



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Shipping Law 2018

6th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into shipping law

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Akabogu & Associates

Ana Cristina Pimentel & Associados,
Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL

Arias, Fábrega & Fábrega

BLACK SEA LAW COMPANY

Clyde & Co LLP

Dardani Studio Legale

Dingli & Dingli

D. L. & F. DE SARAM

DQ Advocates

Esenyell|Partners Lawyers & Consultants

Estudio Arca & Paoli Abogados

Fernandes Hearn LLP

Foley Gardere, Foley & Lardner LLP

FRANCO & ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS

FRANCO, DUARTE, MURILLO

ARREDONDO

Graham Thompson

Grossman, Cordova, Gilad & Co.
Law Offices (GCG)

Guantao Law Firm

HFW

Hill Dickinson LLP

Ince & Co Middle East LLP

Jensen Neugebauer

JIPYONG

Kegels & Co

KOCH DUKEN BOËS

Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law

LERINS & BCW

LEX NAVICUS CONCORDIA

LP LAW | LOPES PINTO ADVOGADOS

ASSOCIADOS

Meana Green Maura y Asociados SLP
(MGM&CO.)

Mulla & Mulla & Craigie Blunt & Caroe

NASSAR ABOGADOS

Noble Shipping Law

Peter Doraisamy LLC

Q.E.D INTERLEX CONSULTING SRL

Rosicki, Grudziński & Co.

Sabatino Pizzolante Abogados
Marítimos & Comerciales

SSEK Legal Consultants

Stephenson Harwood

ThomannFischer

Tomasello & Weitz

Van Traa Advocaten N.V.

Vieira de Almeida | Guilherme Daniel
& Associados

Vieira de Almeida | RLA – Sociedade
de Advogados, RL

VUKIĆ & PARTNERS

Wikborg Rein Advokatfirma AS

Yoshida & Partners





global legal group

Contributing Editor

Ed Mills-Webb,
Clyde & Co LLP

Sales Director

Florjan Osmani

Account Director

Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager

Toni Hayward

Editor

Nicholas Catlin

Senior Editors

Suzie Levy
Caroline Collingwood

CEO

Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor

Alan Falach

Publisher

Rory Smith

Published by

Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design

F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source

iStockphoto

Printed by

Ashford Colour Press Ltd.
July 2018

Copyright © 2018
Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-912509-21-8

ISSN 2052-5419

Strategic Partners



General Chapters:

1	Key Recent Cases Considering Package/Unit Limitation under the Hague and Hague-Visby Rules – Ed Mills-Webb & Mark Tilley, Clyde & Co LLP	1
2	Industry Risks (Legal and Non-Legal) within the Offshore Energy Sector in Mexico – Daniel Aranda & Alejandro Gómez-Strozzi, Foley Gardere, Foley & Lardner LLP	6
3	The Changing Face of Maritime Law and Risk – Cyber, E-Commerce, Automation of Vessels – Julian Clark & Beatrice Cameli, Hill Dickinson LLP	8
4	Legal and Regulatory Overview of Wet Cargo Shipping in Nigeria – Emeka Akabogu & Victor Onyegbado, Akabogu & Associates	14
5	International Liability and Compensation Conventions: Panacea or Ideal? – Donald Chard, BIMCO	19
6	Drafting a New Baltic Code – Mark Jackson, The Baltic Exchange	23

