



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Alternative Investment Funds 2018

6th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into Alternative Investment Funds work

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

5Lex

Advokátní kancelář KF Legal, s.r.o.

Attorneys-at-Law Trust

Bonn & Schmitt

Brodies LLP

Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP

Cases & Lacambra

Colin Ng & Partners LLP

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP

Dillon Eustace

Dubiński Jeleński Masiarz i Wspólnicy sp.k.

FenXun Partners

Ferraiuoli LLC

Flick Gocke Schaumburg

Harvest Advokatbyrå AB

Lacourte Raquin Tatar

Lenz & Staehelin

Maples and Calder

McCarthy Tétrault LLP

Mori Hamada & Matsumoto

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd

Ropes & Gray LLP

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
and Affiliates

Taylor (in Association with Walkers)

Travers Smith LLP

Vieira de Almeida

Vivien Teu & Co LLP

Webber Wentzel

Wikborg Rein Advokatfirma AS



Contributing Editor

Stephen G. Sims, Skadden,
Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom
LLP and Affiliates

Sales Director

Florjan Osmani

Account Director

Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager

Toni Hayward

Sub Editor

Hollie Parker

Senior Editors

Suzie Levy
Caroline Collingwood

CEO

Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor

Alan Falach

Publisher

Rory Smith

Published by

Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design

F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source

iStockphoto

Printed by

Ashford Colour Press Ltd
July 2018


Copyright © 2018

Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-912509-23-2

ISSN 2051-9613

Strategic Partners



General Chapters:

1	A Game of Two Halves: Haves and Have-Nots in Alternative Investment Funds – Stephen G. Sims & Greg Norman, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP and Affiliates	1
2	The Global Subscription Credit Facility and Fund Finance Markets – Key Trends and Forecasts – Michael C. Mascia & Wesley A. Misson, Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP	4
3	Adviser Exams: Mitigating Enforcement Risks – Leor Landa & James H. R. Windels, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	7
4	Private Equity's Seesaw: Changing Dynamics in Fundraising Terms – Marco V. Masotti & Lindsey L. Wiersma, Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP	14
5	Financing European Commercial Real Estate Debt Funds – Alternatives and Considerations – Partha S. Pal, Ropes & Gray LLP	18
6	Bringing Foreign Investment Funds into Japan – Yasuzo Takeno & Fumiharu Hiromoto, Mori Hamada & Matsumoto	21

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

7	Andorra	Cases & Lacambra: Miguel Cases & Marc Ambrós	26
8	Angola	Vieira de Almeida: Pedro Simões Coelho & Carlos Filipe Couto	32
9	Bermuda	Taylor (in Association with Walkers): Jonathan Betts	39
10	British Virgin Islands	Maples and Calder: Richard May & Heidi de Vries	48
11	Canada	McCarthy Tétrault LLP: Sean D. Sadler & Nigel P.J. Johnston	56
12	Cayman Islands	Maples and Calder: Grant Dixon & Andrew Keast	64
13	China	FenXun Partners: Sue Liu	71
14	Cyprus	PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd: Andreas Yiasemides & Constantinos A. Constantinou	77
15	Czech Republic	Advokátní kancelář KF Legal, s.r.o.: Mgr. Jakub Joska	86
16	England & Wales	Travers Smith LLP: Jeremy Elmore & Emily Clark	91
17	Finland	Attorneys-at-Law Trust: Mika J. Lehtimäki	102
18	France	Lacourte Raquin Tatar: Damien Luqué & Martin Jarrige de la Sizeranne	108
19	Germany	Flick Gocke Schaumburg: Christian Schatz	117
20	Hong Kong	Vivien Teu & Co LLP: Vivien Teu & Sarah He	122
21	Ireland	Dillon Eustace: Brian Kelliher & Sean Murray	132
22	Italy	5Lex: Francesco Di Carlo & Camilla Fornasaro	142
23	Luxembourg	Bonn & Schmitt: Jeannette Vaude-Perrin & Amélie Thévenart	152
24	Mozambique	Vieira de Almeida: Pedro Simões Coelho & Carlos Filipe Couto	160
25	Norway	Wikborg Rein Advokatfirma AS: Christoffer Bergene & Jens Fredrik Bøen	166
26	Poland	Dubiński Jeleński Masiarz i Wspólnicy sp.k.: Tomasz Masiarz & Rafał Lidke	173
27	Portugal	Vieira de Almeida: Pedro Simões Coelho & Inês Moreira dos Santos	180
28	Puerto Rico	Ferraiuoli LLC: Yarot T. Lafontaine-Torres & Alexis R. González-Pagani	190
29	Scotland	Brodies LLP: Andrew Akintewe & Karen Fountain	201
30	Singapore	Colin Ng & Partners LLP: Amit R. Dhume & Abel Ho	209
31	South Africa	Webber Wentzel: Nicole Paige	217
32	Spain	Cases & Lacambra: Miguel Cases & Toni Barios	223
33	Sweden	Harvest Advokatbyrå AB: Gustav Sälgröm & Emelie Persson	231
34	Switzerland	Lenz & Staehelin: Dr. François Rayroux & Dr. Patrick Schleiffer	237
35	USA	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP and Affiliates: Heather Cruz & Anna Rips	245

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Portugal

Vieira de Almeida

Pedro Simões Coelho



Inês Moreira dos Santos



1 Regulatory Framework

1.1 What legislation governs the establishment and operation of Alternative Investment Funds?

The activity involving the management, investment and marketing of Alternative Investment Funds (AIFs) is mainly regulated by the Undertakings for Collective Investment Law (*Regime Geral dos Organismos de Investimento Coletivo*), enacted by Law no. 16/2015 of 24 February 2015 (UCI Law), which implemented in Portugal Directive 2009/65/EC on undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities (UCITS) (UCITS Directive), as amended from time to time, as well as Directive 2011/61/EU on Alternative Investment Fund Managers (AIFMD), which sets out most of the rules relating to AIFs, the CMVM Regulation no. 2/2015 on Undertakings for Collective Investment (Regulation no. 2/2015), which sets forth more specific rules regarding certain aspects of the UCI Law and the Portuguese Securities Code (*Código dos Valores Mobiliários* or PSC), enacted by Decree-Law no. 486/99 of 13 November 1999, as amended from time to time, that entered into force on 1 March 2000.

The Portuguese Securities Exchange Commission (*Comissão do Mercado de Valores Mobiliários* or CMVM) is the main regulatory body in relation to the aforementioned matters.

Furthermore, AIFs' managers, as financial institutions, are also subject to the Bank of Portugal (*Banco de Portugal* or BoP) prudential supervision, notably in what concerns the applicable provisions of the Portuguese Banking Law, enacted by Decree-Law no. 298/92 of 31 December, as amended from time to time, and all complementary legal documents in connection therewith.

