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1. Overview

1.1 Public Procurement Legislation


This amendment was complemented by Ministerial Order (Portaria) 371/2017, of 14 December 2017, which established the model contract notices applicable to the pre-contractual procedures under the PCC, and Ministerial Order 372/2017 of the same date, which established the rules and terms concerning submission of the contractor’s qualification documents.

The last significant change to the PCC was approved by Decree-Law 54/2023, of 14 July 2023, which introduced minor changes to the PCC, reducing limitations on subcontracting in public works contracts, as set forth in 5.5 Legislative Amendments Under Consideration.

Further Relevant Laws
Also relevant is Law 96/2015, of 17 August 2015, which establishes the legal framework for the access and use of electronic platforms for public procurement purposes, as well as Decree-Law 111/2012, of 23 May 2012, amended by Decree-Law 84/2019, of 28 June 2019, and Decree-Law 170/2019, of 4 December 2019 (the application of the latter having been ceased by Parliament’s Resolution No 16/2020), which provides for a special legal framework for public-private partnerships.

Additionally, Decree-Law No 28/2019, of 15 February 2019, as amended by Decree-Law No 48/2020, of 3 August 2020, was established in the context of SIMPLEX +, a programme that sets forth a series of measures to simplify and modernise the Portuguese public administration.

As such, the Portuguese government has promoted the implementation of digital receipts/electronic invoicing. The main objective of this
measure was to reduce paper tax invoices and stimulate digital transition, as well as to promote less bureaucracy in public administration.

**Autonomous Administrative Regions**

Portugal has two autonomous administrative regions, Madeira and the Azores, each of which has adapted regional public procurement rules to the particularities of their territories. In Madeira, the most relevant piece of legislation is Regional Legislative Decree No 34/2008/M, of 14 August 2008, as amended by the Regional Legislative Decree No 26/2022/M, which introduced minor adjustments to the national legal framework. In the Azores, the regional government approved Regional Legislative Decree 27/2015/A, of 29 December 2015, as amended by Regional Legislative Decree No 3/2017/A, which consolidated the main provisions referring to the award of public contracts in the region and implemented some provisions of the EU directives on public procurement not yet transposed into the national framework.

**The APC and ACPC**

Reference must be made to the Administrative Procedure Code (APC), approved by Decree-Law 4/2015, of 7 January 2015, and to the Administrative Courts Procedure Code (ACPC) and the Statute of Administrative and Tax Courts, both amended and republished by Decree-Law 214-G/2015, of 2 October 2015, and by Law No 118/2019, of September 2019; all three apply to public procurement procedures in general. The Law 56/2021, of 16 August 2021, also introduced changes to the ACPC. Moreover, 2023 remained an exceptional year with regard to the effects on public procurement of the legislation adopted in the general framework of uncertainty brought by the post COVID-19 pandemic situation and the exceptional economic situation originated by the war in Ukraine. A brief description of the legal developments in this context will be developed in 5.5 Legislative Amendments Under Consideration.

**1.2 Entities Subject to Procurement Regulation**

The PCC establishes a wide concept of contracting authorities. However, until the revision of the PCC introduced by Decree-Law 149/2012, of 12 July 2012, certain public entities – eg, public foundations for university education or corporate public hospitals – were excluded from its subjective scope of application.

Portuguese legislation currently recognises three main categories of contracting authorities.

**Category One**

Article 2(1) of the PCC enshrined the first group of entities; it is generally composed of the traditional public sector and includes:

- the Portuguese state;
- the autonomous regions;
- local authorities;
- municipalities;
- public institutes;
- independent administrative authorities;
- the Central Bank of Portugal;
- public foundations;
- public associations; and
- associations financed, for the most part, by the previous entities, or subject to management supervision of the aforementioned authorities or bodies, or where the major part of the members of its administrative, managerial or supervisory board is, directly or indirectly, appointed by the aforementioned entities.

**Category Two**

In accordance with Article 2(2) of the PCC, the second group of entities is made up of bodies governed by public law, including the following:
• bodies governed by public law that, regardless of their public or private nature:
  (a) were established for the specific purpose of meeting needs in the general interest;
  (b) do not have an industrial or commercial character (ie, not subject to competition); and
  (c) are financed, for the most part, by any entity of the traditional public sector or by other bodies governed by public law, or are subject to their management supervision, or where more than half of the members of its administrative, managerial or supervisory board are, directly or indirectly, appointed by the aforementioned entities;
• any entities that are in the same situation set forth in the previous paragraph in relation to an entity that is a public contracting authority under the same paragraph; and
• associations financed, for the most part, by the previous entities; or subject to management supervision of the aforementioned authorities or bodies; or where the major part of the members of its administrative, managerial or supervisory board is, directly or indirectly, appointed by the aforementioned entities;
• legal entities that are not included in the categories of Article 2 above, and that hold special or exclusive rights that have not been granted by means of an internationally advertised competitive procedure, with the effect of reserving to themselves or jointly with other entities the exercise of activities in the utilities sector and substantially affecting the ability of other entities to carry out such activities; and
• entities that were exclusively incorporated by the entities referred to in the two paragraphs above, that are financed by the same, for the most part, or are subject to the management supervision of said entities, or that have an administrative, managerial or supervisory board in which more than half of its members are directly or indirectly appointed by said entities, provided that they are destined to jointly operate in the utilities sectors.

