THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

FOURTH EDITION

EDITORS ARTHUR KOHN AND JANET COOPER

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

The Executive Remuneration Review Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd.

This article was first published in The Executive Remuneration Review - Edition 4 (published in October 2015 – editors Arthur H Kohn and Janet Cooper)

For further information please email Nick.Barette@lbresearch.com

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

Fourth Edition

Editors
Arthur Kohn and Janet Cooper

Law Business Research Ltd

PUBLISHER Gideon Roberton

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS Katherine Jablonowska, Thomas Lee, Felicity Bown, Joel Woods

> ACCOUNT MANAGER Jessica Parsons

PUBLISHING MANAGER Lucy Brewer

MARKETING ASSISTANT Rebecca Mogridge

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT Sophie Arkell

HEAD OF PRODUCTION Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR Janina Godowska

> SUBEDITOR Charlotte Stretch

MANAGING DIRECTOR Richard Davey

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London 87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK © 2015 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients.

Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of November 2015, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-909830-74-5

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

THE LAW REVIEWS

THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW

THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW

THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW

THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW

THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW

THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW

THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW

THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW

THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW

THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW

THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW

THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW

THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW

THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW

THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW

THE MINING LAW REVIEW

THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW

THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW

THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW

THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW

THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW

THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW

THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW

THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW

THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW

THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY REVIEW

THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW

THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW

THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW

THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW

THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW

THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW

THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP LAW REVIEW

THE TRANSPORT FINANCE LAW REVIEW

THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REVIEW

THE LENDING AND SECURED FINANCE REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW REVIEW

www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ADVOKATFIRMAET SELMER DA

BAKER & MCKENZIE

BONN STEICHEN & PARTNERS

CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP

CREEL, GARCÍA-CUÉLLAR, AIZA Y ENRÍQUEZ, SC

DAVIES WARD PHILLIPS & VINEBERG LLP

DELOITTE & TOUCHE REGIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES LIMITED

DLA PIPER

HANNES SNELLMAN

HERZOG FOX & NEEMAN, LAW OFFICE

KUNZ SCHIMA WALLENTIN RECHTSANWÄLTE OG

LENZ & STAEHELIN

LETT LAW FIRM P/S

PINHEIRO NETO ADVOGADOS

SHERRARD KUZZ LLP

TAPESTRY COMPLIANCE LLP

TRAVERS SMITH LLP

VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS

CONTENTS

Editor's Preface	Arthur Kohn
Chapter 1	AUSTRALIA
Chapter 2	AUSTRIA21 Georg Schima
Chapter 3	BELGIUM38 Loïc Peltzer and Nikolaas Van Robbroeck
Chapter 4	BRAZIL
Chapter 5	CANADA
Chapter 6	CHINA82 Julia Gorham and Alan Wang
Chapter 7	DENMARK96 Jeppe Høyer Jørgensen, Artur Bugsgang, Nicholas Lerche-Gredal and Lykke Asmussen
Chapter 8	EU OVERVIEW
Chapter 9	FINLAND

Contents

Chapter 10	GERMANY136
•	Michael Brems, Felix Müller, Jens Hafemann, Boris Witt and Martin Begiebing
Chapter 11	HONG KONG158
	Rowan McKenzie, Steven Sieker, Karen Man and Rashi Narayan
Chapter 12	ISRAEL
Chapter 13	ITALY185 Gianluca Russo
Chapter 14	LUXEMBOURG204 Anne Morel, Christine Beernaerts and Pierre-Alexandre Degehet
Chapter 15	MEXICO218 Alejandro Santoyo and Francisco J Peniche Beguerisse
Chapter 16	NORWAY228 André Istad Johansen and Are Fagerhaug
Chapter 17	PORTUGAL
Chapter 18	RUSSIA250 Mikhail A Suvorov, Svetlana Meyer and Elena Filina
Chapter 19	SWITZERLAND271 Matthias Oertle, Harald Bärtschi and Franziska Stadtherr-Glättli
Chapter 20	UNITED KINGDOM284 Mahesh Varia