1.2 Are managers or advisers to Alternative Investment Funds required to be licensed, authorised or regulated by a regulatory body?

Yes. Fund managers, as financial institutions, are subject to the Bank of Portugal's supervision, notably in respect of prudential matters. Moreover, fund managers, as financial intermediaries, are also subject to the CMVM's supervision in what concerns most of the rules governing their management of AIFs' activity.

Therefore, the fund managers' authorisation procedure will be conducted before the BoP and the CMVM at the same time, but the final authorisation will only be granted if both regulators agree that the candidate fulfils all legal requirements to manage AIFs.

The UCI Law did not implement in Portugal the *de minimis* exemption foreseen in the AIFMD. As a result, all fund managers, regardless of the asset under management, will need to comply, in general terms, with the same requirements.

Nonetheless, considering the type of AIFs the fund manager intends to manage, *i.e.* AIFs investing in securities or financial assets, non-financial assets or real estate, there will be some specific requirements to be met, notably as regards investment policies and contracts with service providers.

1.3 Are Alternative Investment Funds themselves required to be licensed, authorised or regulated by a regulatory body?

Yes. The setting up of AIFs is subject to authorisation with the CMVM, which is the competent regulator to undertake the supervision of AIF managers, ancillary service providers, AIFs' distributors and compliance with the general rules applying to AIFs, notably those relating to the protection of investors' interests.

1.4 Does the regulatory regime distinguish between open-ended and closed-ended Alternative Investment Funds (or otherwise differentiate between different types of funds or strategies (e.g. private equity v hedge)) and, if so, how?

Yes. In general terms, the UCI Law distinguishes between AIFs investing (i) in securities or financial assets, such as undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities that do not comply with the UCITS Directive limits and are thus classified as AIFs which invest in securities, (ii) in real estate (real estate investment funds), and (iii) in long-term non-financial assets with a determinable value.

Furthermore, Regulation No. 2/2015 allows AIFs investing in securities to adopt the branding of AIF investing in bonds, shares, index tracker, money-market fund, etc., provided that its investment policy complies with certain criteria.

The AIFs described in points (i) and (ii) above may be open- or closed-ended, but the type referred to in point (iii) shall be closed-ended.

The UCI law does not contain any specific provision regarding private equity or hedge funds, thus in principle they will be encompassed by the regime of the AIFs investing in securities.

In general terms, the open-ended AIFs are addressed to the retail market and the closed-ended AIFs target affluent or professional investors. As a result, the CMVM's scrutiny over open-ended AIFs tends to be tighter.

Furthermore, depending on the type of AIF at stake and whether it is open or closed-ended, different investing limits will apply, notably in respect of leverage and asset allocation.

1.5 What does the authorisation process involve and how long does the process typically take?

In a nutshell, the authorisation for the setting up of an AIF must be filed with the CMVM. In requesting such authorisation, the relevant AIF's manager must provide the CMVM with the AIF's documentation, notably the Key Investor Information Document (KIID) and the full prospectus of the AIF (if applicable), which must also include the AIF's regulation.

In addition, the CMVM must also be given copies of the agreements to be executed between the management company and (i) the depository, (ii) the distributors or entities that will market the AIF, and (iii) any other entities that will render services to the AIF or to the AIF manager.

Documents evidencing the acceptance of the rendering of the relevant services by all entities involved in the AIF's activities must also be provided to the CMVM.

An authorisation is given within 20 days (or 30 days in the case of self-managed collective investment companies) of the receipt of either the fully documented application or of any supplementary information or amendments to the documents required by the CMVM. If at the end of such period the applicants have not yet been notified of the deferral of their application, the authorisation is considered to have been tacitly refused.

However, considering that CMVM tends to request further information from the applicant, the legal term for granting the authorisation is halted and the authorisation process takes generally around two months and in the case of self-managed collective investment companies several months in light of the stricter legal requirements.

The CMVM may refuse the authorisation if the applicant does not submit the required documentation or if the AIF manager at stake engages in irregular management of other investment funds.

After the authorisation has been granted, an AIF will be fully set up from the moment the first subscription is settled.

1.6 Are there local residence or other local qualification requirements?

Considering that the vast majority of the AIFs in Portugal have been set up under the contractual form with no legal personality, they ought to be managed by a separate fund manager.

The fund manager may be a Portuguese incorporated financial institution or an entity providing services on a cross-border basis under the AIFMD passport legal framework, either through the free provision of services or the freedom of establishment.

However, it is important to bear in mind that the UCI Law only allows for an EU fund manager, passported under AIFMD, to manage a Portuguese AIF if such an AIF exclusively targets professional investors, in accordance with the MiFID definition.

As regards Portuguese incorporated fund managers, they shall have a board of directors comprising at least three members, one of them necessarily being an independent director (or non-executive director).

Moreover, pursuant to the recently enacted Law no. 148/2015 of 9 September (Auditing Supervision Framework) the fund manager shall also have an audit board comprising at least three members

(the majority of which need to be considered independent) plus a sole auditor.

The members of the board of directors and audit board of the fund manager need to be previously authorised by the BoP, being subject to a thorough suitability assessment during such a procedure.

Furthermore, the fund manager shall have in place several internal policies aiming to address the risk of its activity, remuneration issues, outsourcing, internal control, evaluation of the assets pertaining to the AIFs under management, anti-money laundering, selection of the members of the boards of directors and audit board, all subject to the control of the CMVM, the BoP and to a certain extent the depository, and entailing permanent record-keeping by the fund manager.

1.7 What service providers are required?

An AIF is legally required in Portugal to have a fund manager (if it is not endowed with legal personality), a depository, an auditor and, in the case of real estate AIFs, real estate appraisal experts.

Furthermore, the AIF may also have, but is not legally compelled to have, distributors or entities that will market the AIF, which is standard practice in the case of open-ended AIFs.

1.8 What rules apply to foreign managers or advisers wishing to manage, advise, or otherwise operate funds domiciled in your jurisdiction?

The same rules established for national managers will apply, in addition to the harmonised rules for requesting a passport to carry out management of AIFs activity in Portugal.

1.9 What co-operation or information sharing agreements have been entered into with other governments or regulators?

In accordance with the information currently available on the CMVM's website, the CMVM has signed memorandums of understanding with the competent regulators of other non-EU Member States, namely Albania, Australia, the Bahamas, Bermuda, Brazil, the British Virgin Islands, Canada, Canada OSFI, the Cayman Islands, Dubai, Guernsey, Hong Kong MA, Hong Kong SFC, India, the Isle of Man, Israel, Japan FSA, Japan MAFF, Japan METI, Jersey, Labuan, the Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Malaysia, the Maldives, Mauritius, Mexico, Montenegro, Morocco, Pakistan, Singapore, South Africa, South Korea (FSC & FSS), Republika Srpska, Switzerland, Tanzania, Thailand, the United Arab Emirates, the US CFTC, the US SEC and Vietnam.