Further Categories
Further to the three main categories of contracting authorities referred to above, the PCC extends its scope of application to entities that enter into public works contracts or associated public service contracts, provided those contracts are directly financed, for more than 50% of the contractual price, by contracting authorities and the values of the contracts to be executed are equal to or greater than the relevant thresholds (Article 275, PCC).
Additionally, the PCC extends the application of certain public procurement rules to contracts to be carried out by public works concessionaires or by entities holding special or exclusive rights, under certain circumstances expressly defined in Articles 276 and 277 of the PCC.

1.3 Types of Contracts Subject to Procurement Regulation
The contracts that are subject to procurement regulation are those whose scope is, or may be,
subject to competition. In this sense, in accordance with the PCC, the following contracts are considered to be subject to competition, without limitation:

• public works contracts;
• public works concessions;
• public services concessions;
• acquisition or lease of goods;
• acquisition of services; and
• company contracts.

Relevant thresholds (referring to the thresholds’ value net of VAT) may vary depending on the contracting authority and on whether the contracting authority pertains to the traditional public sector or to the utilities sector.

All public contracts executed by entities pertaining to the traditional public sector or that are considered bodies governed by public law fall within the scope of procurement law, regardless of the contract value. Nevertheless, contracts whose value is under certain amounts can be awarded through a non-competitive procedure (direct award) and their terms are also regulated by the PCC.

Part III of the PCC is applicable to all public contracts, regardless of their qualification as administrative contracts. The scope of application of the direct award was reduced by one of the latest amendments to the PCC, with the inclusion of a new procurement procedure (prior consultation) that imposes the consultation of three entities for the award of a contract.

1.4 Openness and International Competition
The PCC does not establish any restrictions on the opening of contract award procedures. However, the regulated competitive public procurement procedures must be advertised in the national gazette (Diário da República) and in the Official Journal of the European Union (OJEU) if their value is over the European thresholds.

1.5 Key Obligations of Awarding Authorities
According to Portuguese legislation, the award of contracts is subject to compliance with the principles of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union – in particular, the free movement of goods, freedom of establishment and freedom to provide services – as well as the principles deriving therefrom, such as equal treatment, non-discrimination, mutual recognition, proportionality, competition and transparency.

Additionally, the law sets forth key obligations regarding opening and selection of procurement procedure, notices, tender documents, procedure phases and the course of the procedure, bidders’ requirements and impediments, qualification and bid submission and evaluation, award, contract execution and performance.

for provision of services contracts, goods supply or leasing contracts – EUR428,000;
for public works contracts – EUR5,350,000; and
for service contracts for social and other specific services – EUR1 million.

All public works concession contracts and all public service concession contracts, as well as companies’ incorporation contracts, fall within the scope of the PCC, regardless of their value.
2. Contract Award Process

2.1 Prior Advertisement

Regarding the advertising of contract award procedures, contracting authorities are obliged to adopt two types of notices.

Prior Information Notices

According to Article 34(1) of the PCC, prior to the formal opening of the pre-contractual procedures, and in accordance with the transparency principle, the contracting authorities should disclose their annual procurement plan in a prior information notice that complies with the model provided in Article 48(1) of the Public Procurement Directive for publication in the OJEU, provided that the aggregate contractual value of the contracts to be executed during the following 12 months equals or exceeds the European thresholds (see 1.3 Types of Contracts Subject to Procurement Regulation).

In accordance with Article 34(2) of the PCC, contracting authorities may also send a prior information notice for publication in the OJEU that complies with the model provided in Article 31(2) and (3) of the Concession Contracts Directive, in the case of service contracts for social and other specific services listed in Appendix IV of the Directive.

Additionally, pursuant to Article 35 of the PCC, contracting entities in the special utilities sector may send an indicative periodical notice for publication in the OJEU, with the mentions provided for in Article 67 of the Utilities Directive, and covering a period of 12 months as a rule.

Notices may not be published on the purchaser’s profile until notice of their publication in this form has been sent to the Publications Office of the European Union, and the date of dispatch of the second notice must be expressly stated in the first notice.

Contract Notices

As mentioned in 1.3 Types of Contracts Subject to Procurement Regulation, depending on the value and the scope of the contract, public contract authorities are, as a rule, bound to advertise the awarding procedures. With the exception of the direct award and the prior consultation procedures, all public procurement procedures are required to be advertised in advance in the Diário da República, and, in certain cases, also in the OJEU.

The information to be included in the contract notices is provided for in Annex V of the Public Procurement Directive (for announcements to be published in the OJEU) or in Ministerial Order 371/2017 (for notices to be published in the Diário da República), and varies according to the type of procedure. However, regardless of the type of procedure, the following information is expected to be disclosed in all advertisements:

• the identity of the contracting authority;
• the internet address at which the procurement documents will be available;
• the type of contracting authority and main activity;
• a description of the procurement (nature and extent of works, nature and quantity or value of supplies, nature and extent of services);
• the estimated total order of magnitude of the contract;
• admission or prohibition of variant bids;
• the timeframe for delivery or provision of supplies, works or services and, as far as possible, duration of the contract;
• the conditions for participation;
• the type of award procedure, and, where appropriate, reasons for use of an accelerated procedure;
• the criteria to be used for the award of the contract or contracts; and
• the time limit for receipt of tenders (open procedures) or requests to participate (restricted procedures, competitive procedures with negotiation, dynamic purchasing systems, competitive dialogues, innovation partnerships).