Contents

Chapter 21	UNITED STATES301 Arthur Kohn, Kathleen Emberger, Jonathan Reinstein and Zachary Kolkin	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	317
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS.	337

EDITOR'S PREFACE

Executive remuneration encompasses a diverse range of practices and is consequently influenced by many different areas of the law, including tax, employment, securities and other aspects of corporate law. We have structured this book with the intention of providing readers with an overview of these areas of law as they relate to the field of executive remuneration. The intended readership of this book includes both inhouse and outside counsel who are involved in either the structuring of employment and compensation arrangements, or more general corporate governance matters. We hope that this book will be particularly useful in circumstances where a corporation is considering establishing a presence in a new jurisdiction and is seeking to understand the various rules and regulations that may govern executive employment (or the corporate governance rules relating thereto) with regard to newly hired (or transferring) executives in that jurisdiction.

The most fundamental considerations relating to executive remuneration are often tax-related. Executives will often request that compensation arrangements be structured in a manner that is most tax-efficient for them, and employers will frequently attempt to accommodate these requests. To do so, of course, it is critical that employers understand the tax rules that apply in a particular situation. To that end, this book attempts to highlight differences in taxation (both in terms of the taxes owed by employees, as well as the taxes owed – or tax deductions taken – by employers), which can be the result of:

- a the nationality or residency status of the executives;
- b the jurisdiction in which the executives render their services;
- c the form in which executives are paid (e.g., cash, equity (whether vested or unvested) or equity-based awards);
- d the time at which the executives are paid, particularly if they are not paid until after they have 'earned' the remuneration; and
- e the mechanisms by which executives are paid (e.g., outright payment, through funding of trusts or other similar vehicles or through personal services corporations).

In addition to matters relating to the taxation of executive remuneration, employment law frequently plays a critical role in governing executives' employment relationships with their employers. There are a number of key employment law-related aspects that employers should consider in this context, including:

- *a* the legal enforceability of restrictive covenants;
- b he legal parameters relating to wrongful termination, constructive dismissal or other similar concepts affecting an employee's entitlement to severance on termination of employment;
- any special employment laws that apply in connection with a change in control or other type of corporate transaction (e.g., an executive's entitlement to severance or the mechanism by which an executive's employment may transfer to a corporate acquirer); and
- d other labour-related laws (such as laws related to unions or works councils) that may affect the employment relationship in a particular jurisdiction.

The contours of these types of employment laws tend to be highly jurisdiction-specific and therefore it is particularly important that corporations have a good understanding of these issues before entering into any employment relationships with executives in any particular country.

Beyond tax and employment-related laws, there are a number of other legal considerations that corporations should take into account when structuring employment and executive remuneration arrangements. Frequently, these additional considerations will relate to the tax or employment law issues already mentioned, but it is important they are still borne in mind. For example, when equity compensation is used, many jurisdictions require that the equity awards be registered (or qualify for certain registration exemptions) under applicable securities laws. These rules tend to apply regardless of whether a company is publicly or privately held. In addition to registration requirements, it is critical for both employers and employees to understand any legal requirements that apply in respect of executives' holding, selling or buying equity in their employers.

Given the heightened focus in many jurisdictions on executive remuneration practices in recent decades – both in terms of public policy and public perception – the application of corporate governance principles to executive compensation decisions is crucial to many companies. Decisions about conforming to best practices in the field of executive remuneration may have substantial economic consequences to companies and their shareholders and executives. Corporate governance rules principally fall into two categories. The first concerns the approvals required for compensatory arrangements; a particular remuneration arrangement may require the approval of the company's board of directors (or a committee thereof) or even, in certain circumstances, the company's shareholders. The second concerns the public disclosure requirements applicable to executive remuneration arrangements; companies should be aware of any disclosure requirements that may become applicable as a result of establishing a new business within a particular jurisdiction, and in fact may wish to structure new remuneration arrangements with these disclosure regimes in mind.