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

7	Angola	Vieira de Almeida RLA – Sociedade de Advogados, RL: João Afonso Fialho & José Miguel Oliveira	25
8	Australia	HFW: Hazel Brewer & Nic van der Reyden	31
9	Bahamas	Graham Thompson: Michelle Pindling-Sands	37
10	Belgium	Kegels & Co: André Kegels	42
11	Brazil	LP LAW LOPES PINTO ABOGADOS ASSOCIADOS: Alessander Lopes Pinto & Patricia dos Anjos	50
12	Canada	Fernandes Hearn LLP: James Manson	54
13	Chile	Tomasello & Weitz: Leslie Tomasello Weitz	59
14	China	Guantao Law Firm: Shouzhi An & Frank Fulong Huang	63
15	Colombia	FRANCO & ABOGADOS ASOCIADOS: Javier Franco	69
16	Costa Rica	NASSAR ABOGADOS: Tomás Nassar Pérez & María Fernanda Redondo Rojas	73
17	Croatia	VUKIĆ & PARTNERS: Gordan Stanković	79
18	Denmark	Jensen Neugebauer: Mads Poulsen	84
19	Dominican Republic	Q.E.D INTERLEX CONSULTING SRL: Luis Lucas Rodríguez Pérez	89
20	France	LERINS & BCW: Laurent Garrabos & Rémi Racine	94
21	Germany	KOCH DUKEN BOËS: Dr. Axel Boës & Henrike Koch	100
22	Guatemala	NASSAR ABOGADOS: Tomás Nassar Pérez	106
23	Honduras	NASSAR ABOGADOS: René Serrano & Jessy Aguilar	111
24	Hong Kong	Stephenson Harwood: Andrew Rigden Green & Evangeline Quek	117
25	India	Mulla & Mulla & Craigie Blunt & Caroe: Shardul Thacker	122
26	Indonesia	SSEK Legal Consultants: Dyah Soewito & Stephen Igor Warokka	129
27	Ireland	Noble Shipping Law: Helen Noble	134
28	Isle of Man	DQ Advocates: Mark Dougherty & Kirsten Middleton	140
29	Israel	Grossman, Cordova, Gilad & Co. Law Offices (GCG): Avi Cordova & Roy Gilad	145
30	Italy	Dardani Studio Legale: Marco Manzone & Lawrence Dardani	149
31	Japan	Yoshida & Partners: Norio Nakamura & Taichi Hironaka	155
32	Korea	JIPYONG: Choon-Won Lee & Dahee Kim	160
33	Malta	Dingli & Dingli: Dr. Tonio Grech & Dr. Fleur Delia	166
34	Mexico	FRANCO, DUARTE, MURILLO, ARREDONDO: Rafael Murillo	171
35	Mozambique	Vieira de Almeida Guilherme Daniel & Associados: João Afonso Fialho & José Miguel Oliveira	175
36	Netherlands	Van Traa Advocaten N.V.: Vincent Pool & Jolien Kruit	180
37	Norway	Wikborg Rein Advokatfirma AS: Gaute Gjelsten & Morten Lund Mathisen	187

Continued Overleaf →

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.



Country Question and Answer Chapters:

38	Panama	Arias, Fábrega & Fábrega: Jorge Loaiza III	193
39	Peru	Estudio Arca & Paoli Abogados: Francisco Arca Patiño & Carla Paoli Consigliere	204
40	Poland	Rosicki, Grudziński & Co.: Maciej Grudziński & Piotr Rosicki	210
41	Portugal	Ana Cristina Pimentel & Associados, Sociedade de Advogados, SP, RL: Ana Cristina Pimentel	216
42	Russia	LEX NAVICUS CONCORDIA: Konstantin Krasnokutskiy	221
43	Singapore	Peter Doraisamy LLC: Peter Doraisamy & Rafizah Gaffoor	227
44	Spain	Meana Green Maura y Asociados SLP (MGM&CO.): Jaime Soroa & Edmund Sweetman	232
45	Sri Lanka	D. L. & F. DE SARAM: Jivan Goonetilleke & Savantha De Saram	237
46	Switzerland	ThomannFischer: Stephan Erbe	243
47	Taiwan	Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law: Daniel T.H. Tsai & James Chang	247
48	Turkey	Esenyel Partners Lawyers & Consultants: Selcuk S. Esenyel	252
49	Ukraine	BLACK SEA LAW COMPANY: Evgeniy Sukachev & Anastasiya Sukacheva	257
50	United Arab Emirates	Ince & Co Middle East LLP: Mohamed El Hawawy & Sheridan Steiger	263
51	United Kingdom	Clyde & Co LLP: Ed Mills-Webb	269
52	USA	Foley Gardere, Foley & Lardner LLP: Peter A. McLauchlan & Anacarolina Estaba	274
53	Venezuela	Sabatino Pizzolante Abogados Marítimos & Comerciales: José Alfredo Sabatino Pizzolante & Iván Darío Sabatino Pizzolante	284