2 Fund Structures

2.1 What are the principal legal structures used for Alternative Investment Funds?

An AIF may take one of two forms or structures, both subject to the licensing procedures described in question 1.5 above:

- Contractual structure with no legal personality. This is the classic structure and requires that the AIF be managed by a separate fund manager. The investors' or participants' interests in these funds are called units (*unidades de participação*).
- Collective investment company endowed with legal personality (*sociedade de investimento*). Collective investment companies

which mainly invest in securities are classified as SIMs (*sociedades de investimento mobiliários*), while those which mainly invest in real estate are classified as SIIs (*sociedades de investimento imobiliário*). Both SIMs and SIIs may be self-managed or have appointed a third party as their manager, which must be a duly authorised investment fund manager. Participants in these collective investment companies will hold shares (*ações*).

Lastly, please note that the AIFMD has been partially implemented in Portugal by Law no. 18/2015 of 4 March, relating to Venture Capital, Social Entrepreneurship and Specialised Investment (Venture Capital Law).

The Venture Capital Law contains a specific regime applicable to AIFs investing in equity instruments for a limited period of time as well as other structures, which in spite of sharing similar features with the UCI's framework, is perceived under Portuguese law as being an autonomous subject in relation to the UCIs. That being said, the present questionnaire does not take into account the Venture Capital Law as it falls outside the relevant scope.

In Portugal, besides one collective investment company endowed with legal personality that has been set up until the present date, all AIFs are usually set up under the contractual structure with no legal personality.

In an overall assessment of pros and cons of both structures, it should be taken into account that the contractual structure has a long track record in Portugal, being the preferred choice for setting up AIFs, as it offers an affordable, simple and well-known model for AIFs.

Conversely, the collective investment company endowed with legal personality is clearly a more complex model that allows, however, greater control for the investors over the management of the AIF. Nonetheless, the lack of a decisive incentive to change the current *status quo* in respect of the way AIFs are usually set up in Portugal may be deemed as holding back a better use of the opportunities offered by this structure.

2.2 Please describe the limited liability of investors.

Legally, the asset of an AIF is only liable for its debts, thus it will not be liable for investors, the fund manager, depository, distributors or other AIFs' debts. Likewise, investors are not personally liable for the AIF's debts and will under no circumstances be burdened by any debt of the AIF.

Notwithstanding, in the case of closed-ended real estate AIFs, the UCI Law allows for the AIF's regulation to establish that, following a resolution of the investors' assembly, the investors in a privately subscribed real estate AIF will take over the debts of the AIF, provided that the creditors agree so and that it is ensured that the debts arising after the extinction of the AIF will be taken over by the fund manager.

2.3 What are the principal legal structures used for managers and advisers of Alternative Investment Funds?

The AIF, which is not self-managed, will need to be managed by a:

- fund manager (financial institution) authorised to manage UCITS, AIFs investing in securities or financial assets and in non-financial assets, or real estate investment funds (*sociedade gestora de fundos de investimento mobiliário*);
- real estate fund manager (financial institution), which may only manage real estate funds (*sociedade gestora de fundos de investimento imobiliário*); or

- credit institution, provided that it has own funds in an amount no less than €7,500,000, the AIF is closed-ended, and that the overall asset of the AIFs under its management falls below (i) €100,000,000, if the portfolio includes assets acquired with resort to the leveraging effect, or (ii) €500,000,000, if the AIFs do not resort to leveraging.

Considering that it is unusual for an AIF to be self-managed in Portugal and due to the limitations falling upon credit institutions, almost every AIF is managed by fund managers (financial institutions) as described in the first two paragraphs above.

2.4 Are there any limits on the manager's ability to restrict redemptions in open-ended funds or transfers in open-ended or closed-ended funds?

The UCI Law is silent in respect of the ability of the fund manager to restrict redemptions in open-ended funds, but considering that such types of AIFs in general target retail investors, the CMVM will most certainly closely scrutinise this matter. In fact, such possibility would need to be clearly set out in the AIF's regulation, which is analysed during the authorisation procedure.

Moreover, the minute of the AIF regulation, approved by Regulation no. 2/2015, contains a field where the conditions set out for redemptions must be described, but only as regards the applicable fees, settlement dates and the criteria for the determination of which units/shares will be redeemed. Likewise, Regulation no. 2/2015 only seems to foresee conditions under which redemptions may be suspended, but not restricted.

As regards the restriction of transfers in open-ended funds, the same rationale described above in respect of the redemption applies.

Conversely, regarding closed-ended AIFs, mainly those targeting professional investors, we trust that it is possible to establish in the AIF's regulation restrictions on the transfer of units from investors to third parties.

2.5 Are there any legislative restrictions on transfers of investors' interests in Alternative Investment Funds?

There are no legislative restrictions.

2.6 Are there any other limitations on a manager's ability to manage its funds (e.g. diversification requirements, asset stripping rules)?

The ability of the manager to manage its funds will be mainly limited by the investment policy established in the AIF's prospectus or regulation, as applicable, by the general investment limits by type of AIF, if any, established in the UCI Law and by the obligation to conduct its activity in the best interest of the investors.

The UCI Law has a list of acts that a manager cannot carry out, such as granting loans, execute certain transactions on its own account, execute transactions relating to the assets held by the AIF with related parties, e.g., entities of its group, the depository, etc.

3 Marketing

3.1 What legislation governs the production and offering of marketing materials?

Please refer to question 1.1 above.

3.2 What are the key content requirements for marketing materials, whether due to legal requirements or customary practice?

Regulation no. 2/2015 provides minutes for the AIF's legal documents (KIID, prospectus and regulation).

On the contrary, there are no minutes available in respect of marketing materials. Nonetheless, it is common practice for the fund manager and other distribution entities to provide information on the investment policy, markets targeted, main features (identification of the relevant entities, ISIN Code, terms and conditions of the investment, links to the legal documents) and historic returns of the AIF.

