

International Comparative Legal Guides



Shipping Law 2020

A practical cross-border insight into shipping law


Eighth Edition

Featuring contributions from:

A. Karitzis & Associates L.L.C
Advokatfirmaet Selmer AS
Aiyon Abogados S.L.P.
Ana Cristina Pimentel & Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP RL
Arias, Fábrega & Fábrega
Beijing Zhonglun W&D Law Firm
BIMCO
Black Sea Law Company
Bloomfield Law Practice
Dardani Studio Legale
DELVISO-AVOCATS
Dingli & Dingli
Emirates Maritime Arbitration Centre (EMAC)
Estudio Arca & Paoli Abogados
FRANCO & ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS

FRANCO DUARTE MURILLO ARREDONDO
LÓPEZ RANGEL
Ganesh & Co.
Harris & Co. Maritime Law Office
Hill Dickinson LLP
Ince Germany
Ince Gibraltar
Ince Greece
Ince Singapore
Ince UK
IUNO Advokatpartnerselskab
Kegels & Co
L.G. Zambartas LLC, Law Offices
Lahlou-Zioui & Associés
Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law
LP LAW | Lopes Pinto Advogados Associados

Moon & Song
Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados
Mori Hamada & Matsumoto
NAVICUS.LAW
Q.E.D INTERLEX CONSULTING SRL
Rosicki, Grudziński & Co.
Seward & Kissel LLP
Shepstone & Wylie Attorneys
SSEK Indonesian Legal Consultants
Tang & Co. (in association with Helmsman LLC)
TCG Fora Law Office
ThomannFischer
Tomasello & Weitzi
VdA



ISBN 978-1-83918-058-3
ISSN 2052-5419

Published by

glg global legal group

59 Tanner Street

London SE1 3PL

United Kingdom

+44 207 367 0720

info@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.com

Group Publisher

Rory Smith

Publisher

James Strobe

Sub Editor

Jenna Feasey

Senior Editor

Sam Friend

Head of Production

Suzie Levy

Chief Media Officer

Fraser Allan

CEO

Jason Byles

Printed by

Ashford Colour Press Ltd.

Cover image

www.istockphoto.com

Strategic Partners



International **Comparative** Legal Guides

Shipping Law **2020**

Eighth Edition

Contributing Editor:

Julian Clark

Ince UK

©2020 Global Legal Group Limited.

All rights reserved. Unauthorised reproduction by any means, digital or analogue, in whole or in part, is strictly forbidden.

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication.

This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Expert Chapters

- 1** **The Changing Face of Maritime Law and Risk – Cyber, E-Commerce, Automation of Vessels**
Julian Clark, Ince UK
- 7** **The Benefits of the Cyprus Flag**
George Zambartas & Vasiliki Malta, L.G. Zambartas LLC, Law Offices
- 11** **Risk Allocation in Maritime Contracts and the Black Swan of COVID-19**
Beth Bradley, Hill Dickinson LLP
- 17** **The International Shipping Registry of Madeira – a Sea of Advantages**
Cátia Henriques Fernandes, Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados
- 20** **EMAC and the Development of Maritime Arbitration in the UAE**
Jyothi Mani, Emirates Maritime Arbitration Centre (EMAC)
- 24** **BIMCO on Autonomous Ships, Just-in-time Arrival and Contractual Implications**
Mads Wachter Kjærgaard, BIMCO

Q&A Chapters

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 29 Angola
VdA: João Afonso Fialho & José Miguel Oliveira 36 Belgium
Kegels & Co: André Kegels 46 Brazil
LP LAW Lopes Pinto Advogados Associados:
Alessander Lopes Pinto 52 Chile
Tomasello & Weitz: Leslie Tomasello Weitz 58 China
Beijing Zhonglun W&D Law Firm: Nick Yuan & Hector Fan 66 Colombia
FRANCO & ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS: Javier Franco 72 Cyprus
A. Karitzis & Associates L.L.C: Antonis J. Karitzis & Zacharias L. Kapsis 89 Denmark
IUNO Advokatpartnerselskab: Mads Poulsen 96 Dominican Republic
Q.E.D INTERLEX CONSULTING SRL: Luis Lucas Rodríguez Pérez 103 France
DELVISIO-AVOCATS: Henri Najjar 110 Germany
Ince Germany: Dr. Jan Uwe Hungar & Eva-Maria Braje 116 Gibraltar
Ince Gibraltar: Anne Rose 122 Greece
Ince Greece: Dimitris Giomelakis & Marilena Papagrigoraki 127 Hong Kong
Tang & Co. (in association with Helmsman LLC):
Tang Chong Jun | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 133 India
Ganesh & Co.: Shivkumar Iyer 140 Indonesia
SSEK Indonesian Legal Consultants: Dyah Soewito & Stephen Igor Warokka 147 Israel
Harris & Co. Maritime Law Office: Yoav Harris & John Harris 153 Italy
Dardani Studio Legale: Marco Manzone & Lawrence Dardani 160 Japan
Mori Hamada & Matsumoto: Hiroshi Oyama & Fumiko Hama 168 Korea
Moon & Song: Kwang-Myeong Moon & Sang-Hwa Lee 174 Malta
Dingli & Dingli: Dr. Tonio Grech & Dr. Fleur Delia 180 Mexico
FRANCO DUARTE MURILLO ARREDONDO LÓPEZ RANGEL: Rafael Murillo Rivas 185 Morocco
Lahlou-Zioui & Associés: Hakim Lahlou 191 Mozambique
VdA: João Afonso Fialho & José Miguel Oliveira 197 Nigeria
Bloomfield Law Practice: Adedoyin Afun & Ademide Peters 205 Norway
Advokatfirmaet Selmer AS: Norman Hansen Meyer, Christian Steen Eriksen & Stina Spanne 212 Panama
Arias, Fábrega & Fábrega: Jorge Loaiza III |
|---|---|