Mozambique

João Afonso Fialho



Vieira de Almeida | Guilherme Daniel
& Associados

José Miguel Oliveira



1 Marine Casualty

1.1 In the event of a collision, grounding or other major casualty, what are the key provisions that will impact upon the liability and response of interested parties? In particular, the relevant law / conventions in force in relation to:

(i) Collision

The following international conventions are enforceable in Mozambique:

- 1910 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules of Law Related to Collision Between Vessels;
- 1952 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules concerning Civil Jurisdiction in Matters of Collision;
- 1952 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules relating to Penal Jurisdiction in Matters of Collision or other Incidents of Navigation; and
- 1972 International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea (“COLREGS”).

The above conventions and regulations are supplemented, in some cases, by domestic statutes, notably on rules of traffic within port areas, inland navigation, among others.

(ii) Pollution

The Environmental Law (Law 20/97, of 1 October 1997), as amended by Law 16/2014, of 20 June, sets out the general provisions pertaining to the protection of the environment and imposes an environmental impact assessment process (which is governed by the Regulations on the Environmental Impact Assessment Procedure, approved by Decree 54/2015, of 31 December 2015) on companies carrying out activities which may have direct or indirect impact on the environment. In a nutshell, the Environmental Law sets forth the legal basis for a proper management of the environment, cumulatively with the development of the country. It applies to both private and public entities pursuing activities with a potential impact on the environment. Core principles such as the “polluter pays” principle, rational management and use of the environment and the importance of international co-operation are referred to and integrated in the Environmental Law.

In order to specifically protect marine life and limit pollution resulting from illegal discharges by vessels or from land-based sources along the Mozambican coast, the Government enacted Decree 45/2006, of 30 November 2006. It should be noted that this Decree prevents pollution arising from maritime activity, particularly from oil tankers and very large crude carriers (“VLCCs”). Considering the prospective

gas reserves found offshore Mozambique, Decree 45/2006, of 30 November 2006 also details the activities that, due to their potential harm to the environment, fall within the oversight of the maritime authority, such as the loading, offloading and transfer of cargo, tank cleaning and discharge of water waste in the sea. The carrying out of such activities (except in the cases expressly provided for in the Decree 45/2006 of 30 November 2006) may result in heavy fines.

Furthermore, the Regulation on Environmental Quality and Emission of Effluents (Decree 18/2004, of 2 June 2004, as amended by Decree 67/2010, of 31 December 2010) also establishes environmental quality and effluent emission standards for the purpose of controlling and maintaining the acceptable levels of pollutant concentrations in environmental components.

Both of the above-mentioned statutes are complemented by the Conventions and Protocols signed by Mozambique, such as the:

- 1985 Convention for the Protection, Management and Development of the Marine and Coastal Environment of the Eastern African Region, and Related Protocols;
- 1973 International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Vessels (“MARPOL 73/78”) and Annexes I/II, III, IV and V;
- 1990 International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response and Cooperation (“OPRC 90”);
- 1992 Protocol to Amend the 1969 International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage (“CLC 1969”); and
- 1992 Protocol to Amend the International Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage (“FUND”).

(iii) Salvage / general average

Salvage is governed by the 1910 Salvage Convention and, where applicable, the provisions of the 1888 Commercial Code (Article 676 *et seq.*).

General average is governed by the provisions of the 1888 Commercial Code (Article 634 *et seq.*).

(iv) Wreck removal

Mozambique is not a signatory of the Nairobi International Convention on the Removal of Wrecks, 2007. The removal of wrecks must therefore be dealt with in light of the domestic law, namely the Environmental Law and ancillary statutes and regulations.

(v) Limitation of liability

Both the 1924 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Seagoing Vessels and the 1957 International Convention relating to the Limitation of the Liability of Owners of Seagoing Vessels apply.