Pursuant to Regulation no. 2/2015, if the marketing materials disclose return figures, they shall also contain, at least:

- The identification of the AIF and fund manager.
- The reference "the disclosed returns represent past data and do not guarantee future returns".
- The identification of the reference period for return figures indicated.
- Confirmation on whether or not the return figures disclosed already include the applicable taxation.
- Information on where and how the KIID and other legal documents may be obtained.
- In cases where the AIF's units/shares are admitted to trading on a regulated market, identification of the market at stake and if the values disclosed are calculated on the basis of the asset value or on the market value of the units/shares.
- The warning that investment in the AIF may lead to the loss of principal invested, in cases where the AIF does not guarantee payment of the principal invested.
- If the figures disclosed are annualised, but have a reference period greater than one year, the information disclosed shall also contain the reference according to which the reference return could only be obtained if the investment was performed during the entire period of reference.
- The risk level, with identical emphasis of the return figure, for an identical period of reference.

Lastly, as a general note, in accordance with the PSC, the information contained in the marketing materials shall be prepared in Portuguese or followed with a duly legalised translation, and must be complete, true, updated, clear, objective and licit.

3.3 Do the marketing or legal documents need to be registered with or approved by the local regulator?

Marketing materials in respect of AIFs do not need to be registered or authorised by the CMVM.

However, an AIF's legal documents, namely the KIID, the full prospectus of the AIF and/or the AIF's regulation, as well as any further amendment to them, need to be registered with the CMVM and publicly disclosed through the CMVM's website.

3.4 What restrictions are there on marketing Alternative Investment Funds?

The marketing or distribution (*comercialização*) of AIFs is very broad, being defined as the activity directed towards investors with a view to promoting or proposing the subscription of units/shares, regardless of the means of communication used.

The entities which are legally permitted to market AIFs are (i) AIF managers, (ii) depositaries, (iii) financial intermediaries registered

or authorised by the CMVM to perform the relevant activities, namely those of placement and reception and transmission of orders on behalf of third parties, and (iv) other entities as foreseen in Regulation no. 2/2015 and subject to its authorisation.

Furthermore, the concept of reverse solicitation is not an official exemption from the UCI Law requirements, but rather a tolerated practice, which consists of an investor, on its own initiative and without any previous engagement on the part of the distributor, requesting information on the AIF at stake. However, a case-by-case assessment needs to be conducted, considering that the new AIFMD framework has induced a greater use of the reverse solicitation expedient, which may come under the CMVM's scrutiny.

Virtually every type of marketing falls into the category of distribution (*comercialização*), thus if such is not carried out by a duly licensed entity or under the reverse solicitation exemption, it will be in breach of the UCI Law.

A clear distinction must be drawn regarding pre-marketing. If such marketing is conducted in relation to a specific AIF with the intention of triggering a future solicitation by the addressee to receive more information and subscribe the AIF, it is rather likely that the CMVM will consider it to fall within the concept of actual marketing. Conversely, if the pre-marketing has only a general nature, *i.e.* seeks to present to the investor the existence and activity carried out by the fund manager or an overall look at the market, without recommending or referring to any investment opportunity in particular, there are grounds to sustain that we will not be facing a marketing activity subject to the UCI Law requirements.

An AIF may only be marketed in Portugal after its constitution has been authorised by CMVM and in any case the marketing material may contradict or diminish the importance of the AIF's prospectus or regulation and KIID.

3.5 Can Alternative Investment Funds be marketed to retail investors?

Yes. However, AIFs passported under the AIFMD can only be marketed in Portugal to professional investors.

In order for the AIF to be marketed with retail investors in Portugal, the fund manager will need to obtain an authorisation of the CMVM, to be granted after the conclusion of a full registration procedure in Portugal of the AIF.

3.6 What qualification requirements must be carried out in relation to prospective investors?

There is no particular requirement to be fulfilled in relation to investors in AIFs.

Nonetheless, the fund manager shall ensure that the "know your customer and investment suitability analysis" is properly carried out in relation to the potential investor, as well as ensure that the anti-money laundering and terrorism financing procedures are respected.

We stress that in the case of AIFs exclusively targeting professional investors, the fund manager shall guarantee that the investors that do not meet such eligibility criteria cannot invest in the AIF.

3.7 Are there additional restrictions on marketing to public bodies such as government pension funds?

There are no additional restrictions.

3.8 Are there any restrictions on the use of intermediaries to assist in the fundraising process?

No. However, the relationship established between the intermediaries and the AIF shall be put in a written agreement and disclosed in the AIF's legal documents.

Furthermore, the intermediary, when carrying out the fundraising process, needs to act within the scope of activities that it is authorised to conduct, *i.e.* if the fundraising process corresponds to marketing of the AIF under the UCI Law, the analysis carried out in respect of question 3.4 above will be entirely applicable herein.

3.9 Are there any restrictions on the participation in Alternative Investment Funds by particular types of investors, such as financial institutions (whether as sponsors or investors)?

No. However, the holding of units/shares in AIFs may have an impact, that needs to be assessed on a case-by-case basis, on the own funds and reserves of the credit and financial institutions.

Regarding the Portuguese insurance and pension funds sectors, there are limits relating to the representation of technical provisions with interests in AIFs, as well as to the asset allocation of pension funds, which restricts the exposure to a single AIF or the investment in AIFs in excess of a certain percentage of the portfolio, which will vary in accordance with the entity at stake.

4 Investments

4.1 Are there any restrictions on the types of activities that can be performed by Alternative Investment Funds?

Yes. AIFs can only focus on investment activities and their management and investment shall comply with the general rules applicable to the financial instruments markets, notably the ones resulting from the implementation carried out in Portugal of the MiFID II by the PSC.

4.2 Are there any limitations on the types of investments that can be included in an Alternative Investment Fund's portfolio whether for diversification reasons or otherwise?

Yes. The assets eligible for the portfolio of the AIF will depend on its specific type.

Therefore, AIFs investing in securities or financial assets, such as undertakings for collective investment in transferable securities that do not comply with the UCITS Directive limits, may also invest up to 10% of their NAV in units/shares of real estate AIFs. Moreover, the AIF's regulation shall set out the other relevant limits, otherwise the limits established in the UCITS Directive, as implemented by the UCI Law, shall apply.

Real estate investment funds shall invest the majority of their assets in real estate, but may also invest in shares of real estate investment companies (*sociedades imobiliárias*), derivatives, mainly for hedging purposes, units/shares of other real estate investment funds and liquidity instruments. The extent to which the investment in the referred assets is limited will depend on the fact of the AIF being closed-ended or open-ended, and privately or publicly subscribed. Either way, the real estate investment fund cannot invest in assets

encumbered, with liens or charges that may render its future disposal more difficult, such as *in rem* security.

AIFs which invest in long-term non-financial assets with a determinable value need to hold at least 30% of their NAV in long-term non-financial assets with a determinable value and may invest up to 25% of their NAV in real estate, units/shares in real estate investment funds and shares in real estate investment companies.

Lastly, we stress that loan originating from AIFs is not allowed in general terms under Portuguese law.