227	Peru Estudio Arca & Paoli Abogados: Francisco Arca Patiño & Carla Paoli Consigliere	272	Switzerland ThomannFischer: Stephan Erbe
233	Poland Rosicki, Grudziński & Co.: Maciej Grudziński & Piotr Rosicki	278	Taiwan Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law: Daniel T.H. Tsai
240	Portugal Ana Cristina Pimentel & Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP RL: Ana Cristina Pimentel	285	Turkey TCG Fora Law Office: Sinan Güzel
246	Russia NAVICUS.LAW: Konstantin Krasnokutskiy	292	Ukraine Black Sea Law Company: Evgeniy Sukachev & Anastasiya Sukacheva
253	Singapore Ince Singapore: Boaz Chan, Xue Ting Tan, Adrian Koh & Alankriti Sethi	298	United Kingdom Ince UK: Julian Clark, Reema Shour, Donal Keaney & Peter McNamee
259	South Africa Shepstone & Wylie Attorneys: Pauline Helen Kumlehn	306	USA Seward & Kissel LLP: Bruce G. Paulsen, Hoyoon Nam & Brian P. Maloney
266	Spain Aiyon Abogados S.L.P.: Veronica Meana & Mikel Garteiz-goxeaskoa		

Angola

Angola



João Afonso Fialho



José Miguel Oliveira

VdA

1 Marine Casualty

1.1 In the event of a collision, grounding or other major casualty, what are the key provisions that will impact upon the liability and response of interested parties? In particular, the relevant law / conventions in force in relation to:

(i) Collision

The following international conventions are enforceable in Angola:

- 1910 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules of Law Related to Collision Between Vessels;
- 1952 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules concerning Civil Jurisdiction in Matters of Collision;
- 1952 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules relating to Penal Jurisdiction in Matters of Collision or other Incidents of Navigation; and
- 1972 International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea (“COLREGS”), as amended in 1981.

The above conventions are supplemented by domestic regulation, notably Article 73 *et seq.* of Law No. 27/12 of 28 August 2012 (the “Merchant Navy Law”) and Article 664 *et seq.* of the Commercial Code.

(ii) Pollution

The following international conventions and relevant protocols have been adopted by Angola:

- 1969 International Convention Relating to Intervention on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution Casualties, as amended in 1973 and 1991;
- 1973 International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Vessels (“MARPOL 73/78”) and Annexes I/II, III, IV and V;
- 1990 International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation (“OPRC 90”);
- 1992 Protocol to Amend the 1969 International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage (“CLC 1969”);
- 1992 Protocol to Amend the International Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage (“FUND”);
- 1996 International Convention on Liability and Compensation for Damage in Connection with the Carriage of Hazardous and Noxious Substances by Sea; and
- 1996 Protocol to Amend the 1972 Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter, which regulates environmental protection.

At a domestic level, one must consider the relevant provisions of the Merchant Navy Law, the Environmental Law (Law No. 5/98 of 19 June 1998) and its ancillary regulations and related statutes.

(iii) Salvage / general average

Salvage is governed by the 1910 Salvage Convention, the 1979 International Convention on Maritime Search and Rescue (“SAR”) and, where applicable, the provisions named in the Merchant Navy Law (Article 81 *et seq.*), the Regulation on the Sea Search and Rescue System (Presidential Decree No. 89/16 of 21 April of 2016) and in the Commercial Code (Article 676 *et seq.*).

General average is governed by the provisions of the Commercial Code (Article 634 *et seq.*).