Finally, we would be remiss in discussing the topic of executive remuneration without highlighting the financial services industry. The global financial crisis has, of course, led to a worldwide effort in recent years to more stringently regulate the manner in

Editor's Preface

which those working within the financial services industry are paid. We hope that readers find the following discussion of the various tax, statutory, regulatory and supervisory rules and authorities instructive.

Arthur Kohn

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP New York October 2015

Chapter 17

PORTUGAL

Tiago Piló, Inês Gomes Ferreira, José Pedro Fazenda Martins and Rita Magalhães¹

I INTRODUCTION

With an average monthly salary of €1,000 and the freeze on salary increases owing to the financial crisis and the bailout, executive remuneration systems have not been a hot topic in Portugal over the past few years.

The main concern has been on state-owned enterprises. In the wake of the financial crisis and the ensuing bailout, Portugal agreed with the Troika austerity measures with a view to reducing public spending and, hence, the budget deficit. Some of those measures, such as those with a view to reducing operational costs, namely by limiting the salaries and other benefits paid to public managers, had already been introduced; others, such as EU-driven initiatives on the financial sector, have not.

However, since it seems that the worst years of the crisis are well behind us, there is an increasing demand for assisting companies structure remuneration schemes for their top people.

II TAXATION

i Income tax for employees

This section intends to cover taxation applicable to executives employed under a labour contract and not to executives who are members of the company's statutory board.

Tiago Piló and Inês Gomes Ferreira are managing associates, José Pedro Fazenda Martins is of counsel and Rita Magalhães is a senior associate at Vieira de Almeida & Associados. The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Inês Cabral Ferreira (associate), Mariana Ferraz Viveiros (junior associate) and Joana Branco Pires (junior associate).

ii Employment income

In general terms, all remuneration paid to executives is considered, for tax purposes, as taxable income.

Taxable income includes wages, salaries, fees, bonuses, commissions, tips, grants or awards, shares in fines, penalties and other additional remuneration, whether periodic, fixed or variable, and whether of a contractual nature or not.

ii Territoriality

Individuals resident in Portugal are subject to personal income tax on their worldwide income, regardless of whether such income is obtained in the Portuguese territory or abroad.

The following individuals are qualified as residents in Portugal with respect to the year in which the taxable income is obtained:

- a individuals who have spent more than 183 days in the Portuguese territory, continuous or not, in any given period of 12 months with the beginning or the end on that year;
- b individuals who have remained in Portugal for a shorter period but keep residential accommodation in Portugal in any day of the above-mentioned period, which suggests an intention to maintain and occupy it as an usual residence; and
- c non-resident individuals are subject to tax only on their Portuguese source income (e.g., remuneration arising from activities carried out in Portugal or paid by a resident entity).

Nationality does not have an impact on taxation applicable to employment income.

iii Tax rates

Individuals resident in Portugal are taxed by aggregating income from several sources (employment income, business and professional income, investment income, rental income, net worth increases and pension income) after any allowable deductions have been made.

Tax residents must file a personal income tax return and will have their employment income included with their global worldwide income, which will be subject to personal income tax at general increasing tax rates that depend on the aggregated income.

For the tax year of 2015, the general rates of personal income tax may vary from 14.5 per cent in respect of income of up to $\[\in \]$ 70,000 to 48 per cent in respect of income higher than $\[\in \]$ 80,000. An additional income tax rate of 2.5 per cent will be payable on any part of such taxable income that exceeds $\[\in \]$ 80,000 up to $\[\in \]$ 250,000, while the part of the taxable income that exceeds $\[\in \]$ 250,000 will be subject to an additional income tax rate of 5 per cent. A surcharge set at 3.5 per cent will also be applied to all taxable income that exceeds the minimum wage per taxpayer ($\[\in \]$ 7,070).