4.3 Are there any restrictions on borrowing by the Alternative Investment Fund?

Yes. In respect of real estate AIFs, the borrowing limits are 25% of the asset for open-ended AIFs and 33% of the asset for closed-ended publicly and privately (by more than five investors, which are exclusively qualified as professional investors) subscribed AIFs. Closed-ended AIFs which are privately subscribed by five or fewer investors or whose investors are exclusively qualified as professional investors are not subject to any borrowing limit.

As regards AIFs investing in securities or financial assets and AIFs investing in long-term non-financial assets with a determinable value, their regulations shall set out the limits for borrowing, but the UCI Law is silent in respect of borrowing limits.

5 Disclosure of Information

5.1 What public disclosure must the Alternative Investment Fund or its manager make?

Besides the reporting obligations referred to in question 5.2 below, the elements which are made available to the public on the CMVM's website and the identity of the persons/companies holding qualifying shareholdings (10% or more) in the fund manager shall also be publicly disclosed.

Furthermore, the legal documents of the AIFs and their updates shall also be made available on the CMVM's website. Considering that the legal documents shall describe the identity of the fund manager, depository, auditor, distributors and other services providers to the AIF, the majority of the data in connection with the AIF will be made available to the public.

However, the identity of the investors in the AIF is not mandatorily subject to public disclosure.

5.2 What are the reporting requirements in relation to Alternative Investment Funds or their managers?

The fund manager must prepare and publish annual and biennial accounts. These must be made available free of charge at the investors' request.

The marketing entity must send or make available to the investors a statement informing them of:

- the number of units such investor holds; and
- their value and the aggregate value of the investment.

In addition to this information, the marketing entity may provide any additional information regarding the investor's financial situation. For example, if the marketing entity is a bank of which the investor is a client, it could provide the above information together with the investor's bank statement.

Any information published pursuant to the requirements set out below is available to investors, usually through the CMVM's information diffusion system (website).

Moreover, the fund manager must publish and send to the CMVM:

- The annual accounts within three months after the end of the financial year.
- The biennial accounts within two months after the end of the relevant semester.
- An inventory of the fund's asset portfolio, its global net value, any responsibilities not found in the balance sheet and the number of units currently in circulation, on a monthly basis.

The fund manager as a regulated entity shall also in respect of its activities prepare and submit its accounts and financial statements and internal control report to the BoP and CMVM. In addition, the fund manager shall keep the BoP updated with the beneficial owners of its qualifying shareholdings.

5.3 Is the use of side letters restricted?

The use of side letters that set out particular terms and conditions in respect of governance, investment, etc. of the AIF is not specifically addressed by the UCI Law.

However, in the case of open-ended AIFs, considering that they tend to target retail investors and/or a broader unrestricted scope of investors, the use of side letters which alter any relevant provision of the legal documents, shall be deemed illegal, considering that as a general principle the fund manager needs to abide by the AIF's legal documents during the provision of its activity.

In closed-ended AIFs, notably those which are privately subscribed or targeting only professional investors, we trust that there is a wider margin to set out, namely through a side letter, giving specific provisions in respect of certain matters. However, in general terms, the provisions of the UCI Law are imperative, therefore any side letter providing for actions in breach of such legal provisions will be deemed illegal and may subject the fund manager to administrative offence proceedings.

6 Taxation

6.1 What is the tax treatment of the principal forms of Alternative Investment Funds identified in question 2.1?

Decree-Law no. 7/2015 of 13 January 2015 (DL 7/2015) introduced a new UCI specific tax legal framework, which has been in force since 1 July 2015.

AIFs are subject to corporate income tax (CIT) at the general rate (currently set at 21%), but are exempt from municipal and state surcharges. Taxable income corresponds to the net profit assessed in accordance with an AIF's accounting standards.

However, passive income, such as investment income, rental income and capital gains (except when sourced in a tax haven) are disregarded for taxable profit assessment purposes. Costs incurred in connection with such income (including funding costs) are also disregarded for profit assessment purposes. The following are also disregarded for taxable profit assessment purposes: (i) non-deductible expenses under the CIT code; and (ii) income and expenses relative to management fees and other commissions earned by AIFs.

An AIF's income is not subject to withholding tax. However, autonomous tax rates established in the CIT Code will apply.

AIFs that are exclusively investing in money market instruments and bank deposits will also be subject to stamp duty calculated on their global net asset value at a rate of 0.0025% (per quarter). Other AIFs will be subject to stamp duty to be levied on their global net asset value at a rate of 0.0125% (per quarter).

6.2 What is the tax treatment of the principal forms of investment manager / adviser identified in question 2.3?

In the case of AIFs endowed with legal personality which are self-managed, the tax regime referred to in question 6.1 above applies.

On the contrary, in the case of AIFs managed by a third party, the income obtained by such an AIF manager (including capital gains earned on the transfer of fund units) is subject to CIT at a rate of 21% to which a municipal surcharge of up to 1.5% may be applicable on taxable profits, depending on the municipality of where the AIF manager is established (the municipalities have the right to decide if the municipal surcharge is levied and at which rate).

Taxable profits are also subject to a progressive state surcharge which has the following applicable rates: (i) 3% on the part of the taxable profits exceeding €1.5 million up to €7.5 million; (ii) 5% on the part of the taxable profits exceeding €7.5 million up to €35 million; and (iii) 9% on the part of the taxable profits exceeding €35 million.

6.3 Are there any establishment or transfer taxes levied in connection with an investor's participation in an Alternative Investment Fund or the transfer of the investor's interest?

Establishment taxes are not applicable in Portugal to the mere holding of a participation in an AIF. Please note in this regard that the acquisition of an AIF's units of a privately subscribed closed-ended real estate AIF, as well as operations of redemption, capital increase or reduction, which results in a single investor or two spouses holding more than 75% of the units representing the assets of such AIF, property transfer tax should apply proportionally at the applicable rate (up to 6.5%) to the taxable value or the total value of the assets, as the case may be, but in each case with preference to the evaluation report of the investment fund manager, if higher.

6.4 What is the tax treatment of (a) resident, (b) non-resident, and (c) pension fund investors in Alternative Investment Funds?

(a) Resident investors. The taxation of resident investors is as follows:

Personal income tax (PIT): Income distributed or derived from redemptions to Portuguese individuals (outside their commercial activity) is subject to a 28% final withholding tax. If the investor opts to aggregate the income received, it will be subject to progressive income tax rates of up to 48%. In the latter circumstance, an additional income tax will be due on the part of the taxable income exceeding €80,000 as follows: (i) 2.5% on the part of the taxable income exceeding €80,000 up to €250,000; and (ii) 5% on any taxable income exceeding €250,000.