(iv) Wreck removal

Angola is not a signatory of the Nairobi International Convention on the Removal of Wrecks, 2007. The removal of wrecks must be dealt with in light of the domestic law, namely the Merchant Navy Law, the Environmental Law and ancillary statutes and regulations.

(v) Limitation of liability

Angola is not a signatory of the Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims. Conversely, both the 1924 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Seagoing Vessels and the 1957 International Convention relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Seagoing Vessels are applicable. Furthermore, it is important to note that domestic law provides some special rules in respect of the limitation and sharing of liability (e.g., where collision was caused due to fault or wilful misconduct of the crew, damages will be computed and shared between owners *pro rata* to the severity of each crew party’s fault, and that if it is not possible to determine which vessel caused the accident, all intervening vessels shall be jointly liable for damages and losses arising therefrom).

(vi) The limitation fund

The limitation fund can be established in any way admitted in the law and is dependent on the filing of a proper application before the relevant court. The application must identify/list:

- the occurrence and damages;
- the amount of the limitation fund;
- how the fund will be established;
- the amount of the reserve; and
- the known creditors and the amount of their claims.

The application must be filed along with the vessel’s documents supporting the calculation of the amount of the fund (e.g., a tonnage certificate).

1.2 Which authority investigates maritime casualties in your jurisdiction?

The Port and Maritime Institute of Angola (“IMPA”), in its role as the Maritime Authority, is the governmental body in charge of investigating and responding to any maritime casualty. In performing its duties, the IMPA is assisted by the local port authorities and captaincy with jurisdiction over the area in which the casualty took place. In the event of (eventual) environmental damage, environment authorities may also be called to act, notably the Ministry of Environment.

1.3 What are the authorities’ powers of investigation / casualty response in the event of a collision, grounding or other major casualty?

While investigating marine casualties, the IMPA has the power to question witnesses, crew members, passengers or other persons it deems necessary in order to ascertain the facts and to clarify the reasons that lead to the casualty.

In addition to this, in its role of supervising entity of the National Coordination of the Sea Search and Rescue Service (“SARMAR ANGOLA”), the IMPA is responsible for, *inter alia*: (i) monitoring the carrying out of search, assistance, re-floating and salvage activities; (ii) ensuring the efficient organisation of the means to be employed during the search and salvage operations; and (iii) initiating, performing and coordinating search and salvage operations for ships in distress.

2 Cargo Claims

2.1 What are the international conventions and national laws relevant to marine cargo claims?

The 1924 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules of Law relating to Bills of Lading, also known as the Hague Rules, applies. Under the Hague Rules, the carrier is liable *vis-à-vis* the consignee in relation to the loading, handling, stowage, carriage, custody, care and discharge of such goods. Contracts of carriage are therefore governed by the terms of the Hague Rules and the 1888 Commercial Code (Article 538 *et seq.*), in the absence of detailed provisions set out in the relevant contract.

It is important to note that if the shipment (i.e., loading and place of destination) takes place between two countries party to the Hague Rules, these rules shall apply. However, if the country of destination of the goods is not a signatory to the Hague Rules, then the applicable law would be determined by Angolan courts in accordance with the *lex rei sitae* principle.

2.2 What are the key principles applicable to cargo claims brought against the carrier?

As a general principle, any party to a contract of carriage who holds an interest over the cargo and can demonstrate that it has suffered losses or damages arising from the carrier’s actions and/or omissions is entitled to sue for losses or damages.

The rights to sue under a contract of carriage assist (1) the shipper, and (2) the rightful holder of the bill of lading. In this respect, it is noteworthy that when in the presence of: (i) a straight bill of lading, the right to bring a claim remains with the named consignee; (ii) an order bill of lading, only the latest endorsee is eligible to sue; and (iii) a bill of lading to bearer, it is up to the rightful holder at a given moment to sue.

Rights under a contract of carriage may be validly transferred to third parties either by way of assignment of contractual position or subrogation of rights (which is typically the case when insurers indemnify cargo interests and then seek reimbursement from the carrier), as long as the relevant rules provided in the Civil Code are met.

2.3 In what circumstances may the carrier establish claims against the shipper relating to misdeclaration of cargo?

In light of Article 3.5 of the Hague Rules, the shipper shall indemnify the carrier against all loss, damages and expenses arising or resulting from inaccuracies regarding the information (marks, number, quantity and weight) on the cargo to be transported.

2.4 How do time limits operate in relation to maritime cargo claims in your jurisdiction?

The general time bar for claims arising out from contracts is 20 years, although there are certain cases in which this statutory limitation period is shorter. Still, the statute of limitation for cargo claims arising out of contracts ruled by the Hague Rules is one year, counting as from the date of delivery of the goods or of the date when the goods should have been delivered.