2.2 Preliminary Market Consultations
Significant amendments to the PCC in 2017 included the introduction of Article 35-A, regarding “preliminary market consultations”. As a result of this, the awarding authorities may conduct informal market consultations before the launch of the contract award procedure: namely, requesting the opinion of experts, independent authorities or economic operators.

2.3 Tender Procedure for the Award of a Contract
The PCC provides for the following procurement procedures:

• direct award – one bidder will be invited to submit bids;
• prior consultation – at least three entities will be invited to submit bids;
• open procedure – any interested entity is free to submit bids after the publication of a tender notice;
• restricted procedure with pre-qualification – similar to an open procedure but comprising two stages – submitting technical and financial qualification documents, and selecting candidates; and submitting bids, evaluating bids and award;
• negotiated procedure – including the same two phases as the restricted procedure, with pre-qualification and a third phase for the negotiation of bids;
• competitive dialogue – whenever a contracting authority is not able to specify a definitive and concrete solution for the contract and launches a tender to which bidders submit solutions; and
• partnership for innovation – whenever a contracting authority seeks to contract the performance of activities of R&D of goods, services or innovative works, with the intention of further purchasing it.

Both the prior consultation procedure and the partnership for innovation were introduced in the PCC in its 11th amendment, of 2017.

Negotiation With Bidders
The use of procedures involving negotiation with bidders in Portugal is limited to specific circumstances, and the PCC establishes two procedures that involve negotiation with bidders: the competitive dialogue and the negotiation procedure. Currently, the PCC provides that the adoption of a competitive dialogue or a negotiation procedure may occur if:

• the contracting authority’s needs cannot be fulfilled, without adapting easily available solutions;
• the goods or services include the adoption of innovative solutions;
• it is not objectively possible for the contract award to occur without any previous negotiation due to the contract’s specific nature, complexity, legal or financial composition or risk;
• it is not objectively possible to precisely define, in a detailed manner, the technical solution to be implemented by referring to a certain rule or standard; and
• in a prior public tender or limited tender with prior qualification, all bids have been
excluded based on certain legal grounds as foreseen in Decree-law 78/2022.

In addition to the cases referred to above, provided that some requirements are fulfilled (in particular, if it is provided for in the procedure programme), a negotiation phase can be carried out in the procedures of direct award, prior consultation or in public tenders, including in public tenders for the award of public works or public services concession contracts, or for the award of public works, supply or lease of goods or services provision contracts whose contract value is below certain amounts.

2.4 Choice/Conditions of a Tender Procedure
In general, awarding authorities may freely choose to adopt an open procedure or a restricted procedure with pre-qualification.

For contracts designed for the utilities sector, awarding authorities may freely choose between the open procedure, the restricted procedure with pre-qualification, the negotiation procedure, the competitive dialogue and, if the respective requirements are fulfilled, the partnership for innovation. Also, for public works or public services concessions, as well as for company incorporation contracts, awarding authorities may freely choose between the open procedure, the restricted procedure with pre-qualification, the negotiation procedure or the competitive dialogue, in both cases, other procedures may be adopted provided certain criteria legally set forth – based on the value of the contract or material criteria – are met.

Regarding the defence and security sector, Decree-Law 104/2011 provides three procedures:

- competitive dialogue;
- a restricted procedure with pre-qualification (both governed by the rules of the PCC); and
- a negotiation procedure, which may or may not be preceded by a contract notice.

Special procedural instruments are also set forth for design procedures, dynamic purchasing systems and qualification systems, the latter applicable to the utilities sector.

As the EU directives state the importance of simplifying and dematerialising procurement procedures with a view to ensuring greater efficiency and transparency, the PCC opts unequivocally for electronic procurement, and the awarding authorities are bound to adopt electronic procurement procedures.

Further to the above, there are certain criteria that are relevant and have to be fulfilled for the adoption of certain types of procedures, based on the contract value, material criteria or the type of contract.

Criteria Based on Contract Value
For entities pertaining to the traditional public sector or that are considered bodies governed by public law, the thresholds are the following.

- For the provision of services contracts, goods supply or leasing contracts:
  (a) direct award may be adopted for contracts whose value is below EUR20,000;
  (b) prior consultation may be adopted for contracts whose value is below EUR75,000 (EUR75,000 was the previous threshold for a direct award); and
  (c) public tender or limited tender with prior qualification (or negotiation procedure or competitive dialogue when respective conditions are met), without notice
in the OJEU, may be adopted for contracts whose value is below the European thresholds (EUR140,000 or EUR215,000, depending on whether the contracting authority is the state or other entities, respectively).

- For public works contracts:
  (a) direct award may be adopted for contracts whose value is below EUR30,000;
  (b) prior consultation may be adopted for contracts whose value is below EUR150,000 for prior consultations (EUR150,000 was the previous threshold for a direct award); and
  (c) public tender or limited tender with prior qualification (or negotiation procedure or competitive dialogue when respective conditions are met), without notice in the OJEU, may be adopted for contracts whose value is below the European threshold (EUR5,382,000).