Non-resident individuals, if no double taxation treaty applies, will have their employment income resulting from work performed in Portugal, or paid by a Portuguese entity, subject to a final withholding (of 25 per cent) on gross income received without any deductions being allowed. They will not have any other tax obligations, i.e., the need to file a tax return. For the non-habitual residents' special regime, see below.

iv Stock option plan and stock subscription or attribution plan

The relevant moment for taxation purposes differs whether the plan is considered, in light of the Portuguese tax law, as a 'stock option plan' or a 'stock attribution or subscription plan'.

On a stock option plan the granting of the options does not trigger any taxation, since the relevant taxable event takes place when the employee exercises his or her right. The taxable income will amount to the positive difference between the fair market value of the underlying shares on the exercise date and the exercise price paid by the employee. This income should be treated as employment income.

On a stock attribution or subscription plan if there is a restriction period in which employees (1) will not buy the shares, (2) are not allowed to sell the shares, (3) will be excluded from the plan if dismissed by the employer with cause and (4) are unable to benefit from other shares' rights (such as dividends or voting rights), the plan will be taxed when the restriction period ends and the employees are vested on all rights over the shares. The taxable amount is the difference between the shares' market value at the day the restriction period terminated and the amounts paid by the employees. This income should be treated as employment income.

In case the plan does not comply with the above-mentioned conditions, such plan shall be taxed when the employees receive the shares. The taxable income will amount to the positive difference between the price of subscription paid by the general subscribers and the price paid by the employee. If there are no general subscribers, the relevant difference shall be between the fair market value and the price paid by the employee. This income should be treated as employment income.

Potential income resulting from the future sale of the shares is taxed as a capital gain (except if the sale if made to the employer case in which such gain will be taxed as employment income).

v Social taxes for employees

As a general rule, all payments made by the employer to the employee on account of the execution of the employment contract are liable to pay social security contributions.

The amount of the contribution is generally calculated by applying a contribution rate to the gross remuneration. Although the social security contribution rates vary in accordance to several factors, they are, as a general rule, shared between the employer (23.75 per cent) and the employee (11 per cent).

Finally, compensatory payments resulting from non-competition covenants are exempt from social security contributions.

vi Tax deductibility for employers

In general terms, remuneration paid to employees is tax deductible by the employer under corporate income tax rules. There are, however, some restrictions regarding tax deductibility (e.g., *per diem* allowances, kilometres paid for using personal vehicles, balance sheet allowances) applied to all employees in general.

There are no specific rules applicable to the tax deductibility of remuneration paid to executives (who are not members of the company's corporate bodies) when compared to remuneration paid to rank-and-file employees.

Remuneration costs are tax deductible in the fiscal year in which the employee is entitled to the respective remuneration and not in the year of their payment by the employer.

III TAX PLANNING AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Regarding executives moving to Portugal, there is a very favourable tax regime – the Non-habitual Tax Residents Regime (NHR) – which should be considered.

The NHR is one of the most competitive European regimes, in particular due to the following key features: no deemed taxation/'lump-sum' taxation, no limitation on the remittance of funds, no wealth tax and no gift or inheritance tax on funds or assets transferred to spouses, ascendants (e.g., parents) or descendants (e.g., children).

Moreover, this regime applies free of charge and is granted for a period of 10 years.

A non-habitual tax resident is an individual who becomes a tax resident in Portugal in a given year and was not taxed as resident in Portugal for any of the previous five years.

The benefits provided in the NHR range from a full exemption on certain types of income and a reduced flat tax rate of 23.5 per cent to other types of income, as follows:

	Passive income earned outside Portugal (e.g. dividends, interest, pensions and rental income) is fully exempt in Portugal and this exemption applies irrespective of the taxation applicable at source (i.e., it is possible to achieve double non-taxation)
Foreign-source income	Active income (e.g., income from employment and self-employment) earned in connection with 'high value added activities' may also be fully exempt provided specific conditions are met. The activities qualified as 'high value added' are identified in a statutory shortlist and include executives (i.e., senior company personnel, CEOs and CFOs)
Portuguese-source income	Active income (e.g., income from employment and self-employment) earned in Portugal in connection with 'high value added activities' will be subject to a flat rate of 23.5% (instead of the general increasing tax rates)

IV EMPLOYMENT LAW

i Non-competition covenants

As a general rule, post contractual non-competition covenants are not enforceable in Portugal.