(vi) The limitation fund

The limitation fund can be established in any way admitted in the law and is dependent on the filing of a proper application before the relevant court. The application must identify/list:

- the occurrence and damages;
- the amount of the limitation fund;
- how the fund will be established;
- the amount of the reserve; and
- the known creditors and the amount of their claims.

The application must be filed along with the vessel's documents supporting the calculation of the amount of the fund (e.g., a tonnage certificate).

1.2 What are the authorities' powers of investigation / casualty response in the event of a collision, grounding or other major casualty?

The National Maritime Institute (*Instituto Nacional da Marinha* – "INAMAR"), in its role as the Maritime Authority, is the governmental body in charge of investigating and responding to any maritime casualty. In performing its duties, INAMAR is assisted by the Harbourmaster with jurisdiction over the area where the casualty took place. In addition, the National Institute of Hydrography and Navigation ("INAHINA") has an ancillary role on maritime safety.

In this respect, it is worth mentioning that Mozambique has recently ratified, by means of Decree 71/2017, of 31 December 2017, the International Code of Protection of Vessels and Port Facilities ("ISPS"), which makes governments, shipping companies, shipboard personnel and port facility personnel responsible for detecting security threats and taking preventative measures against security incidents affecting ships or port facilities used in international trade.

2 Cargo Claims

2.1 What are the international conventions and national laws relevant to marine cargo claims?

The 1924 International Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules of Law relating to Bills of Lading, also known as the Hague Rules, applies. Under the Hague Rules, the carrier is liable *vis-à-vis* the consignee in relation to the loading, handling, stowage, carriage, custody, care and discharge of such goods. Contracts of carriage are therefore governed by the terms of the Hague Rules and the 1888 Commercial Code (Article 538 *et seq.*), in the absence of detailed provisions set out in the relevant contract.

It is important to note that if the shipment (i.e., loading and place of destination) takes place between two countries party to the Hague Rule, these rules shall apply. However, if the country of destination of the goods is not a signatory to the Hague Rules, then the applicable law would be determined by Mozambican courts in accordance with the *lex rei sitae* principle.

2.2 What are the key principles applicable to cargo claims brought against the carrier?

As a general principle, any party to a contract of carriage who holds an interest over the cargo and can demonstrate that it has suffered losses or damages arising from the carrier's actions and/or omissions is entitled to sue for losses or damages. Taking the above into consideration, the rights to sue under a contract of carriage therefore assist (1) the shipper, and (2) the rightful holder of the bill

of lading. In this respect, it is noteworthy that when in the presence of a: (i) straight bill of lading, the right to bring a claim remains with the named consignee; (ii) order bill of lading, only the latest endorsee is eligible to sue; and (iii) bill of lading to bearer, it is up to the rightful holder at a given moment to sue.

In addition to the above, rights under a contract of carriage may also be validly transferred to third parties either by way of assignment of contractual position or subrogation of rights (which is typically the case when insurers indemnify cargo interests and then seek reimbursement from the carrier), as long as the relevant rules provided in the Civil Code are met.

2.3 In what circumstances may the carrier establish claims against the shipper relating to misdeclaration of cargo?

In light of Article 3.5 of the Hague Rules, the shipper shall indemnify the carrier against all loss, damages and expenses arising or resulting from inaccuracies regarding the information (marks, number, quantity and weight) on the cargo to be transported.

3 Passenger Claims

3.1 What are the key provisions applicable to the resolution of maritime passenger claims?

Mozambique is not a party to the Athens Convention relating to the Carriage of Passengers and their Luggage by Sea. Generally, carriage of passengers is governed by the Commercial and Civil Codes and the Consumer Law, in addition to the individual terms of the contract of carriage. Carrier's liability is mostly fault-based. In the event of delays, unexpected changes of route, damages or loss of carriage, passengers are entitled to claim compensation for losses and damage caused by an action attributed to the carrier, regardless of its wilful misconduct.

4 Arrest and Security

4.1 What are the options available to a party seeking to obtain security for a maritime claim against a vessel owner and the applicable procedure?