Income payments to omnibus accounts are subject to a final withholding tax rate of 35%, unless the relevant beneficial owner of the income is identified, in which case the tax rates applicable to the beneficial owner apply. Capital gains arising from the transfer of units are taxed at a special tax rate of 28% on the positive difference between capital gains and losses or the above progressive income tax rates and additional income tax rates, if the investor opts to aggregate the income received.

Corporate income tax (CIT): Income payments to a resident entity are subject to withholding tax at a rate of 25% (to be paid on account of the final CIT due) and are qualified as income or gains for CIT purposes. Income payments to omnibus accounts are subject to a final withholding tax rate of 35%, unless the relevant beneficial owner of the income is identified, in which case the standard tax rates applicable to the beneficial owner apply.

A resident entity is subject to CIT at a rate of 21% (if the taxpayer is a small or medium-sized enterprise as established in Decree-Law no. 372/2007 of 6 November 2007, the rate is 17% for taxable profits up to €15,000 and 21% for taxable profits in excess thereof). A resident entity may also be subject to a municipal surcharge (*derrama municipal*) of up to 1.5% on taxable profits, depending on the municipality where it is established (the municipalities have the right to decide if the municipal surcharge is levied and at what rate). Taxable profits are also subject to a progressive state surcharge (*derrama estadual*) which has the following applicable rates: (i) 3% on the part of the taxable profits exceeding €1.5 million up to €7.5 million; (ii) 5% on the part of the taxable profits exceeding €7.5 million up to €35 million; and (iii) 9% on the part of the taxable profits exceeding €35 million.

Capital gains earned on the transfer of fund units are fully included in the taxable income of the resident entity and are subject to the same rates and surcharges as above.

(b) Non-resident investors. Non-resident investors are taxed as follows:

PIT: Income payments and capital gains derived from units in a securities AIF are exempt from PIT provided that the evidence of non-resident status required by the tax law is timely delivered by the beneficiary of the income to the AIF. A refund procedure is available within a two-year period in cases where a 28% withholding tax was applied for failure to timely deliver the documentation. The refund procedure requires the certification of a special form by the competent authorities of the state of residence. Non-resident investors domiciled in a resident country are not able to benefit from income tax exemptions and, in addition, will be subject to an aggravated 35% withholding tax. Income payments to accounts opened in the name of one or more account holders acting on behalf of one or more unidentified third parties are subject to a final withholding tax rate of 35%, unless the relevant beneficial owner of the income is identified, in which case the tax rates applicable to the beneficial owner apply.

Non-resident individuals who obtain income distributed by a real estate AIF or through the redemption of such AIF units shall become subject to withholding tax at the final rate of 10% provided the non-residence evidence in Portugal has been obtained in due time. Capital gains deriving from the sale of said units are taxed autonomously at a 10% rate.

CIT: A CIT exemption applies where income arising from the units of a securities AIF is distributed or made available to a non-resident entity without a permanent establishment in Portugal. Capital gains arising from the transfer of the said units are also exempt from CIT.

In order to benefit from such exemptions, adequate evidence of non-resident status must be timely provided.

Non-resident corporate investors who obtain income distributed by a real estate AIF or through the redemption of units on such AIF are subject to withholding tax at the final rate of 10%. Capital gains deriving from the sale of units in a real estate AIF are taxed autonomously at a rate of 10%.

However, non-resident investors cannot benefit from the exemptions or the reduced withholding tax rates, as the case may be, pursuant to the characteristics of the AIF if: (i) the non-resident entity is domiciled in a blacklisted jurisdiction listed in Ministerial Order 150/2004 of 13 February, as amended from time to time; (ii) more than 25% of the capital

of the non-resident entity is held, directly or indirectly, by resident legal entities except when such entities are resident in a Member State of the EU other than Portugal or in a Member State of the European Economic Area provided, in this case, that such a State is bound to cooperate with Portugal under an administrative cooperation arrangement in tax matters similar to the exchange of tax information existing within the EU Member States or in a State with which Portugal has a double tax treaty in force or a tax information exchange agreement in force; or (iii) non-resident investors have not timely provided non-residence evidence in Portugal.

If the exemptions or reduced withholding tax rates do not apply, the general rules and tax rates (25%, 28% or 35%, as the case may be) will apply.

(c) Pension fund investors. Pension fund investors are taxed as follows:

1. Pension funds which are established and operate in accordance with Portuguese law are taxed as follows:

- i. In the event of income deriving from AIFs distributions, pension funds are exempt from CIT and are exempt from withholding tax.
- ii. In the event of income deriving from the redemption of the units or liquidation of the AIF, pension funds are subject to withholding CIT at a 25% rate, which will be refunded upon submission of the annual income tax return, since pension funds are exempt from CIT.

2. Pension funds which are established and operate in accordance with the law of a Member State of the EU other than Portugal or in a Member State of the European Economic Area are taxed as follows:

- i. In the event of income distributed by real estate AIFs or through the redemption of the units or liquidation of such a real estate AIF, the pension funds are subject to withholding tax at the final rate of 10%.
- ii. In the event of income deriving from securities AIFs, including income deriving from distributions and from the redemption of the units or liquidation of the AIF, pension funds should be exempt from CIT. In order to benefit from such exemptions, adequate evidence of non-resident status must be timely provided.

iii. However, non-resident pension funds cannot benefit from the exemptions or the reduced withholding tax rates, as the case may be, pursuant to the characteristics of the AIF if: (i) the non-resident pension fund is domiciled in a blacklisted jurisdiction listed in Ministerial Order 150/2004 of 13 February, as amended from time to time; (ii) more than 25% of the capital of the non-resident pension fund is held, directly or indirectly, by resident legal entities except when such entities are resident in a Member State of the EU other than Portugal or in a Member State of the European Economic Area provided, in this case, that such a State is bound to cooperate with Portugal under an administrative cooperation arrangement in tax matters similar to the exchange of tax information existing within the EU Member States or in a State with which Portugal has a double tax treaty in force or a tax information exchange agreement in force; or (iii) non-resident pension funds have not timely provided non-residence evidence in Portugal.

iv. If the exemptions or reduced withholding tax rates do not apply, the general rules and tax rates (25%, 28% or 35%, as the case may be) will apply.

3. In addition, pension funds which are established and operate in accordance with the law of a Member State of the EU other than Portugal or in a Member State of the European Economic Area are exempt from CIT, provided, in this case, that such Member State is bound to cooperate

with Portugal under an administrative cooperation arrangement in tax matters similar to the exchange of tax information existing within EU Member States which are also exempt from CIT, provided the following cumulative requirements are met:

- i. the pension fund covers exclusively the payment of retirement benefits for old age or disability, for survival, for early retirement, post-employment healthcare benefits and, where they are supplementary to those benefits and are provided on an ancillary basis to the previously mentioned benefits, the attribution and death grants;
- ii. the pension fund is managed by institutions for occupational retirement, as provided by Directive no. 2003/41/EC, of the European Parliament and of the Council, of 3 June;
- iii. the pension fund is the ultimate beneficial owner of the income; and
- iv. with respect to income distributions made by AIFs, the corresponding participation in the share capital is held, continuously, for at least one year.

In this case, however, it is not clear if the applicable exemption for CIT purposes at the level of the pension funds enables either (i) the operation of a withholding tax exemption upon payment of income from the AIF to the pension fund or, alternatively, (ii) the attribution to the pension funds to the right to claim a refund of the CIT withheld. To the best of our knowledge, the tax authorities have not provided any public guidance in this respect up to this moment.

6.5 Is it necessary or advisable to obtain a tax ruling from the tax or regulatory authorities prior to establishing an Alternative Investment Fund?

Portuguese taxpayers may request advance rulings regarding specific tax situations. When advance rulings are issued, the tax authorities may not derogate from such rulings in relation to the taxpayers that requested it, except pursuant to court decisions.

Subject to the payment of a fee (it may range from €2,550 up to €25,500), an advance ruling may be provided urgently, provided that such request by the applicant is accompanied by a tax framework proposal, reasons raised for urgency and the amount to be determined by the tax authorities according to the complexity of the topic is paid.

If the tax authorities accept the urgency of the matter, the binding ruling will be issued within 75 days from the date of presentation of the request, and in the event that the tax authorities do not issue the ruling in such a time frame, it is considered that the tax treatment presented by the taxpayer is agreed to by the tax authorities. Non-urgent rulings are delivered within 150 days, although this deadline is merely indicative.

Unless the new law does not provide a clear answer on any particular topic that might be raised by an investor, it is not necessary to obtain a tax ruling from the tax or regulatory authorities prior to establishing an AIF.

6.6 What steps have been or are being taken to implement the US Foreign Account and Tax Compliance Act 2010 (FATCA) and other similar information reporting regimes such as the Common Reporting Standard?

Portugal has implemented, through Law no. 82-B/2014 of 31 December, the legal framework based on reciprocal exchange of information on financial accounts subject to disclosure in order

to comply with FATCA. Portugal signed an Intergovernmental Agreement with the US on 6 August 2015, which has been in force since 10 August 2016 and, as such, Portuguese financial institutions (funds and fund managers) are implementing procedures which will enable them to fully comply with the legal reporting and compliance rules.

In addition, the Common Reporting Standard (CRS) has also been enacted, through Decree-Law no. 64/2016, of 11 October 2016, which implemented the legal framework based on reciprocal exchange of information on financial accounts subject to disclosure in order to comply with CRS and, as such, Portuguese financial institutions (funds and fund managers) are implementing procedures which will enable them to fully comply with the legal reporting and compliance rules.

6.7 What steps are being taken to implement the OECD's Action Plan on Base Erosion and Profit-Shifting (BEPS), in particular Actions 6 and 7, insofar as they affect Alternative Investment Funds' operations?

There have been amendments to the Portuguese legislation in connection with the recommendations of the Base Erosion and Profit-Shifting (BEPS) action plan, issued by OECD, such as on the definition of interest deduction limits and on substance assessment requirements in order to be able to benefit from the Parent-Subsidiary Directive.

However, to the best of our knowledge, we are not aware at this stage of any additional proceedings or actions taken or proposed to be taken by the Portuguese Authorities regarding Actions 6 and 7 of BEPS, insofar as they affect AIFs' operations.

6.8 Are there any tax-advantaged asset classes or structures available? How widely are they deployed?

There are some types of investment funds that benefit from a tax-advantaged treatment, namely: (a) Real Estate Investment Funds on Forest Resources; (b) Residential Letting Real Estate Investment Funds; (c) Real Estate Investment Funds on Urban Rehabilitation; and (d) Venture Capital Funds.

(a) Real Estate Investment Funds in Forest Resources

Real Estate Investment Funds in Forest Resources (REIFFR) incorporated under the Portuguese law are exempt from CIT when at least 75% of its assets are allocated to exploitation of forest resources according to approved forest management plans, provided they are carried out accordingly to the applicable regulations and are subject to the legal forest certification proceedings.

Investors who obtain income distributed by a REIFFR are subject to withholding tax at the rate of 10% unless: (i) the investors are entities exempt from CIT on capital income; or (ii) the investors are non-resident entities with no permanent establishment in Portugal to which the income can be attributed and that do not reside in a blacklisted jurisdiction or that are not held in more than 25% by resident entities.

Individual investors subject to PIT who opt to aggregate the income received may deduct 50% of the distributed income which concerns to dividends, as a means of eliminating the economic double taxation.

Capital gains deriving from the transfer of units are taxed at a 10% tax rate if the investors do not benefit from the specific exemption applicable to capital gains realised by non-residents (foreseen in Article 27 of the Portuguese Tax Benefits Code) or if they are individual investors who do not obtain this income under their professional activity and that do not opt to aggregate the income received.

Whenever the conditions above described regarding the composition of the fund's assets cease to be met, the investment fund and its investors shall be taxed according to the regime described in questions 6.1 and 6.4.

(b) Residential Letting Real Estate Investment Funds

Residential Letting Real Estate Investment Funds (RLREIF) incorporated between 1 January 2008 and 31 December 2015 are exempt from CIT, from Property Transfer Tax and Stamp Duty levied on the transfer of the immovable property to the RLREIF when the previous owners become the tenants or when they opt to purchase the immovable property, in accordance to the lease contract.

Investors who obtain income deriving from these funds are exempt from CIT and PIT, except with regards to capital gains earned on the transfer of fund units.

These benefits shall apply if certain conditions are met, such as the RLREIF's portfolio being composed of a minimum of 75% of real estate located in Portugal and used for residential letting purposes.

Whenever the legally required conditions cease to be met, the investment fund and its investors shall be taxed according to the regime described in questions 6.1 and 6.4.

(c) Real Estate Investment Funds for Urban Rehabilitation

Real Estate Investment Funds for Urban Rehabilitation (REIFUR) incorporated between 1 January 2008 and 31 December 2013 which 75% of their assets are immovable property subject to urban renewal and located in urban renewal areas are exempt from CIT on income of any type. This exemption is only applicable if urban renewal interventions were initiated after 1 January 2008 and concluded until 31 December 2020.

Income distributed by the REIFUR is subject to withholding tax at the rate of 10% unless: (i) the investors are entities exempt from CIT on capital income; or (ii) the investors are non-resident entities with no permanent establishment in Portugal to which the income can be attributed and that do not reside in a blacklisted jurisdiction or that are not held in more than 25% by resident entities. This withholding tax becomes final when the investors are non-resident and have no permanent establishment in Portugal or when they are individual investors who earn this capital gains irrespective of their professional activity and that do not opt to aggregate the income received.

Individual investors subject to PIT who opt to aggregate income received may deduct 50% of the distributed income corresponding to dividends, as means of eliminating the economic double taxation.

Capital gains deriving from the transfer of units are taxed at a 10% tax rate if the investors do not benefit from the specific exemption applicable to capital gains realised by non-residents (foreseen in Article 27 of the Portuguese Tax Benefits Code) or if they are individual investors who do not obtain this income under their professional activity and that do not opt to aggregate the income received.

Whenever the conditions above described regarding the composition of the fund's assets cease to be met, the investment fund and its investors shall be taxed according to the regime described in questions 6.1 and 6.4.

(d) Venture Capital Funds

Venture Capital Funds constituted under the Portuguese law are exempt from CIT on any type of income.

Investors who obtain income deriving from the distribution of income by a venture capital investment fund or from the redemption of units on such funds are subject to withholding tax at the rate of 10% unless: (i) the investors are entities exempt from CIT on capital income; or (ii) the investors are non-resident entities with no permanent establishment in Portugal to which the income can be attributed. This exception does not comprise investors that reside in a blacklisted jurisdiction or that are held in more than 25% by resident entities. This withholding tax becomes final when the investors are non-resident and have no permanent establishment in Portugal or when they are individual investors who earn this capital gains irrespective of their professional activity and that do not opt to aggregate the income received.

Capital gains deriving from the transfer of units are taxed at a 10% tax rate if the investors do not benefit from the specific exemption applicable to capital gains obtained by non-residents (foreseen in Article 27 of the Portuguese Tax Benefits Code) or if they are individual investors who do not obtain this income under their professional activity and that do not opt to aggregate the income received.

6.9 Are there any other material tax issues for investors, managers, advisers or AIFs?

If an exemption is not applicable, the acquisition of real estate by an AIF is subject to Property Transfer Tax (up to 6.5%) and stamp tax (0.8%) and each applicable tax rate will be levied either on the purchase price or the tax value of the property if higher.

6.10 Are there any meaningful tax changes anticipated in the coming 12 months?

To the best of our knowledge, we are not aware at this stage of any proceedings or actions taken or proposed to be taken by the Portuguese Authorities that consist of meaningful tax changes in the coming 12 months.

7 Reforms

7.1 What reforms (if any) are proposed?

Soon, the UCI Law will be subject to amendments by way of the law that will implement in Portugal MiFID II framework, mainly by republishing the PSC.

Although, the amendments to the UCI Law will not be in principle substantial, the reference made by the UCI Law to several provisions of the PSC will entail that many of the changes introduced by MiFID II will indirectly impact the fund manager activity and the AIFs.

**Pedro Simões Coelho**

Vieira de Almeida
Rua Dom Luís I, 28
Lisbon, 1200-151
Portugal

Tel: +351 31 311 3677
Email: psc@vda.pt
URL: www.vda.pt

Pedro Simões Coelho joined Vieira de Almeida & Associados in 1998 and is currently head of the firm's investment funds practice and a partner in the Banking & Finance Group. He is also responsible for the Agency & Trust practice and is a member of the firm's aviation finance team. He has been actively involved in several transactions, in Portugal and abroad, mainly focused on the advising, structuring and setting up of collective investment schemes such as mutual funds and real estate investment funds, infrastructure vehicles, venture capital funds and private equity structures. He has been responsible for several transactions including non-performing loans, asset finance, particularly in the aviation finance field, notably financing, leasing, sale or purchase of aircraft, and capital markets, retail banking, financial services and securities' law. He has also been actively working in advising fund managers, venture capitalists, brokers, banks and other investment firms on a wide range of regulatory and related matters. In Agency & Trust services, he has been actively working in several securitisations and debt issuing transactions advising several entities notably in their capacity as common representatives and issuers.

**Inês Moreira dos Santos**

Vieira de Almeida
Rua Dom Luís I, 28
Lisbon, 1200-151
Portugal

Tel: +351 21 311 3400
Email: ids@vda.pt
URL: www.vda.pt

Inês Moreira dos Santos joined Vieira de Almeida & Associados in 2010 and rejoined the firm in 2015. She is a senior associate of the tax team. Inês graduated in 2007 from the Portuguese Catholic University and is a member of the Portuguese Bar Association since 2010. She has an Executive Master in Tax Management (INDEG/ISCTE), an advanced post-graduation in Taxation (IDEFF) and a post-graduation in Securities Law (IVM). Before joining the firm, she worked at the law firms Garrigues Portugal and Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados and at the Legal & Tax Department of Banif – Banco Internacional do Funchal. Inês has broad experience in tax consulting and international tax planning, namely in Portugal, Angola, Mozambique, Cabo Verde and São Tomé and Príncipe, having mainly been focused on multijurisdictional tax structuring investments, elimination of double taxation and structuring expatriates remuneration packages. Inês has also been providing tax assistance in private wealth transactions, private client planning and compliance with tax reporting obligations.



With over 40 years in the making, Vieira de Almeida (VdA) is an international leading law firm, notable for cutting-edge innovation and top-quality legal advice. A profound business know-how coupled with a highly specialised cross-sector legal practice enable the firm to effectively meet the increasingly complex challenges faced by clients, notably in the aerospace, distribution, economy of the sea, green economy, energy, finance, real estate, industry, infrastructure, healthcare, public, professional services, information technology, emerging technologies, telecoms, third, transports and tourism sectors.

VdA offer robust solutions based on consistent standards of excellence, ethics and professionalism. The recognition of VdA as a leading provider of legal services is shared with our team and clients and is frequently acknowledged by the major law publications, professional organisations and research institutions. VdA has consistently and consecutively received the industry's most prestigious awards and nominations.

Through VdA Legal Partners clients have access to a team of lawyers across 12 jurisdictions, ensuring wide sectoral coverage, including all African members of the Community of Portuguese-Speaking Countries (CPLP), and several francophone African countries, as well as Timor-Leste.

Angola – Cabo Verde – Chad – Congo – Democratic Republic of the Congo – Equatorial Guinea – Gabon – Guinea-Bissau – Mozambique – Portugal – São Tomé and Príncipe – Timor-Leste

Other titles in the ICLG series include:

- Anti-Money Laundering
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Cybersecurity
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- Investor-State Arbitration
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Investment Funds
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.com