Without prejudice, such covenants would be enforceable under some specific terms and conditions. According to the Portuguese Labour Code, such covenants are enforceable provided the following requirements are cumulatively met:

- a the maximum time for limitation is two years after the termination of the employment agreement, or three years if the nature of the activity implies a special relationship of trust, or if the employee has access to particularly sensitive information in terms of competition;
- b the covenant is agreed in writing (in the employment contract or in the termination agreement);

- c the activity that is being limited may in fact cause damages to the former employer; and
- d the former employee is paid a compensation on account of the non-competition covenant, which means that a gardening leave (whereby the employee is not paid any amounts) may not be enforced throughout the non-competition period.

In terms of geography, there are no mandatory limitations, although they may result either from the business requirements of the employer or from the scope of limitations agreed on the non-competition covenants.

There are no specific provisions to regulate the amount of compensation to be paid on account of the non-competition covenants. In any case, such compensation (1) shall have to be fair and adequate in view of the restrictions to be complied with by the former employee, (2) shall be assessed on a case-by-case basis, and (3) may be lower than the employee's last monthly salary. Recent case law has held that non-competition covenants are enforceable provided the compensation is agreed beforehand or, at least, both parties agree on the formula to be used to calculate such compensation (compensation is not decided at the company's discretion, upon termination, but rather by agreement between both parties).

The enforceability is not affected if the non-competition covenant is entered into in the context of a transaction rather than a termination of employment.

ii Rules governing the termination of employment agreements

After the probationary period expires, a permanent employment agreement² may only be unilaterally terminated by the employer with cause.³

Causes for termination of employment agreements by the employer are extensively regulated in the Portuguese Labour Code. These causes may be business or disciplinary related. In both cases, these causes have to determine that, in practical terms, it is impossible to keep the employee in the employer's service.

Termination by the employer for business-related reasons entitles the employee to receive severance for each year of service. The specific amount of the severance per year of service depends on the date the employee was hired, between 30 to 12 days of remuneration. Termination for disciplinary reasons does not entitle the employee to receive any severance.

The employee may challenge the termination⁴ in court. Should the court rule in favour of the employee, he or she will be entitled, *inter alia*, to be compensated for lost remunerations, as well as to be reinstated or to receive a compensation⁵ to be settled by the court on a case-by-case basis, between 15 to 60 days of remuneration per year.

² Term employment agreements may be terminated under different conditions.

³ The employee may resign with a pre-notice of 30 or 60 days depending on the years of service or immediately, in case of just cause.

⁴ Either business or disciplinary-related.

In the case of executives, the company may ask the court to reject the reinstatement provided it demonstrates that the return of the executive shall be disruptive to its business.

To avoid the risks of litigation, employers prefer to terminate the employee by means of an agreement. Although not mandatory, it is usual for employers to offer employees compensation on account of a general release of claims against the employer. Even though it is not mandatory, usually employees are offered a compensation higher than the one resulting from statutory provisions in connection with termination by the employer for business-related reasons.

iii Change of control

Change of control is not cause for termination of an employment agreement.⁶ As a result, a dismissal based solely on the employer's change of control would be deemed an unlawful dismissal. In addition, a transfer of business is also not cause for termination. The underlying principle⁷ is that the employment agreements are transferred by way of law to the transferor company under the same terms and conditions.

iv Role of the works councils representing the employees

Works councils are entitled to be informed and consulted on several matters, namely, regarding the general organisation, activity and company's budget, working conditions and change of the share capital, as well as to control the company's management and participate in the company's restructuring process.