- For other types of contracts:
  (a) direct award may be adopted for contracts whose value is below EUR50,000; and
  (b) prior consultation may be adopted for contracts whose value is below EUR100,000 (EUR100,000 was also the previous threshold for direct award).

- For contracting authorities in the utilities sector, regardless of the general application of the public procurement principles to all contracts carried out by those entities, the European thresholds apply and are currently as follows:
  (a) for provision of services contracts, goods supply or leasing contracts – EUR428,000; and
  (b) for public works contracts – EUR5,350,000; and
  (c) for service contracts for social and other specific services – EUR1 million.

However, in some situations, a direct award or a prior consultation may be adopted irrespective of the contract value, in particular when the following material criteria are met, among others:

- No participant has presented any bid, or all bids have been excluded (based on certain legal grounds only, as set forth in Decree-law 78/2022, which restricted said legal grounds for this purpose) in a previous open procedure or restricted procedure with pre-qualification, if the specifications and the minimum technical and financial requirements are not substantially altered. In this context, if direct award is adopted because, in a previous public tender or tender restricted by prior qualification, no applications or tenders have been submitted or all tenders have been excluded, and provided that the specifications have not been substantially modified, the choice of direct award must be made within six months from the end of the period fixed for the submission of applications or tenders, and shall lapse if no invitation to tender is issued within this period, and contracts concluded on these terms must be reported to the European Commission.

- In so far as is strictly necessary and for reasons of extreme urgency resulting from unforeseeable events by the awarding authority, the deadlines concerning other procedures cannot be fulfilled, provided that the circumstances invoked are not in any way attributable to the awarding authority.

- The services covered by the contract are mainly to enable the awarding authority to provide one or more telecommunications services to the public.

- The contract can only be allocated to a determined entity when the scope of the procedure is the creation or the acquisition of a work of art or an artistic event, when there is no com-
petition for technical reasons, or when it is necessary to protect exclusive rights (namely, intellectual property rights).

Other material criteria are set forth in the law, specifically for each type of contract (Articles 24 to 27, PCC).

Even when one of the material criteria for the adoption of a direct award or a prior consultation is met, the law specifies that prior consultation should be adopted whenever the recourse to more than one entity is possible and compatible with the criteria used for the adoption of such a procedure.

**Negotiated Procedures and Competitive Dialogues**

The awarding authorities can adopt a negotiated procedure or a competitive dialogue when:

- their needs cannot be met without adapting easily available solutions;
- the goods or services include the design of innovative solutions;
- it is not objectively possible to award the contract without prior negotiation due to specific circumstances related to its nature, complexity, legal and financial arrangement or due to the risks associated with it;
- it is not objectively possible to precisely define the technical specifications by reference to a standard, European technical homologation, common technical specifications or technical source; or
- in a prior public tender or limited tender with prior qualification, all bids have been excluded based on certain legal grounds as foreseen in Decree-law 78/2022.

**Partnerships for Innovation**

The awarding authorities may adopt the partnership for innovation when they intend to carry out research activities and the development of innovative goods, services or works, irrespective of their nature and areas of activity, according to their subsequent acquisition, provided that they correspond to the levels of performance and prices previously agreed between them and the participants in the partnership.

**Mixed Contracts**

Finally, there are also specific rules and conditions for the adoption and scope of a specific procedure for the award of mixed contracts.

**2.5 Direct Contract Awards**

The legislation permits direct contract awards under the circumstances established in **1.3 Types of Contracts Subject to Procurement Regulation**.

**2.6 Timing for Publication of Documents**

As a rule, apart from procedures where the submission of a proposal depends on an invitation (ie, direct award and prior consultation), the award authorities shall provide free, unrestricted and full direct electronic access to the procurement documents, from the date of publication of the notice. In other cases – ie, when direct award or prior consultation is adopted – the documents of the procedure shall accompany the invitation.

Additionally, the PCC also establishes the obligation to disclose, in the public procurement portal (called BASE), the information related to the pre-contractual procedure and performance of public contracts, through a form conforming to the model in Annex III of the PCC. In this respect, Ministerial Order 57/2018, of 26 February 2018, regulates the operation and management of the public procurement portal. This portal was designed to centralise the most important information relating to all pre-contractual procedures, which must be carried out electronically as required by the PCC. It is a
virtual space where the elements regarding the pre-contractual procedure and performance of public contracts are publicised, thus enabling their follow-up and monitoring.

See also 2.1 Prior Advertisement.

2.7 Time Limits for Receipt of Expressions of Interest or Submission of Tenders

The PCC establishes the minimum timescales to present applications (technical and financial qualification documents) or tenders. Pursuant to Article 63(1) of the PCC, the awarding entity may broaden the timescales in the procedure documents, with respect to the following time limits stipulated by the PCC:

• direct award – no minimum time limit (nevertheless, the courts consider that the time limit should not be less than the period considered reasonable for the submission of the proposal); and
• prior consultation – no minimum time limit (nevertheless, the courts consider that the time limit should not be less than the period considered reasonable for the submission of the proposal).

