal before the judicial courts.

## Financial relationships with prescribers and payers

The Medicines Act transposed into Portuguese law the provisions of the Directive on the promotion of medicinal products, including interactions with healthcare professionals. Pharmaceutical companies cannot offer or promise to offer, directly or indirectly, gifts, pecuniary advantages or benefits in kind to healthcare professionals, unless they are inexpensive and relevant to the practice of medicine or pharmacy. For several years there was no legal indication as to what should be considered inexpensive. This changed in 2013 and since then, this amount has been increased and is currently set at €60.<sup>[36]</sup>

Transparency obligations were also enacted in 2013, requiring pharmaceutical companies to notify Infarmed of any payment or offer exceeding €60 made to any individual or legal entity, such as healthcare professionals, medical or scientific associations, patient associations and healthcare institutions. The recipient is also required to validate this notification. The absence of a validation or justification for rejection will be deemed equivalent to the notification being correct. This information is publicly available on Infarmed's website.

Similar rules exist in the context of medical devices. The principle that no offer can be made to healthcare professionals unless of insignificant value and relevant to the healthcare professional's practice dates back to 2009 and, as from 2017, is subject to the same limit as for medicinal products: €60.<sup>[37]</sup>

In early 2014,<sup>[38]</sup> a specific conflict-of-interest regime for the health sector was approved. The regime prevents, among other things, members of commissions, working groups, juries and NHS consultants whose role involves the market access of products (e.g., involvement in pricing and reimbursement procedures, in pharmacoeconomic assessments, in the approval of therapeutic guidelines and purchase procedures) from performing functions paid by pharmaceutical companies, either regularly or occasionally. A

breach of these rules constitutes a misdemeanour punishable with a fine and any opinions or decisions adopted by those entities will not produce any legal effects and any decisions adopted by decision-making bodies based on the same are considered null and void.

Decree-Law No. 5/2017<sup>[39]</sup> provides that NHS establishments and services are prohibited from receiving direct or indirect financial benefits or benefits in kind from pharmaceutical and medical device companies, unless it can be demonstrated that receiving these benefits does not compromise the establishment or service's exemption or impartiality, and prior authorisation from the Ministry of Health is obtained. Despite also establishing that educational or scientific events with promotional purposes or sponsored by pharmaceutical or medical device companies cannot take place in NHS establishments and services, Order No. 5657/2017<sup>[40]</sup> clarified that pharma companies may actually support scientific events taking place in NHS establishments, except if those events have a promotional character.

## Special liability or compensation systems

Except for damages arising from harm suffered by subjects in clinical studies (see Section III.iii), there is no specific compensation or liability regime applicable to damages arising from harm caused by the use of medicines or medical devices. Product liability claims are therefore subject to the general legal regime concerning liability for defective products.

## Transactional and competition issues

### i Competition law

The Portuguese Competition Law (PCL) prohibits agreements, concerted practices and decisions by associations of undertakings, as well as abuses of a dominant position, capable of preventing, distorting or restricting competition in the Portuguese market. Competition rules apply to healthcare undertakings, in particular to pharmaceutical companies, despite being subject to sector regulation in matters such as market access, distribution and pricing.

In August 2022, Law 17/2022 was enacted, transposing the ECN+ Directive (Directive (EU) 2019/1), empowering national competition authorities with appropriate enforcement tools to achieve a genuine common competition enforcement area. Law 17/2022 introduces several changes to the PCL, namely extending the material scope of the fines: the maximum amount of 10 per cent will now consider all the legal persons that comprise the infringing undertaking (i.e., considering the entire group/worldwide).

In 2019, one second phase hospital merger clearance was granted on the grounds of the failing firm defence.

In 2020, no decisions were taken against pharmaceutical companies on the grounds of infringement of competition rules on restrictive agreements or market power abuses. All merger cases were cleared in the first phase. In May 2020, the PCA issued guidance regarding a proposal of the ANF on the maximum margin to apply in the sale of personal protective equipment against covid-19.

In April 2021, the PCA issued several recommendations, addressed to the Portuguese government, in the context of the provision of hemodialysis, to promote effective patient choice and the removal of unnecessary barriers to opening new clinics.

In May 2021, the PCA sanctioned a medical device manufacturer for concluding a vertical agreement involving market sharing and ban on passive sales, with potential impact on the determination of prices and other commercial conditions to be practiced by distributors.