Besides these general rights stated on the Portuguese Labour Code, there are no other employment-related areas of the law that specifically affect executives.

V SECURITIES LAW

Offers of securities to employees

Offers of securities to employees are not subject to registration and are in general exempted from the obligation to publish a prospectus (Article 134.º/2/c of the Securities Code).

However, the exemption to prepare and publish a prospectus only applies where the offeror has prepared a document containing sufficient information as regards the number and type of the securities offered and the rationale and description of the Offer.

ii Rules applying to the sale of shares by executives

The Portuguese Securities Law does not provide for blackout periods under which executives are prevented for selling securities issued by their employer.

Blackout periods are also not common in companies' internal regulations.

However, the legal prohibition of inside dealing covers these situations. Therefore, if executives trade securities in the market while in possession of non-disclosed material

⁶ Regardless who takes the initiative: the employer or the employee.

⁷ In line with the Acquired Rights Directive which has been introduced into the Portuguese legal system.

information about the company which issued those securities then criminal sanctions for insider trading and disgorgement of the profits could apply.

Nevertheless, it is customary for Portuguese-listed companies to adopt blackout periods in case of international offers or placements, following international practices. Typically, a 30-day blackout period is implemented in these cases.

Transactions entered into by company executives regarding the listed shares in regulated markets issued by their employer could be relevant signal to the market. For this reason the Securities Code, following European Directives, provides for the notification of such transactions to the regulator (CMVM) and to their disclosure by the company once they reach the aggregate value of €5,000 (article 248.°-B of the Securities Code and article 14.° of CMVM Regulation 5/2008).

Individuals closely related to the executives are also obliged to disclose such transactions (family-owned companies).

iii Hedging

Banks and other financial institutions and their employees are legally forbidden to hedge variable remuneration against the functioning of *malus* or clawback mechanisms.

For other types of companies, including listed companies, no legal prohibition prevents executives to hedge the stock options granted or other forms of variable pay. Nevertheless, hedging is not deemed a good governance practice, as it decouples remuneration from the performance of the stock of the company. In fact hedging may circumvent remuneration policies put in place by the company providing for the linkage between executive pay and business performance. Moreover, if hedging practices are not disclosed, it can mislead market and investors. From a corporate law perspective, this could be also considered a breach of fiduciary duties of executives to shareholders, as it breaches paramount principles and rules of executive remuneration which were approved by the shareholders.

VI DISCLOSURE

i Listed companies in regulated markets, state-owned enterprises, insurance companies, certain credit institutions, venture capital companies and funds, and other 'public interest entities', as defined by Decree-Law 225/2008, 20 November

These companies are required to present a statement on their remuneration policy which applies board and supervisory board members to be approved by the shareholders on an annual basis.

The statement on remuneration policy should include information, *inter alia*, on how the structure of executive remuneration contributes to alignment of the interest of Board members with the interests of the company; on the criteria to determine the variable pay; on the existence of stock options and pay in shares; on the possibility of deferral of variable pay and of implementation of *malus* or clawback mechanisms.

The statement on remuneration policy and the annual amount of remuneration received by Board and Supervisory Board members, both on an individual and aggregate

fashion (Article 3.° of Law 28/2009, 19 June, amended by Decree-Law No. 157/2014, 24 October), should be disclosed to the public.

This broad disclosure obligation was adopted at the onset of the 2008 financial turmoil, and is being challenged because of its scope as it also covers, for instance, companies that only have short-term debt instruments listed admitted to the regulated markets.

ii Banks and other financial institutions (Article 115.º-B and following of the Credit Institutions and Financial Companies Legal Framework (Banking Law)

The remuneration policy in banks and other financial institutions applies not only to board and supervisory board members, but also to senior management and key individuals in-charge of internal control and risk management and to other employees whose activities have a material impact on the risk profile of the institution.