With regard to the possibility of extending the deadline for the submission of proposals, Article 64(2) of Law 30/2021 established a more stringent exception to paragraph 1 (which provides for an extension of at least the same duration as the delay) of the same article: when the corrections or clarifications provided for in Article 50 are communicated after the deadline set for this purpose, the deadline for the submission of proposals must be extended:

• by a period of at least six days when the contract notice has been published in the OJEU; or
• by four days, in the situations provided for in Article 136(3) and Article 174(2) and (3) (situations in which the time limit set for the submission of applications or proposals, as the case may be, is reduced to 15 days).

Open Procedure

If the notice is not subject to publication in the OJEU, the PCC establishes a minimum time limit to submit bids of six days after notice is sent to publication, unless the proceeding concerns the formation of public works contracts, in which case the time limit is 14 days. If the works are of significant simplicity, the time limit of 14 days can be reduced to six days. If the notice is published in the OJEU, the minimum time limit is 30 days, which can be reduced to 15 days in cases of urgency duly reasoned by the awarding entity or if a prior information notice has been published complying with certain conditions set forth in the law. In urgent open procedures, the time limit is 24 hours on working days for acquisition or lease of goods or acquisition of services, and 72 hours on working days for public works contracts.

Restricted Procedure With Pre-qualification

• Submission of applications for technical and financial pre-qualification – if the notice is not subject to publication in the OJEU, the minimum time limit for the presentation of the application is six days (14 days for public works contracts) after notice is sent to publication. If the notice is subject to publication in the OJEU, the minimum time limit for presenting the application is 30 days (reduced to 15 days in cases of urgency duly reasoned by the awarding entity, or of contracts in the utility sector).
• Submission of bids – the minimum time limit is six days after the invitation is sent if the notice is not subject to publication in the OJEU, unless the proceeding concerns the
formation of public works contracts, in which case the time limit is 14 days. If the works are of significant simplicity, the time limit of 14 days can be reduced to six days. If the notice is publicised in the OJEU, the minimum time limit is 25 days, which can be reduced to ten days in cases of urgency duly reasoned by the awarding entity or if a prior information notice has been published complying with certain conditions set forth in the law, or for contracts in the utilities sector.

The possibility of shortening the deadline for the submission of applications and bids in public tenders or limited tender procedures by prior qualification is also foreseen, pursuant to Articles 136(3), 174(2) and 191(5), all of the PCC, with the exemption of the obligation to state reasons as set forth in these rules.

Negotiated Procedure
• Submission of applications for technical and financial pre-qualification – according to the PCC, the time limit for the presentation of applications is 30 days after notice is sent to publication, or 25 days if a prior information notice has been published complying with certain conditions set forth in the law. If the notice is sent electronically to publication, this timescale may be reduced by seven days.
• Submission of bids – the rules concerning restricted procedure apply.

Competitive Dialogue
The minimum timescale to submit tenders is 40 days after the invitation is sent. Regarding prior phases for the submission of applications for technical and financial pre-qualification and for the submission of solutions, there are no minimum deadlines set forth in the law, the awarding entity being bound to indicate the same in the notice and in the invitation, respectively.

Partnership for Innovation
• Submission of applications for technical and financial pre-qualification – the rules applicable to the negotiation procedure also apply to the partnership for innovation procedure.
• Submission of proposals for R&D projects – there are no minimum deadlines set forth in the law, the awarding entity being bound to indicate the same in the invitation.

2.8 Eligibility for Participation in a Procurement Process
Public procurement law sets forth conditions for interested parties to participate in tenders, and, if a bidder does not comply with these requirements, it will be disqualified and excluded from the tender. These requirements certify the professional and personal suitability of bidders and are distinct from the technical and financial capacity requirements whereby candidates’ technical and financial qualifications are assessed.

Eligibility criteria include:
(a) insolvency or similar;
(b) conviction for crimes affecting professional reputation;
(c) administrative sanctions for a serious professional breach;
(d) non-payment of tax obligations;
(e) non-payment of social security obligations;
(f) sanction of prohibition to participate in public tenders set forth in special legislation;
(g) sanction for a breach of legal obligations in respect of employees subject to payment of taxes and social security obligations;
(h) conviction for crimes concerning criminal organisations, corruption, fraud or money laundering, as set out in the PCC;
(i) direct or indirect participation in the preparation of tender documents, thus obtaining a special advantage;
(j) unlawful influence on the competent body for the decision to contract, or obtainment of confidential information granting undue advantages, or provision of misleading information;
(k) conflict of interest; and
(l) significant faults in the performance of a previous public contract in the past three years.

In the situations mentioned in (b), (c), (g), (h) and (l), the PCC allows bidders to demonstrate that enough measures have been implemented in order to demonstrate a bidder’s probity for the performance of the contract.

Besides these eligibility criteria, in procedures allowing for a pre-qualification phase, contracting authorities may establish criteria to evaluate bidders’ technical and financial capacity. These may include factors linked to the bidder and not to the bid to be presented, as is the case in the EU directives.

2.9 Restriction of Participation in a Procurement Process

In procedures with a pre-qualification phase – restricted procedure with pre-qualification, negotiated procedure, and competitive dialogue – it is possible to restrict participation to a limited number of qualified interested parties. Following the assessment of the interested parties and their compliance with the technical and financial qualification criteria, a limitation of the number of bidders may occur. There are two legal systems for the selection of the qualified interested parties and limitation of the number of entities that will be invited to submit a bid (“qualification of bidders”), at the free choice of the awarding entity.