In May 2022, the PCA issued a statement of objections against Dietmed (food supplements) for fixing the resale price of its products sold by independent distributors.

In July 2022, the PCA issued a decision against five healthcare groups (CUF, Trofa Saúde, Hospital Particular do Algarve, Lusíadas and Luz Saúde) and the Portuguese Private Hospitalization Association (APHP) for an alleged agreement or concerted practice restricting competition in the negotiation process with public health sub-system the Institute for Health Protection and Assistance (ADSE). The total fines imposed on the five private healthcare groups and APHP amounted to €191 million. These undertakings and the ADSE were condemned of having coordinated while negotiating ADSE prices to be charged for medical services rendered to the ADSE, as well as coordinating the (threat) of suspension or termination of the agreement concluded with the ADSE to hinder the regularisation of the invoicing by the ADSE for 2015 and 2016.

In September 2022, the PCA issued a statement of objections against ITM (Instituto de Telemedicina), Affidea Group, Lifefocus Group and GS24 for allegedly participating in a cartel in public tenders for the provision of teleradiology services to hospitals and hospital centres in Portugal. These undertakings were charged for having implemented an agreement and concerted practice under which they jointly defined which companies would submit the winning bids in the public tenders for the provision of teleradiology services. In October 2022, the PCA adopted two settlement decisions against two companies, imposing fines of €5.2 million.

In December 2022, the PCA issued a statement of objections against seven major laboratory groups. According to the PCA, the companies have allegedly been coordinating their behaviour, through the common association representing the sector (ANL), on negotiations with public institutions for the provision of services to the National Health Service, other health subsystems and insurance companies, resulting in price fixing and allocation of territories. They are also suspected of entering into concerted practices not to solicit or employ their competitors' employees.

In December 2022, the PCA sanctioned Farmodiética (a food supplements company) for fixing the resale the price of its products in Portugal. The case was concluded by a settlement procedure, with a 30 per cent reduction of the fine, resulting in a total fine of €1.2 million.

In 2022, all merger operations regarding the health and pharmaceutical sector were cleared by the PCA, and one company was convicted for gun-jumping, (i.e., for implementation of a notifiable merger transaction before a clearance decision issued by the PCA imposing a record fine of €2.5 million). In 2022, the PCA issued four statements of objections and 11 sanctioning decisions, imposing fines amounting to €487 million. It also carried out three unannounced inspections at 11 premises of 24 entities, namely in the healthcare and IT consulting areas.

In 2023, a new president and a board member were appointed to the PCA's board of directors. No decisions were taken against pharmaceutical companies on the grounds of infringement of competition rules on restrictive agreements or market power abuses. All merger cases were cleared in the first phase.

In September 2023, the Lisbon Court of Appeal upheld the PCA decision imposing an historical fine of €24 million for fixing the resale price prices sold by independent distributors in the food and beverage sector.

## ii Transactional issues

In 2023 we were not aware of relevant transactional issues related to companies in the pharmaceutical and medical devices sectors.

## Outlook and conclusions

The year 2023 finally brought the expiry of the laws published in the context of the covid-19 pandemic.

Infarmed has been following European trends, for instance, regarding antibiotics. In line with European efforts to prevent antibiotics stockouts, Infarmed has been monitoring supply and demand for the most used antibiotics in Portugal and is liaising with MA holders, especially those that manufacture antibiotics in Portugal, to ensure regular and continuous supply.

Medicine availability is a major concern for Infarmed. The Agency is even including generics in the list of medicines whose exportation is suspended because of public health reasons. Additionally, the newly created list of 'critical medicines' implies the adoption of additional measures to ensure they remain on the market, such as the obligation to have a safety stock of four months (instead of the usual two months).

Reimbursement contracts have been somewhat disregarded during the covid-19 pandemic. Infarmed is now taking a more aggressive stance in the negotiation of those contracts, a tendency that will certainly continue to affect the industry in the coming years.

As for medical devices, and despite the direct application of the MDR and the In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices Regulation, supposedly an additional national diploma would be approved to ensure the implementation of such regulations in the national legal system, but it has been in the legislative circuit for quite a long time and there is no indication it will be approved soon.

The exact same situation has been happening with clinical trials legislation since Regulation No. 536/2014 became applicable.