The 1952 Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules relating to the Arrest of Seagoing Vessels ("1952 Convention") is applicable in Mozambique. Under the 1952 Convention, any person alleging that it holds a maritime claim is entitled to seek the arrest of a ship. A "maritime claim" is deemed to be a claim arising out of one or more of the situations named under Article 1.1 of the 1952 Convention.

Outside the scope of the 1952 Convention, i.e., for the purposes of obtaining security for an unlisted maritime claim (e.g., arrest for a ship sale claim, unpaid insurance premiums, protection and indemnity ("P&I") dues, amongst others) or to seek the arrest of a vessel sailing under the flag of a non-contracting state, the claimant must make use of the provisions of the Mozambican Code of Civil Procedure ("CPC"). In this case, and aside from the jurisdiction issue that needs to be properly assessed, in addition to providing evidence on the likelihood of its right/credit, the claimant shall also produce evidence that there is a risk that the debtor/arrestor may remove or conceal the ship (security for the claim) or that the ship may depreciate in such a way that, at the time that the final judgment is handed down in the main proceedings, the ship is no longer available or has substantially decreased in value.

Before ordering the arrest, the arrestee is granted the opportunity to oppose/challenge the arrest application. Please note, however, that if the arrest application is properly filed and duly documented, the court may order the detention of the vessel before summoning the arrestee or granting the arrestee the chance to oppose the arrest application. The arrestee has 10 days to oppose the arrest application/order.

With the arrest in place, the claimant is required to file the initial claim for the main proceedings, of which the injunction will form an integral part, within 30 days as of the arrest order. During the proceedings, the parties are free to settle by agreement and withdraw the claim. If the main claim should be filed with a foreign court, then the judge dealing with the arrest application must set out the period within which the claimant must commence proceedings on the merits in the appropriate jurisdiction. The defendant is entitled to post a security before the relevant court in the amount of the claim brought by the claimant, and seek the release of the vessel pending foreclosure and auction.

4.2 Is it possible for a bunker supplier (whether physical and/or contractual) to arrest a vessel for a claim relating to bunkers supplied by them to that vessel?

A claim arising from a bunker supply may be considered as a maritime claim under Article 1.k of the 1952 Convention.

4.3 Where security is sought from a party other than the vessel owner (or demise charterer) for a maritime claim, including exercise of liens over cargo, what options are available?

Assets (e.g., bunkers) belonging to the arrestee may be subject to arrest, provided that it is possible to establish ownership in respect thereof. In addition, the carrier is entitled to exercise a possessory lien over cargo. In this regard, please be advised that pursuant to Mozambican law, a lien is only enforceable by operation of the law and not merely by contract. By way of illustration, Article 755 of the Civil Code provides that any debts resulting from shipping services entitle the carrier/creditor to retain goods in its possession until the full discharge of those debts.

4.4 In relation to maritime claims, what form of security is acceptable; for example, bank guarantee, P&I letter of undertaking.

Typically, cash deposits (at the court's order) and bank guarantees are the most effective forms of security. Letters of undertaking ("LoUs") are acceptable in very limited situations and their acceptance is always dependent on the other party's agreement.

5 Evidence

5.1 What steps can be taken (and when) to preserve or obtain access to evidence in relation to maritime claims including any available procedures for the preservation of physical evidence, examination of witnesses or pre-action disclosure?

Whenever there is a serious risk of loss, concealment or dissipation of property or documents, as well as when it becomes impossible or almost impossible to obtain testimony or certain evidence by way of inspection, parties are free to start an action and file a motion requiring it to be enlisted by the court or taken prior to the hearing.

The relevant motion can be lodged whenever deemed suitable, the applicant always being required to provide due grounds for its request.

5.2 What are the general disclosure obligations in court proceedings?

As a general rule, it is up to the parties to establish the object of their claim/proceedings and the judge cannot go beyond the limits of the claim as put forward by the parties. In addition, parties have the burden of presenting the facts of their interest and producing evidence in respect thereof. The court will take into account the evidence produced/requested by the parties, but it is not limited to this. In fact, the court is also allowed to request and compel the parties to disclose all evidence deemed necessary to the discovery of the truth and/or to the best resolution of the dispute.