Simple and Complex Systems

Under the first system, the simple system, all interested parties that comply with the minimum technical and financial criteria set forth in the tender documents shall be invited to participate and submit their bids. In accordance with the second system – the complex, or selection, system – the technical and financial qualification of the interested parties will be evaluated and ranked, with the criteria of the higher technical and financial capacity prevailing, and only the highest-qualified parties being qualified for the submission of bids.

If the complex system of pre-qualification is adopted, a minimum of five (or a minimum of three, where a competitive dialogue procedure is at stake) interested parties shall be qualified and invited to submit their bids, unless the number of entities that comply with the minimum technical and financial criteria of pre-qualification is fewer than five (or three in the case of competitive dialogue).

It is important to stress that economic operators may resort to the technical qualification of third parties in order to demonstrate full compliance with the qualification criteria. To do so, they must submit with their qualification documents a declaration in which they state that the third party at stake will perform the relevant part of the scope of the contract for which such expertise is required.

Non-competitive Procedures

Beyond the pre-qualification procedures, in non-competitive procedures, such as the direct award, the selection of the invited entity(ies) is at the discretion of the awarding entity. In direct award or prior consultation procedures, the selection of the invited entity(ies) for submission of bids is at the discretion of the awarding entity.
2.10 Evaluation Criteria
As a result of the 11th amendment to the PCC, the only award criterion is the most economically advantageous bid, which may assume one of two types:

• best price-quality ratio, where the award criteria are composed of a group of factors and sub-factors concerning several aspects of the performance of the contract to be executed; or
• evaluation of the price or of the cost, in which case the tender documents shall set forth all other aspects of the performance of the contract to be executed.

Subject to grounded reasoning, the awarding entity may choose not to submit to competition and not to evaluate the price or cost, in which case it shall establish in the tender documents a fixed or maximum price.

The factors and sub-factors of the evaluation criteria should have a connection to the subject matter of the public contract in question, comprising all, and only, the aspects of performance of the contract to be executed. They may include quality, price, technical merit, aesthetic and functional characteristics, environmental characteristics, running costs, cost-effectiveness, after-sales service and technical assistance, delivery date and delivery period or period of completion, and environmental or social sustainability.

It is mandatory for the rules of the procedure to establish a tie-breaker criterion in the event of a tied evaluation of bids. This can be related to the evaluation factors established, or to the bidder being a social enterprise or a small or medium-sized enterprise. The PCC specifically determines that the tie-breaker criterion cannot be the time when the bids were submitted.

The criterion of the most economically advantageous proposal based on the multi-factor method may be densified by another factor related to the performance of the contract to be entered into other than price.

2.11 Exclusion of Tenders
The PCC establishes a large number of situations, which may lead to the exclusion of tenders. Among others, tenders may be excluded under the following circumstances:

• submission of tenders after the established deadline;
• failure to provide the required documents in the tender documents;
• submission of tenders that manifestly disregard the object of the contract to be concluded or do not contain any attributes or terms and conditions set in the tender documents;
• submission of false documents and/or documents that contain false information;
• submission of tenders of price lower than the base price of the procedure;
• submission of tenders with an abnormally low price;
• failure to comply with the rules and limitations applicable to variant bids;
• submission of tenders that contain documents in a foreign (non-Portuguese) language; and
• submission of tenders whose contract would imply the violation of any applicable legal or regulatory obligations.
3. General Transparency Obligations

3.1 Obligation to Disclose Bidder/Tender Evaluation Methodology
According to the PCC, contracting authorities must be transparent. This general obligation is enshrined in the requirement to properly publicise public tender proceedings, and to make public all procedure documents, which must also be transparent and clear, thereby ensuring a level playing field among bidders. One of the elements that has to be disclosed is the criteria and evaluation methodology of the bidders (pre-qualification phase, where it exists) and of the bids evaluated.

In accordance with the PCC, there is a general provision that demands the absolute disclosure at the beginning of the procedure of all features of the evaluation methodology that cannot be altered during its course. Thus, the relevant prequalification criteria for the selection of bidders, as well as the criteria for the selection of bids and their corresponding weight, the evaluation methodology, and the scoring system for every criterion, factor and sub-factor must be clearly specified in the tender documents at the beginning of the procedure.

In cases where the award criteria are multifactorial, a bid evaluation model that clearly explains the factors and any sub-factors relating to aspects of the execution of the contract that are subject to competition in the specifications must be drawn up.

3.2 Obligation to Notify Interested Parties Who Have Not Been Selected
Any relevant decisions of the contracting authority shall be notified to all interested parties, including unsuccessful bidders. Also, all proposed decisions taken by the jury of the procedure shall be notified to the same entities.

Thus, all entities or bidders that submit a prequalification application or a bid are notified and informed of the preliminary evaluation report, including the unsuccessful bidders. At this stage, bidders are granted a brief period, usually of at least five working days, to comment on the analysis made by the jury. They have the opportunity to present a formal request asking for a modification of the preliminary report if they do not agree with its content. A final report and final decision on the pre-qualification or on the evaluation of bids and award of contracts is issued and also notified to all participating parties, successful or not. If only one bid has been submitted in the procedure, the jury does not have to send the preliminary report to the only competitor under the right to a prior hearing.