3 Passenger Claims

3.1 What are the key provisions applicable to the resolution of maritime passenger claims?

Carrier’s liability is mostly fault-based. In the event of delays, unexpected changes of route, damages or loss of carriage, passengers are entitled to claim compensation for losses and damage caused by an action attributed to the carrier, regardless of its wilful misconduct.

3.2 What are the international conventions and national laws relevant to passenger claims?

Angola is not a party to the Athens Convention relating to the Carriage of Passengers and their Luggage by Sea. Generally, the rules applicable to the carriage of passengers are set-forth in the Commercial and Civil Codes and the Consumer Law; this is in addition to the individual terms of the contract of carriage.

3.3 How do time limits operate in relation to passenger claims in your jurisdiction?

As mentioned above, the general time bar for claims arising out of commercial contracts is 20 years. Nevertheless, there are grounds to argue that claims for loss of life or personal injury (including for damages on property) arising out of shipping incidents impose strict liability to the carrier, being, in this case, the applicable limitation period of three years, counting as from the moment that the claimant becomes aware of its rights.

It is worth noting that, in certain cases, the running of the statute of limitation period may be (i) suspended (in which case the period of suspension is not to be counted when assessing if the statute of limitation has expired), or (ii) interrupted (in which case the interruption renders the time already elapsed of

no effect and a new statute of limitation will restart counting as from the interruption).

4 Arrest and Security

4.1 What are the options available to a party seeking to obtain security for a maritime claim against a vessel owner and the applicable procedure?

Angola is a party to the 1952 Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules relating to the Arrest of Seagoing Vessels (“1952 Convention”). Under the 1952 Convention, any person alleging that it holds a maritime claim is entitled to seek the arrest of a ship. A “maritime claim” is deemed to be a claim arising out of one or more of the situations named under Article 1.1 of the 1952 Convention.

Outside the scope of the 1952 Convention, i.e., for the purposes of obtaining security for an unlisted maritime claim (e.g., arrest for a ship sale claim, unpaid insurance premiums, protection and indemnity (“P&I”) dues, amongst others) or to seek the arrest of a vessel sailing under the flag of a non-contracting state, the claimant must make use of the provisions of the Angolan Code of Civil Procedure (“CPC”). In this case, and aside from the jurisdiction issue that needs to be properly assessed, in addition to providing evidence on the likelihood of its right/credit, the claimant shall also produce evidence that there is a risk that the debtor/arrestor may remove or conceal the ship (security for the claim) or that the ship may depreciate in such a way that, at the time that the final judgment is handed down in the main proceedings, the ship is no longer available or has substantially decreased in value.

Before ordering the arrest, the arrestee is granted the opportunity to oppose/challenge the arrest application. Please note, however, that if the arrest application is properly filed and duly documented, the court may order the detention of the vessel before summoning the arrestee or granting the arrestee the chance to oppose the arrest application. The arrestee has 10 days to oppose the arrest application/order.

With the arrest in place, the claimant is required to file the initial claim for the main proceedings, of which the injunction will form an integral part, within 30 days as of the arrest order. During the proceedings, the parties are free to settle by agreement and withdraw the claim. If the main claim should be filed with a foreign court, then the judge dealing with the arrest application must set out the period within which the claimant must commence proceedings on the merits in the appropriate jurisdiction. The defendant is entitled to post a security before the relevant court in the amount of the claim brought by the claimant, and seek the release of the vessel pending foreclosure and auction.

4.2 Is it possible for a bunker supplier (whether physical and/or contractual) to arrest a vessel for a claim relating to bunkers supplied by them to that vessel?

A claim arising from a bunker supply may be considered as a maritime claim under Article 1.k of the 1952 Convention.

4.3 Is it possible to arrest a vessel for claims arising from contracts for the sale and purchase of a ship?

Claims arising from ship sale and purchase contracts do not qualify as “maritime claims” for the purposes of the 1952 Convention. As such, and as stated under question 4.1 above,

those willing to arrest a vessel for an unlisted maritime claim must make use of the provisions of the CPC (in order for measures to be taken, the claimant must provide evidence of the likelihood of its right and justified fear of irreparable damage or damage that is difficult to repair).

4.4 Where security is sought from a party other than the vessel owner (or demise charterer) for a maritime claim, including exercise of liens over cargo, what options are available?

Assets (e.g., bunkers) belonging to the arrestee may be subject to arrest, provided that it is possible to establish ownership in respect thereof. In addition, the carrier is entitled to exercise a possessory lien over cargo. In this respect, please be advised that pursuant to Angolan law, a lien is only enforceable by operation of the law and not merely by contract. By way of illustration, Article 755 of the Civil Code provides that any debts resulting from shipping services entitle the carrier/creditor to retain goods in its possession until the full discharge of those debts.