6 Procedure

6.1 Describe the typical procedure and timescale applicable to maritime claims conducted through: i) national courts (including any specialised maritime or commercial courts); ii) arbitration (including specialist arbitral bodies); and iii) mediation / alternative dispute resolution.

With the enactment of Law 5/96, specialised courts in maritime and shipping matters were established in the most important cities of the country, such as Maputo, Inhambane, Beira, Quelimane, Nacala and Pemba. These are independent courts exercising jurisdiction over all sorts of maritime contracts (from engineering, procurement and construction contracts for vessels, to bareboat charters) and disputes.

In general, Mozambican courts will find themselves competent to rule on claims where the parties in dispute and the claim itself have a close connection/link to Mozambique.

As regards legal procedures before national courts, these can be generally described as follows:

- Proceedings commence with the filing of an initial written complaint before the court. In addition to listing the facts and arguments sustaining the claim, the claimant is required to list its witnesses and request the other evidence proceedings, such as inspections or surveys.
- Service is made by the clerks, in person. Shipping agents represent owners'/disponent owners'/managers' interests and can receive documentation on their behalf.
- Generally, the defendant has 30 days to challenge and oppose the claim. If it fails to present its defence, the facts presented by the claimant are deemed proven (exceptions apply).
- With the opposition lodged, the judge will summon the parties and will try to resolve the dispute amicably or, that not being possible, prepare the final hearing.
- At the final hearing, the witness will be examined and cross-examined by the lawyers representing each party, and the judge may intervene whenever it is deemed necessary. At the end, lawyers are required to issue their final arguments verbally.
- The judge will then prepare and issue the judgment which, depending on the amount of the claim, can entail an appeal.

As to the duration of maritime proceedings, as with any other legal proceedings, this is highly unpredictable. In our experience, excluding arrests and any other interim measures, it should not be expected to take less than one year to 18 months, as it depends on several variables, such as the court's current caseload.

The primary source of domestic law relating to arbitration is the Law on Arbitration, Conciliation and Mediation, commonly referred

to as LACM (Law 11/99 of 8 July 1999). The LACM governs both international and domestic commercial arbitration, recognises the New York and Washington Conventions but applies the rules set out in the CPC for arbitration proceedings. It is worth noting that the LACM does not diverge from the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration, and that it follows the general standards and terms of the UNCITRAL Model Law for the conduct of proceedings, tribunal composition and recognition of the award given.

In order to submit a dispute to arbitration, there must be an arbitration agreement (often a clause which is express, valid and enforceable). Such agreement is required to be in a written format (in the contract under which the dispute arises or in any correspondence exchanged between the parties).

As a final note, it is worth mentioning that the Government of Mozambique created the Centre for Arbitration, Conciliation and Mediation (“CAMC”) to oversee and promote arbitration, as well as other alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. The CAMC is headquartered in Maputo but also has branches in the cities of Beira and Nampula.

6.2 Highlight any notable pros and cons related to your jurisdiction that any potential party should bear in mind.

Despite the efforts of the Mozambican Government and the achievements reached in the past few decades, the country needs to continue developing its infrastructure and support the training and qualification of its citizens. Bureaucracy and a lack of qualified technicians still continue to be some of the biggest challenges to operating in the country. Despite the country’s high debt level, which has been a problem over the past few years, Mozambique’s economy is showing signs of recovery after the economic recession in 2016.

7 Foreign Judgments and Awards

7.1 Summarise the key provisions and applicable procedures affecting the recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments.

Articles 1094 and 1095 of the CPC set out that any judgment awarded by a foreign court is, as a rule, subject to review and confirmation by the Supreme Court in order to be valid and enforceable locally (i.e., to obtain the “*exequatur*”).