3.3 Obligation to Notify Bidders of a Contract Award Decision
The PCC provides that the contract award decision is notified simultaneously to all bidders participating in the procedure together with the final report prepared by the jury, which must also include the reasoning of the decision. As procedures run on electronic platforms, the relevant entities are alerted through a notification on the platform.

3.4 Obligation to Grant a Prior Hearing
Once the preliminary report has been notified to the tenderers, the awarding authority is obliged to grant a prior hearing period. This period may vary from a minimum of three to five days, depending on the tendering procedure. As a rule, the comments made by tenderers must be taken into account in the final report. If the final report changes the conclusions of the preliminary report regarding the tenders, the awarding authority must notify the tenderers.
authority must grant a new prior hearing period before the award decision is taken.

3.5 Requirement for a “Standstill Period”
The PCC stipulates a general standstill period of ten days between the time of notification of the contract award decision in writing to all bidders and the execution of the contract, so that unsuccessful bidders are allowed to challenge the decision before the contract has been signed.

However, the referred-to ten-day period shall not apply where:

• the contract is executed under a direct award or a prior consultation procedure, or, in other procedures, where the notice has not been published in the OJEU;
• the contract refers to a framework agreement in which the terms cover all the aspects related to the performance of the contract or to a framework agreement executed with one entity only; or
• only one bid has been submitted.

4. Review Procedures

4.1 Responsibility for Review of the Awarding Authority’s Decisions
As referred to in 3.2 Obligation to Notify Interested Parties Who Have Not Been Selected, the preliminary evaluation report issued by the jury of the tender should be notified to all bidders, allowing them to submit their views, and the report may be reviewed by the jury in the final report.

In Portugal, it is possible to challenge all decisions issued in public procurement procedures through administrative review proceedings that address the contracting authorities (the competent body for the contracting decision) or through judicial review proceedings under the jurisdiction of administrative courts.

4.2 Remedies Available for Breach of Procurement Legislation
The PCC sets forth fines that may be applied for breach of procurement rules and they depend on the seriousness and degree of fault of the defaulting party. The sanction of prohibition to participate in subsequent public procurement procedures may apply for a maximum period of two years. Additionally, the courts can decide to annul a procedure or a contract due to breach of procurement rules, as well as to award damages (eg, the bid’s preparation costs).

4.3 Interim Measures
Whenever a public procurement procedure refers to the conclusion of a public works contract, a public works concession, a public services concession, an acquisition or lease of goods, or an acquisition of services, the judicial challenge of the award decision taken by the contracting authority automatically suspends the effects of the award decision or the performance of the contract (if it has already been concluded). The suspensory effect can, however, be ended if so requested by the contracting authority and if the administrative court considers that the damages resulting from the suspension are greater than the ones resulting from its withdrawal.

When the judicial proceeding refers to a different decision taken in the context of a public procurement procedure (ie, not an award decision), the proceeding shall not have an automatic suspensory effect, but the administrative court may be requested to adopt interim measures aimed at ensuring the effectiveness of the final judgment.
4.4 Challenging the Awarding Authority’s Decisions
Any unsuccessful bidder can submit an application for review of a certain decision, tender document or contract, provided it demonstrates it has been directly affected by the infringement at stake and that it will obtain an advantage with the review decision sought.

4.5 Time Limits for Challenging Decisions
The appeal proceedings concerning procurement decisions are characterised by their pressing urgency, aimed at avoiding excessive delays in the procurement procedure. An administrative appeal must be brought within five business days. Judicial proceedings regarding pre-contractual litigation must be filed within one month of the relevant decision being issued and notified to the bidder.

4.6 Length of Proceedings
Administrative claims tend to be decided very swiftly. Judicial proceedings usually take no less than six months to obtain the first-instance decision.

4.7 Annual Number of Procurement Claims
There is no statistical data regarding this matter. That said, it is evident that the number of procurement claims is growing.

4.8 Costs Involved in Challenging Decisions
Administrative appeal of decisions taken by the contracting authorities does not have any cost to the challenging entity. Judicial challenge has an initial cost, in the first instance, regardless of the value of the action, of EUR102. However, in the event of appeal of the court ruling, a variable judicial fee will be charged, depending on the value of the claim.

5. Miscellaneous

5.1 Modification of Contracts After the Award
According to the PCC, amendments to concluded contracts are permitted without a new procurement procedure only on public interest grounds, if the conditions under which the parties entered into the previous agreement have changed in an abnormal and unpredictable way, and if the contractor’s new obligations would seriously increase the risks it assumes under the original contract. Amendments can be introduced by a unilateral decision of the contracting authority based on public interest grounds, by an agreement entered into by both parties, or by a judicial or arbitral decision.

The amendments introduced cannot alter the overall nature of the contract and cannot affect competition within the procurement procedure launched for the performance of said contract (ie, the changes to be introduced cannot alter the order of the bids previously evaluated). In fact, the amendment cannot substantiate an increase of 25% of the initial contractual price in the mentioned case of change of circumstances, and of 10% in the case of amendments based on public interest. It cannot lead to the introduction of changes that, if included in the contract documents, would objectively change the evaluation of the bids and change the economic balance of the contract in favour of the co-contracting party.