4.5 In relation to maritime claims, what form of security is acceptable; for example, bank guarantee, P&I letter of undertaking.

Typically, cash deposits (at the court’s order) and bank guarantees are the most effective forms of security. Letters of undertaking (“LoUs”) are acceptable in very limited situations and their acceptance is always dependent on the other party’s agreement.

4.6 Is it standard procedure for the court to order the provision of counter security where an arrest is granted?

There is no standard practice in this regard (this will ultimately depend on the assessment made by the Judge in charge of the file and the specifics of the claim/parties).

4.7 How are maritime assets preserved during a period of arrest?

While the arrest is pending, a custodian appointed by the court is responsible for ensuring the preservation of the assets.

5 Evidence

5.1 What steps can be taken (and when) to preserve or obtain access to evidence in relation to maritime claims including any available procedures for the preservation of physical evidence, examination of witnesses or pre-action disclosure?

Whenever there is a serious risk of loss, concealment or dissipation of property or documents, as well as when it becomes impossible or almost impossible to obtain testimony or certain evidence by way of inspection, parties are free to start an action and file a motion requiring it to be enlisted by the court or taken prior to the hearing. The relevant motion can be lodged whenever deemed suitable, the applicant always being required to provide due grounds for its request.

5.2 What are the general disclosure obligations in court proceedings? What are the disclosure obligations of parties to maritime disputes in court proceedings?

As a general rule, it is up to the parties to establish the object of their claim/proceedings and the judge cannot go beyond the limits of the claim as put forward by the parties. In addition, parties have the burden of presenting the facts of their interest and producing evidence in respect thereof. The court will take into account the evidence produced/requested by the parties, but it is not limited to the same. In fact, the court is also allowed to request and compel the parties to disclose all evidence deemed necessary to the discovery of the truth and/or to the best resolution of the dispute.

No specific rules apply to maritime disputes in this regard.

5.3 How is the electronic discovery and preservation of evidence dealt with?

This topic is generally addressed in Law No. 11/20, of 23 April 2020, which sets forth the regime applicable to cellular identification and localisation and electronic surveillance. According to this new piece of legislation, the use of electronic discovery, either by means of interception of telephonic and telematic communications, can only take place in very limited situations (criminal-related matters) and is dependent of on a judicial authorisation. Moreover, electronic data cannot be collected randomly and shall only be taken and preserved for the specific purpose it refers to. Where wrongly or illegally collected, the discoveries must be destroyed.

6 Procedure

6.1 Describe the typical procedure and timescale applicable to maritime claims conducted through: i) national courts (including any specialised maritime or commercial courts); ii) arbitration (including specialist arbitral bodies); and iii) mediation / alternative dispute resolution.

6.1.1 Which national courts deal with maritime claims?

The Angolan judicial system foresees three categories of courts: (i) the Supreme Court, which is the higher body in the hierarchy of the Angolan courts; (ii) the Courts of Appeal; and (iii) the District Courts. Courts of Appeal have jurisdiction to review and revise the District Court's contested decisions. Likewise, the Supreme Court has a corresponding power as regards contested decisions rendered by the Courts of Appeal. District Courts have jurisdiction over the areas in which they are established and can be divided and organised by expertise under the so-called Rooms of Expertise. Existing since 1997, the Room of Expertise for Maritime Issues has jurisdiction over any maritime dispute submitted to its jurisdiction, including, to name a few, disputes on shipbuilding and repair contracts, purchase and sale agreements, charterparties and bills of lading, precautionary measures against ships and their cargo, etc.

In general, Angolan courts will find themselves competent to rule on claims where the parties in dispute and the claim itself have a close connection/link to Angola.

With regard to legal procedures before national courts, these can be generally described as follows:

- Proceedings commence with the filing of an initial written complaint before the court. In addition to listing the facts and arguments sustaining the claim, the claimant is required to list its witnesses and request the other evidence proceedings, such as inspections or surveys.

- Service is made by the clerks, in person. Shipping agents represent owners'/disponent owners'/managers' interests and can receive documentation on their behalf.
- Generally, the defendant has 30 days to challenge and oppose the claim. If it fails to present its defence, the facts presented by the claimant are deemed proven (exceptions apply).
- With the opposition lodged, the judge will summon the parties and will try to resolve the dispute amicably or, that not being possible, prepare the final hearing.
- At the final hearing, the witness will be examined and cross-examined by the lawyers representing each party, and the judge may intervene whenever it is deemed necessary. At the end, lawyers are required to issue their final arguments verbally.
- The judge will then prepare and issue the judgment which, depending on the amount of the claim, can entail an appeal.