The review and confirmation of foreign decisions under the CPC is mostly formal and should not involve a review of the merit/grounds of the judgment, but a simple re-examination of the relevant judgment and additional judicial procedure requirements. The process must begin with the filing by the interested party of an application to that effect with the Supreme Court. In order for the foreign decision to be recognised by the Supreme Court, the following set of requirements must be met:

- There are no doubts that the judgment is authentic and its content understandable.
- It must constitute a final decision (not subject to appeal) in the country in which it was rendered.
- The decision must have been rendered by the relevant court according to the Mozambican conflict-of-law rules.
- There is no case pending before or decided by a Mozambican court, except if it was the foreign court which prevented the jurisdiction of the Mozambican courts.
- The defendant was served proper notice of the claim in accordance with the law of the country in which the judgment

was rendered, except in cases where, under Mozambican law, there is no need to notify the defendant, or in cases where the judgment is passed against the defendant because there was no opposition.

- The judgment is not contrary to the public policy principles of the Mozambican state.
- The decision rendered against the Mozambican citizen/company does not conflict with Mozambique’s private law, in cases where this law could be applicable according to the Mozambican conflict-of-law rules.

After the application is filed, the court must serve notice of same on the defendant. Once notice is served, the defendant may oppose the *exequatur* if any of the above requirements are not met.

If the defendant opposes the *exequatur*, the applicant may reply to the defendant’s arguments. Afterwards, the case follows various procedural steps until the decision is made on whether to grant the *exequatur*. The losing party may still appeal against the court’s decision.

7.2 Summarise the key provisions and applicable procedures affecting the recognition and enforcement of arbitration awards.

Considering that Mozambique has acceded to the 1958 New York Convention, Mozambican courts are to give effect *prima facie* to an arbitration agreement and award rendered in another signatory country to the New York Convention. Where the arbitral award was not granted by another contracting state, to be enforceable it must have previously been reviewed and confirmed by Mozambique’s Supreme Court (see question 7.1 above).

8 Updates and Developments

8.1 Describe any other issues not considered above that may be worthy of note, together with any current trends or likely future developments that may be of interest.

Since its independence in 1975, Mozambique has been steadily revising its laws and regulations, at the same time as ratifying and adhering to a number of international treaties and conventions. In this respect, it is worth mentioning that a number of pivotal conventions on maritime and shipping-related matters applicable in the country date from the time when Mozambique was still a Portuguese overseas territory (e.g., the 1952 Arrest Convention). In fact, although after its independence Mozambique has not specifically adhered to the treaties/conventions to which Portugal was already a party, as formally required under the Vienna Convention on Succession of Treaties, it is commonly accepted that the treaties ratified by Portugal and extended to Mozambique over time still apply in light of Article 71 of the Constitution, approved immediately after the country’s independence, which provided for the survival of any (Portuguese) laws and regulations in force at the time of independence, as long as these did not conflict with the letter and spirit of the Constitution.

More recently, the Government of Mozambique has been enacting important domestic legislation to support the shipping industry, and paving the way for foreign and national investments. Significant steps have been made in this direction, with the setting up of maritime courts, the creation of an institute exclusively dedicated to regulating and overseeing the shipping industry (INAMAR) and the opening of cabotage activities to foreign vessels and owners. The Government has recently boosted its drive to set up a consistent legal regime for maritime and shipping activities, with the enactment of new regulations on the private use of the Mozambican maritime space (Decree 21/2017, of 24 May 2017) and the new regulations on

port work (Decree 46/2016, of 31 October 2016). The ratification of the International Code of Protection of Vessels and Port Facilities (“ISPS”), one of the world’s most important regulations on shipping activities, is also a clear indicator of the Government’s commitment to creating a more favourable environment for the development of shipping activities in Mozambique.

The liquefied natural gas project in Offshore Areas 1 and 4, and the setting up of a Logistic Corridor in Nacala, as well as other infrastructure projects that are expected in the near future, will certainly enhance the shipping industry in Mozambique in the coming years. In addition to the legislative initiatives, the Government is currently investing in the refurbishment and expansion of the

existing marine ports and the construction of new ones, aiming at making the country’s infrastructure able to support the enhancement of the shipping industry.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank to Catarina Coimbra and Guilherme Daniel for their assistance in preparing this chapter. Catarina (ccm@vda.pt) is an associate at VdA, and Guilherme (gdd@guilhermedaniel.com) is the founder of Guilherme Daniel & Associados (the exclusive Mozambican member of VdA Legal Partners).