In addition to the objective modification of the contract by agreement of the parties or by judicial or arbitral decision, a third route is possible: the modification of the contract by administrative act of the public contracting authority based on reasons of public interest arising from new needs or a new consideration of the circumstances.
As to the grounds for modification of the contract, a new ground is also added: the existence of contractual clauses indicating clearly, precisely and unequivocally the scope and nature of possible modifications, as well as the conditions under which they may be applied.

The referred-to law also clarifies the limits to which each of the grounds for objective modification are subject and sets forth exceptions to which said limits are not applicable. It also extends the obligation to publish objective modifications to all contracts entered into by the public contracting entity on the public contracts portal.

Finally, it extends the application, with the necessary adaptations, of the legal framework of objective modifications of the public works contracts to concession contracts and of the legal framework of objective modifications of the public works contracts to services acquisition contracts.

Portuguese courts, in relation to amendments introduced to concluded contracts, still follow the Pressetext case law.

5.2 Termination of Contracts
The PCC also provides for the termination of contracts, which may occur, inter alia, upon agreement of the parties, by decision of the public contracting party, by decision of the private contracting party or by decision of the court (upon request from any of the parties). Furthermore, additional termination causes are also provided by law, such as the contract’s expiry or its complete fulfilment, among others.

According to the PCC, the parties may terminate the contract at any time, upon mutual agreement, which shall have at least the same form as the original contract (ie, if the original contract is in writing, so must be the termination agreement).

The legal framework regarding unilateral termination clearly favours the public contracting party over the private contracting party. In fact, the public contracting party may terminate the contract as follows:

- based on breach of contract by the counterparty;
- reasons of public interest (upon payment); and
- abnormal and unforeseeable change of circumstances (also upon payment).

The grounds for termination based on breach of contract include:

- failure to comply with contractual obligations;
- disobedience to orders issued by the public contracting party;
- unauthorised assignment of the contract;
- application of contractual penalties up to 20% or 30% of the contract’s price; or
- insolvency of the private contracting party.

Furthermore, the unilateral termination of the contract by the public contracting party operates through a mere administrative decision, without need of a court assessment.

On the contrary, the private contracting party is only entitled to termination of the contract in specific circumstances, such as:

- definitive breach of contract by the counterparty;
- lack of payment (but only if it lasts for more than six months or if the outstanding amount exceeds 25% of the contract’s price); or
• abnormal and unforeseeable change of circumstances (but only if the termination does not cause serious damage to the public interest or if the performance of the contract, under the new circumstances, may lead to the insolvency of the private contracting party).

In addition, the private contracting party may not terminate the contract by means of a unilateral statement, as a judicial or arbitral decision is required. This is not applicable only when the termination of the contract is based on delayed payments by the public contracting party, but, in such case, the latter can block the termination by paying the amount in debt, plus interest.

5.3 Prerogatives of the Awarding Authority
Article 302 of the PCC establishes special prerogatives in favour of the awarding authority. Under this provision, awarding authorities are permitted to:

• direct the performance of the contract;
• supervise the performance of the contract;
• unilaterally modify contract provisions;
• apply sanctions in case of non-performance of the co-contractor;
• unilaterally terminate the contract; and
• determine the assignment of the contract to a third party.

The use of these powers must be based on public interest reasons and find specific limitations in the PCC.

5.4 Recent Important Court Decisions
Several decisions have been taken in relation to public procurement matters, of which the following should be highlighted.

Decision of the Administrative Supreme Court of 20 December 2023 (Case 0693/20.2BELSB)
The tender programme cannot establish sub-factors aimed at evaluating the qualities or capacities of the bidders – namely the facilities, equipment and production systems they own – and not at evaluating aspects linked to the performance of the contract.

Decision of the Central North Administrative Court of 4 August 2023 (Case 00640/23.0BEPRT)
Although the submission of professional qualification documents is normally only required in the qualification phase, the awarding authority may determine in the tender documents that this professional qualification is fulfilled in the moment of the bid’s submission.

Decision of the Central South Administrative Court of 23 March 2023 (Case 3085/22.5BELSB)
A bid cannot be excluded based on an abnormally low price when, although all of the unitary prices offered are abnormally low, they do not directly integrate the overall price of the bid.

5.5 Legislative Amendments Under Consideration
Decree-Law 36/2022
In order to adapt to the exceptional situation in the supply chains and the migratory circumstances resulting from the COVID-19 disease pandemic, the global energy crisis, and the effects of the war in Ukraine (which have resulted in sharp increases in the prices of raw materials, materials and labour, particularly in the construction sector, which have had severe impacts on the economy), Decree-Law 36/2022 of 20 May 2022, established an exceptional and temporary framework of price adjustments. This legal framework was extended, until 31 December
2023, by Decree-Law 49-A/2023, of 30 June 2023, which means that it is no longer applicable. However, given that the global supply chains are still facing exceptional circumstances, a further extension of this legal framework could be expected.

Decree-Law 54/2023
Decree-Law 54/2023, of 14 July 2023, made the thirteenth amendment to the PCC, bringing the general public procurement framework for public works contracts into line with EU law. This diploma eliminated objective limits on public works subcontracts – namely, by revoking (2) and (3) of Article 383 of the PCC, which stipulated that the use of subcontracts in public work contracts could not exceed 70% of the contract price.
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