As for the duration of maritime proceedings, as with any other legal proceedings in Angola, this is highly unpredictable. In our experience, excluding arrests and any other interim measures, it should not be expected to take less than one to two years, as it depends on several variables, such as the court's current caseload.

6.1.2 Which specialist arbitral bodies deal with maritime disputes in your jurisdiction?

For the time being there is no domestic arbitral institution specialised on maritime arbitration. In any event, the Minister of Justice is the entity empowered to authorise the incorporation of arbitration institutions in Angola and there are several arbitral institutions currently existing in the country, including:

- the Centre for Extrajudicial Dispute Resolution ("CREL");
- the Angolan Centre for Arbitration of Disputes ("CAAL");
- the CEFA Arbitration Centre;
- the Harmonia Dispute Resolution Centre;
- the Arbitral Juris; and
- the Mediation and Arbitration Centre of the Angolan Industrial Association ("CAAIA").

Still in this regard, it is worth mentioning that the primary domestic source of law is Law No. 16/03 of 25 July 2003 (the Voluntary Arbitration Law or "VAL"). The VAL governs both domestic and international arbitration. According to the VAL, arbitration will be of an international nature when international trade interests are at stake, in particular when: the parties to the arbitration agreement have business domiciles in different countries at the time of the agreement's execution; the place of performance of a substantial part of the obligations resulting from the legal relationship from which the dispute arises is situated outside the countries where companies have their business domiciles; or the parties have expressly agreed that the scope of the arbitration agreement is connected with more than one state.

The general rule under the VAL is that parties are free to submit their disputes to arbitration, with the exception of disputes that fall under state courts' exclusive jurisdiction and disputes that relate to inalienable or non-negotiable rights. As such, disputes relating to the following issues, *inter alia*, may be submitted to arbitration: commercial and corporate law; maritime and shipping matters; securities transactions; and intra-company disputes.

The arbitration agreement may consist of either an arbitration clause or a submission agreement. The arbitration clause concerns potential future disputes arising from a given contractual or extra-contractual relationship, whereas the submission agreement arises from existing disputes, whether or not they have already been submitted to a state court. The VAL treats both types of arbitration agreement on an equal footing.

Subject to any special law requiring a more solemn form, the arbitration agreements must be made in writing. An arbitration agreement is considered to be in writing if documented either in a written instrument signed by the parties or in correspondence exchanged between them. The VAL allows arbitration agreements to be incorporated in a contractual document that is not signed by both parties simply by reference to general terms and conditions on another contract.

6.1.3 Which specialist alternative dispute resolution bodies deal with maritime mediation in your jurisdiction?

For the time being there is no domestic alternative dispute resolution institution specialised on maritime mediation. Since the approval of Law No. 12/16 of 12 August 2016, setting forth the rules applicable to the establishment and organisation of mediation and conciliation procedures as alternative dispute mechanisms, all procedures are held in the arbitration and mediation centres named in 6.1.2 above. This statute allows for disputes in civil, commercial (including maritime), employment, family and criminal matters to be submitted to mediation, provided that they concern waivable rights.

6.2 What are the principal advantages of using the national courts, arbitral institutions and other ADR bodies in your jurisdiction?

Due to the lack of resources and celerity of the judicial system, over the past few years the Angolan Government has been making an effort to support the use of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, such as arbitration and mediation. An example of this is the Private Investment Law (Law No. 10/18 of 26 June 2018), which states that disputes regarding disposable rights may be resolved through alternative means of dispute resolution, notably negotiation, mediation, conciliation and arbitration, provided that no special law submits those disputes to the exclusive jurisdiction of judicial courts or to mandatory arbitration.

Considering the fact that the use of arbitral institutions and mediation bodies tends to be more flexible, time-effective and efficient, and granting to the parties more control over the proceedings, they are widely regarded as beneficial by comparison to the resort to judicial courts (our experience tells us that judicial claims may drag in courts for years).

6.3 Highlight any notable pros and cons related to your jurisdiction that any potential party should bear in mind.

Angola's legal framework on shipping and maritime matters is fairly complete and follows the international industry standards (please refer to question 8.1 below). Nevertheless, despite the efforts of the Angolan Government and the achievements reached in the past decade, the country needs to continue developing its infrastructure (courts, registries, notaries, public administration, etc.) and support the training and qualification of its citizens. Although proceedings may drag over long periods of time (years), Angola benefits nowadays from a very capable community of judges, lawyers and other legal professionals.

7 Foreign Judgments and Awards

7.1 Summarise the key provisions and applicable procedures affecting the recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments.

Article 1094 of the CPC sets out that any judgment awarded by a

foreign court is, as a rule, subject to review and confirmation by the Supreme Court in order to be valid and enforceable locally (i.e., to obtain the "*exequatur*").