A statement on remuneration policy of the aforementioned board members and employees should be presented to and approved by the general shareholders meeting on an annual basis.

The legal framework for the variable remuneration is both very stringent and detailed for these institutions.

In principle, the variable remuneration cannot exceed the amount of the fix pay, but in specific situations, and if approved by a majority of two-thirds or three-quarters of the shareholders, the variable pay may double the fix pay. However, the central bank should be informed of such decision outright.

It is mandatory for financial institutions to make the variable remuneration dependent upon *malus* and clawback mechanisms, along with the definition of clear criteria in applying them if an employee was responsible or contributed for significant losses in the business of the financial institution.

Disclosure to the Central Bank of all employees who perceive an annual remuneration exceeding €1 million is mandatory.

Financial institutions should also disclose to the public detailed information which can make evidence that the legal requirements above mentioned regarding remuneration policy are complied with by the financial institution. The Central Bank has some flexibility in detailing the type and amount of information it requires to financial institutions.

VII CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Portuguese legal framework regarding corporate governance rules on remuneration of the corporate bodies is provided for, in general terms, in the Portuguese Companies Code. Alongside these provisions, there are several other soft law instruments, mainly issued by the CMVM, which further sets out rules and recommendations regarding the remuneration of the corporate bodies, and which are applicable to public companies.

i Portuguese Companies Code and Law No. 28/2009, 19 June

The Portuguese Companies Code provides that the corporate body responsible for determining the remuneration of the members of the management body varies depending on the corporate governance model of the company, as follows:

One-tier management structure models (Classic and Anglo-Saxon models): the general meeting of shareholders or a remuneration committee appointed by the latter is responsible for determining the remuneration of all the members of the board of directors.

Two-tier management structure model (German or dualistic model): the remuneration of the members of the executive board of directors is determined by the general and supervisory board or by its remuneration committee, except if the company's bylaws specifically attribute such competence to the general meeting of shareholders or to a remuneration committee, appointed by the latter.

In all the three governance models, the remuneration of the members of the management body may comprise a fixed and a variable component, the latter including profit sharing. The maximum percentage of profits aimed at directors shall be specifically authorised in the by-laws.

Law No. 28/2009, 19 June (amended by Decree-Law No. 157/2014, 24 October), imposes on public interest entities (as defined in Decree-Law No. 225/2008, November 20), the disclosure obligations regarding the remuneration policy of the members of the management (and supervisory) body referred to in Section VI, *supra*. These obligations are a 'say on pay' demonstration.

ii Regulations and recommendations issued by the CMVM

The CMVM has published non-binding regulations and recommendations regarding the remuneration of the members of the managing body, namely Regulation No. 4/2013, which states that public companies located or operating in Portugal shall adopt the CMVM Corporate Governance Code or a corporate governance code issued by an entity specifically suitable for said purpose. This regulation also recommends a corporate governance report model.

The CMVM Corporate Governance Code (2013) foresees additional information that shall be included in the statement on remuneration policy mentioned above.

Furthermore, and contrary to the Portuguese Companies Code, the CMVM Corporate Governance Code contains recommendations specifically applicable to executive directors of public companies. According to these recommendations:

- a the remuneration of executive directors shall be based on their performance and discourage taking on excessive risks;
- b the variable component of the remuneration shall be reasonable in comparison with the fixed component of the remuneration and maximum limits shall be set for both components;
- a significant part of the variable remuneration shall be deferred for a period of not less than three years and the right to its payment shall be dependent on the continued positive performance of the company during such period;

- d directors shall not enter into agreements with the company or with third parties which intend to mitigate the risk inherent to variability of remuneration established by the company;
- e appropriate legal instruments shall be established by the company so that in the event of a director's removal owing to inadequate performance, no indemnity or compensation is enforceable beyond that which is legally due;
- f all members of the remuneration committee shall be independent from the executive directors and shall include at least one member with knowledge and experience in matters of remuneration policy; and
- g the approval of stock option plans or plans based on share price variation attributing directors the right to acquire shares or the approval of any retirement benefit schemes for members of corporate bodies shall be submitted to the general meeting of shareholders.