### Endnotes

- 1 Francisca Paulouro is of counsel, Pedro Fontes is a managing associate and Beatriz Albuquerque is an associate at Vieira de Almeida. [^ Back to section](#)
- 2 Council Directive 89/105/EEC of 21 December 1988. [^ Back to section](#)

- 3** Decree-Law No. 176/2006 of 30 August 2006, as amended. [^ Back to section](#)
- 4** Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use. [^ Back to section](#)
- 5** Medical Device Regulation No. 2017/745 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017. [^ Back to section](#)
- 6** In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices Regulation No. 2017/746 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 April 2017. [^ Back to section](#)
- 7** Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010. [^ Back to section](#)
- 8** Decree-Law No. 113/2013 of 7 August 2013, as amended. [^ Back to section](#)
- 9** Law No. 21/2014 of 16 April 2014. [^ Back to section](#)
- 10** Directive 2001/20/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 April 2001 on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to the implementation of good clinical practice in the conduct of clinical trials on medicinal products for human use. [^ Back to section](#)
- 11** Directive 2007/47/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 September 2007, amending Council Directive 90/385/EEC on the approximation of the laws of the Member States relating to active implantable medical devices, Council Directive 93/42/EEC concerning medical devices and Directive 98/8/EC concerning the placing of biocidal products on the market. [^ Back to section](#)
- 12** Regulation (EU) No. 536/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on clinical trials on medicinal products for human use, and repealing Directive 2001/20/EC. [^ Back to section](#)
- 13** Directive 2010/84/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 December 2010 and Directive 2012/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012. [^ Back to section](#)
- 14** Directive 2011/62/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2011. [^ Back to section](#)
- 15** Commission Delegated Regulation 2016/161 of the European Commission, of 2 October 2015, as amended. [^ Back to section](#)
- 16** Directive 2017/1572 of the European Commission of 15 September 2017. [^ Back to section](#)

- 17 Decree-Law No. 112/2019 of 16 August 2019. [^ Back to section](#)
- 18 Infarmed Resolution No. 047/CD/2015 of 19 March 2015. [^ Back to section](#)
- 19 Commission Guideline 2013/C 343 of 5 November 2013. [^ Back to section](#)
- 20 Resolution No. 946/2021 of 13 September 2021. [^ Back to section](#)
- 21 Directive 2011/62/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2011. [^ Back to section](#)
- 22 Law No. 33/2018 of 18 July 2018 (Law 33/2018). [^ Back to section](#)
- 23 Decree-Law No. 8/2019 of 15 January 2019. [^ Back to section](#)
- 24 *ibid.* [^ Back to section](#)
- 25 Ordinance No. 44-A/2019 of 31 January 2019. [^ Back to section](#)
- 26 Ordinance No. 195-A/2015 of 30 July 2015 as amended. [^ Back to section](#)
- 27 Ordinance No. 195-C/2015 of 30 July 2015 as amended. [^ Back to section](#)
- 28 Ordinance No. 391/2019 of 30 October 2019. [^ Back to section](#)
- 29 On 12 December 2019. [^ Back to section](#)
- 30 Ordinance No. 246/2015 of 14 August 2015. [^ Back to section](#)
- 31 Ordinance No. 284/2016 of 4 November 2016, as amended. [^ Back to section](#)
- 32 Ordinance No. 92-E/2017 of 3 March 2017. [^ Back to section](#)
- 33 Ordinance No. 187/2022 of 22 July 2022. [^ Back to section](#)
- 34 Decree-Law No. 115/2017 of 7 September 2017. [^ Back to section](#)
- 35 Infarmed Resolution No. 80/CD/2017 of 24 October 2017. [^ Back to section](#)
- 36 Order No. 1542/2017 of 31 January 2017. [^ Back to section](#)
- 37 *ibid.* [^ Back to section](#)
- 38 Decree-Law No. 14/2014 of 22 January 2014. [^ Back to section](#)
- 39 Decree-Law No. 5/2017 of 6 January 2017. [^ Back to section](#)

40 Order No. 5657/2017 of 28 June 2017. [^ Back to section](#)



---

**Francisca Paulouro**  
**Pedro Fontes**  
**Beatriz Albuquerque**

fp@vda.pt  
pfo@vda.pt  
bal@vda.pt

---

Vieira de Almeida & Associados

[Read more from this firm on Lexology](#)