The review and confirmation of foreign decisions under the Angolan CPC is mostly formal and should not involve a review on the merits/grounds of the judgment, but a simple re-examination of the relevant judgment and additional judicial procedure requirements. The process must begin with the filing by the interested party of an application to that effect with the Angolan Supreme Court. In order for the foreign decision to be recognised by the Supreme Court, the following set of requirements must be met:

- There are no doubts that the judgment is authentic and its content understandable.
- It must constitute a final decision (not subject to appeal) in the country in which it was rendered.
- The decision must have been rendered by the relevant court according to the Angolan conflict-of-law rules.
- There is no case pending before or decided by an Angolan court, except if it was the foreign court which prevented the jurisdiction of the Angolan court.
- The defendant was served proper notice of the claim in accordance with the law of the country in which the judgment was rendered, except in cases where, under Angolan law, there is no need to notify the defendant, or in cases where the judgment is passed against the defendant because there was no opposition.
- The judgment is not contrary to the public policy principles of the Angolan state.
- The decision rendered against the Angolan citizen/company does not conflict with Angolan private law, in cases where this law could be applicable according to the Angolan conflict-of-law rules.

After the application is filed, the court must serve notice of same on the defendant. Once notice is served, the defendant may oppose the *exequatur* if any of the above requirements are not met.

If the defendant opposes the *exequatur*, the applicant may reply to the defendant's arguments. Afterwards, the case follows various procedural steps until the decision is made on whether to grant the *exequatur*. The losing party may still appeal against the court's decision.

7.2 Summarise the key provisions and applicable procedures affecting the recognition and enforcement of arbitration awards.

Angola has acceded to the 1958 New York Convention, by means of Resolution 38/16 of 12 August 2016. Angolan courts are now required to give effect *prima facie* to an arbitration agreement and award rendered in another signatory country to the New York Convention. Where the arbitral award was not granted by another contracting state, to be enforceable it must have previously been reviewed and confirmed by Angola's Supreme Court (see question 7.1 above).

8 Updates and Developments

8.1 Describe any other issues not considered above that may be worthy of note, together with any current trends or likely future developments that may be of interest.

Since its independence in 1975, Angola has been steadily revising its laws and regulations, at the same time as ratifying

and adhering to a number of international treaties and conventions. In this respect, it is worth mentioning that a number of pivotal conventions on maritime and shipping-related matters applicable in the country date from the time when Angola was still a Portuguese overseas territory (e.g., the 1952 Arrest Convention). In fact, although after its independence Angola has not specifically adhered to the treaties/conventions to which Portugal was already a party, as formally required under the Vienna Convention on Succession of Treaties, it is commonly accepted that the treaties ratified by Portugal and extended to Angola over time still apply in light of Articles 58 and 59 of the Angolan Constitution, approved immediately after the country's independence, which provided for the survival of any (Portuguese) laws and regulations in force at the time of independence, as long as these did not conflict with the letter and the spirit of the Constitution.

Over the past few years, a number of key statutes have been approved, the most relevant of which being the Merchant Navy Law. The Merchant Navy Law is a landmark achievement in terms of shipping and maritime legislation, as this is the first statute that seeks to regulate all maritime and port activities in a consistent manner, governing matters related to navigational, technical and security rules, registration duties and procedures for national and foreign vessels, licensing and other requirements applicable to marine and port-related activities, to name a few.

In addition, in view of its impact on the local industry, it is worth noting, in particular, some of the most important statutes on maritime matters that have been passed by the Angolan Government in recent years (a clear sign of the attention that the Government is paying to the sector), notably: (i) Presidential Decree No. 50/14 of 27 February 2014, which approved the regulations applicable to the provision of shipping agency services; (ii) Presidential Decree No. 51/14, also of 27 February 2014,

which approved the regulations applicable to the carrying out of ship-management services; and (iii) Presidential Decree No. 54/14 of 28 February 2014, which approved the rules applicable to merchants wishing to be engaged in the provision of cabotage and/or international transportation of goods and passengers (this statute limits the provision of cabotage to Angolan citizens).

More recently, a new set of maritime legislation has been passed by the Angolan Government, most of which is directed towards matters of safety and transparency in the carrying out of maritime activities, including new regulations on seafarers and maritime personnel (Presidential Decree No. 78/16 of 14 April 2016), maximum safety capacity of vessels and ships (Presidential Decree No. 79/16 of 14 April 2016), prevention of terrorism associated with activities at sea (Law No. 19/17 of 25 August 2017), the beaconing system for the national maritime space and inland waterways (Presidential Decree No. 287/18 of 29 November 2018) and, in 2019, on the modalities of maritime cargo distribution management in the traffic to and from Angola (Presidential Decree No. 189/19, of 12 June 2019).