João Afonso Fialho

Vieira de Almeida
Rua Dom Luís I, 28
1200-151 Lisbon
Portugal

Tel: +351 21 311 3400
Fax: +351 21 311 3406
Email: jaf@vda.pt
URL: www.vda.pt

João Afonso Fialho joined VdA in 2015. He is head partner of VdA’s Oil & Gas practice.

With more than 20 years of practice in the transport sector, his experience in shipping includes contracts in international transport, providing advice, in particular, to owners, charterers, P&I Clubs and port operators, as well as commodities traders and various industry brokers. João advises on most legal matters relating to the shipping industry, including the bunkering sector, as well as assistance and salvage at sea, ship arrest, customs and maritime litigation.

João also has an extensive track record with construction contracts and ship acquisition, charterparties, bills of lading, ship finance, mortgages and insurance.

He has particular expertise in shipping activities associated with the oil & gas sector, including wreck removal and environmentally sensitive issues.



José Miguel Oliveira

Vieira de Almeida
Rua Dom Luís I, 28
1200-151 Lisbon
Portugal

Tel: +351 21 311 3400
Fax: +351 21 311 3406
Email: jmo@vda.pt
URL: www.vda.pt

José Miguel Oliveira joined VdA in 2015. He is a managing associate of VdA’s Oil & Gas practice. Before joining the firm, he worked for six years at Miranda Correia Amendoeira. In 2008, he was seconded to the Corporate and Commercial Law Department at Eversheds International LLP’s London office. From 2002 to 2008 he worked at Barrocas Sarmento Neves.

Over the years he has amassed extensive experience within the international shipping industry, particularly across African jurisdictions, where he has been particularly active in assisting all sorts of industry players, from owners, charterers, P&I Clubs, shipbrokers, ship managers, ship agents, freight forwarders, port operators and stevedores, to commodities traders on all types of wet and dry shipping matters. In addition, he provides regular advice on regulatory matters to oil companies and service providers to the offshore oil & gas industry, notably in respect of the use and employment of rigs, FPSOs, support and multipurpose vessels. He also holds a deep knowledge of the bunkering industry, having assisted major players in the setting up of their local structures, securing licences and deals (cargo and bunkering contracts).

José is dual-qualified (Portugal and Angola) and his regular presence in Angola and Mozambique allows him to have an in-depth understanding of the local and neighbouring industries and the respective legal environments.



With over 40 years in the making, Vieira de Almeida (VdA) is a leading international law firm, notable for cutting-edge innovation and top-quality legal advice. A profound business know-how coupled with a highly specialised cross-sector legal practice enable the firm to effectively meet the increasingly complex challenges faced by clients, notably in the aerospace, distribution, economy of the sea, green economy, energy, finance, real estate, industry, infrastructure, healthcare, public, professional services, information technology, emerging technologies, telecoms, third, transport and tourism sectors.

VdA offers robust solutions based on consistent standards of excellence, ethics and professionalism. The recognition of VdA as a leading provider of legal services is shared with our team and clients and is frequently acknowledged by the major law publications, professional organisations and research institutions. VdA has consistently and consecutively received the industry’s most prestigious awards and nominations.

Through VdA Legal Partners clients have access to a team of lawyers across 12 jurisdictions, ensuring wide sectoral coverage, including all African members of the Community of Portuguese-Speaking Countries (CPLP), and several francophone African countries, as well as Timor-Leste.

Angola – Cabo Verde – Chad – Congo – Democratic Republic of the Congo – Equatorial Guinea – Gabon – Guinea-Bissau – Mozambique
Portugal – São Tomé and Príncipe – Timor-Leste

Other titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Anti-Money Laundering
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Cybersecurity
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Investor-State Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Investment Funds
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.com