Executive directors shall maintain the company's shares that were allotted by virtue of variable remuneration schemes, up to twice the value of the total annual remuneration, until the end of their mandate.

VIII DEVELOPMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS

According to the majority of the indicators, the IMF/EU-imposed austerity package is finally showing some signs of success: the projections for the Portuguese economy published on the June 2015 Bulletin of the National Central Bank indicate that the steady recovery process started in 2013 shall continue. After a 0.9 per cent GDP growth in 2014, an increase to 1.7 per cent in 2015 is expected, followed by a 1.9 per cent and a 2 per cent growth in 2016 and 2017, respectively.

Consequently, foreign investment will certainly increase, pushed by the wave of privatisations agreed with the Troika and the need for Portuguese entrepreneurs to raise capital.

The surge of foreign investment will give way to an increased implementation of Anglo-Saxon inspired remuneration schemes. The trend will be for sure to focus on long-term incentives as opposed to short-term ones. This is to be combined with executive pay becoming truly variable — it should rise and fall with business performance and investor returns. Likewise, tax planning and other considerations to increase efficiency are also on top demand. Finally, improved and full disclosure of executive remuneration will definitely be acknowledged and demanded throughout corporate governance codes or reports.

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

TIAGO PILÓ

Vieira de Almeida & Associados

Tiago Piló joined Vieira de Almeida & Associados in 2001 and is currently a managing associate of the employment and benefits practice. In such capacity he has been actively working in the areas of employment and labour law, public employment, employment and labour litigation, collective bargaining agreements and social security law, assisting the human resources departments of clients of the firm on a daily basis in the organisation and restructuring of their respective workforces. He is admitted to the Portuguese Bar Association as specialised in labour law and member of the European Employment Lawyers Association.

INÊS GOMES FERREIRA

Vieira de Almeida & Associados

Inês Gomes Ferreira joined Vieira de Almeida & Associados in 2005 and is currently a managing associate at the corporate and governance practice group, having been involved in several transactions, mainly focused on acquisitions, sales and restructuring of companies, and private equity investments projects, advising both national and international clients in sectors such as financial, pharma, industry and energy. She has also been actively advising clients (including listed companies) on corporate governance related issues.

IOSÉ PEDRO FAZENDA MARTINS

Vieira de Almeida & Associados

José Pedro Fazenda Martins joined Vieira de Almeida & Associados in 2011 and is currently of counsel in the capital markets practice group. Before joining the firm, he was the head of markets and corporate finance department at the Portuguese Securities Commission (CMVM) and a member of the steering committee of the Euronext College of Regulators. He intervened in the most important capital market transactions and

listings of financial instruments that occurred in the past 10 years, including takeover bids, rights issues, privatisations, securitisations and two business combinations involving international exchanges. He is author of several scientific articles on securities law issues and member of drafting groups that elaborated draft pieces of securities legislation and regulation.

RITA MAGALHÃES

Vieira de Almeida & Associados

Rita Magalhães joined Vieira de Almeida & Associados in 2003 and is currently a senior associate integrated in the tax practice group. In such capacity she has been involved in several transactions, mainly focused on mergers, spin-offs, acquisitions and restructurings, transfer pricing and property taxation. She was admitted to the Portuguese Bar Association as specialised in tax law and is a member of the Portuguese Tax Association and International Fiscal Association. She is also a certified arbitrator since 2011 (Administrative and Tax Arbitration Centre, Ministry of Justice).

VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA & ASSOCIADOS

Av. Duarte Pacheco, no. 26 1070-110 Lisbon Portugal

Tel: +351 21 311 3508 / +351 21 311 3585 / +351 21311 3533 / +351 21 311 3485

Fax: +351 21 311 3406

tp@vda.pt jpfm@vda.pt igf@vda.pt ram@vda.pt www.vda.pt