Angola has also recently adhered to the Basel Convention on the Transboundary Movement of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal, and to the African Maritime Transport Charter of the African Union, which reveals Angola's drive to elevate the national maritime sector to international standards.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank to Marcelo Mendes Mateus, associate at ASP – Sociedade de Advogados, an exclusive member of VdA Legal Partners in Angola, and Ivo Mahumane, associate at VdA, for their assistance in preparing this chapter.



João Afonso Fialho joined VdA in 2015. He is head partner of VdA's Oil & Gas practice.

With more than 20 years of practice in the transport sector, his experience in shipping includes contracts in international transport, providing advice, in particular, to owners, charterers, P&I Clubs and port operators, as well as commodities traders and various industry brokers. João advises on most legal matters relating to the shipping industry, including the bunkering sector, as well as assistance and salvage at sea, ship arrest, customs and maritime litigation.

João also has an extensive track record with construction contracts and ship acquisition, charterparties, bills of lading, ship finance, mortgages and insurance.

He has particular expertise in shipping activities associated with the oil & gas sector, including wreck removal and environmentally sensitive issues.

VdA

Rua Dom Luís I, 28
1200-151 Lisbon
Portugal

Tel: +351 21 311 3400

Email: jaf@vda.pt

URL: www.vda.pt



José Miguel Oliveira joined VdA in 2015. He is a managing associate of VdA's Oil & Gas practice. Before joining the firm, he worked for six years at Miranda Correia Amendoeira. In 2008, he was seconded to the Corporate and Commercial Law Department at Eversheds International LLP's London office. From 2002 to 2008 he worked at Barrocas Sarmiento Neves.

Over the years he has amassed extensive experience within the international shipping industry, particularly across African jurisdictions, where he has been particularly active in assisting all sorts of industry players, from owners, charterers, P&I Clubs, shipbrokers, ship managers, ship agents, freight forwarders, port operators and stevedores, to commodities traders on all types of wet and dry shipping matters. In addition, he provides regular advice on regulatory matters to oil companies and service providers to the offshore oil & gas industry, notably in respect of the use and employment of rigs, FPSOs, support and multipurpose vessels. He also holds a deep knowledge of the bunkering industry, having assisted major players in the setting up of their local structures, securing licences and deals (cargo and bunkering contracts).

José is dual-qualified (Portugal and Angola) and his regular presence in Angola and Mozambique allows him to have an in-depth understanding of the local and neighbouring industries and the respective legal environments.

VdA

Rua Dom Luís I, 28
1200-151 Lisbon
Portugal

Tel: +351 21 311 3400

Email: jmo@vda.pt

URL: www.vda.pt

Vieira de Almeida (VdA) is a leading international law firm with more than 40 years of history, recognised for its innovative approach and impressive track record in corporate legal services. The excellence of its highly specialised legal services covering several sectors and practice areas, enables VdA to overcome the increasingly complex challenges faced by its clients.

VdA offers robust solutions grounded in consistent standards of excellence, ethics and professionalism. VdA's recognition as a leader in the provision of legal services is shared with our clients and teams, and is attested by the most relevant professional organisations, legal publications and universities. VdA has successively received the industry's most prestigious international accolades and awards.

Through the VdA Legal Partners network, clients have access to 13 jurisdictions, with a broad sectoral coverage in all Portuguese-speaking and several French-speaking African countries, as well as Timor-Leste.

Angola – Cabo Verde – Cameroon – Chad – Congo – Democratic Republic of the Congo – Equatorial Guinea – Gabon – Guinea-Bissau – Mozambique – Portugal – São Tomé and Príncipe – Timor-Leste.

www.vda.pt



ASP ADVOGADOS

ICLG.com

Current titles in the ICLG series

Alternative Investment Funds
Anti-Money Laundering
Aviation Finance & Leasing
Aviation Law
Business Crime
Cartels & Leniency
Class & Group Actions
Competition Litigation
Construction & Engineering Law
Consumer Protection
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Corporate Investigations
Corporate Tax
Cybersecurity
Data Protection
Derivatives
Designs
Digital Business

Digital Health
Drug & Medical Device Litigation
Employment & Labour Law
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment & Climate Change Law
Environmental, Social & Governance Law
Family Law
Fintech
Foreign Direct Investment Regimes
Franchise
Gambling
Insurance & Reinsurance
International Arbitration
Investor-State Arbitration
Lending & Secured Finance
Litigation & Dispute Resolution
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining Law
Oil & Gas Regulation

Outsourcing
Patents
Pharmaceutical Advertising
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Project Finance
Public Investment Funds
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Renewable Energy
Restructuring & Insolvency
Sanctions
Securitisation
Shipping Law
Telecoms, Media & Internet
Trade Marks
Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms